ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

OF THE

STATE OF MICHIGAN,

FOR

THE YEAR 1886.

BY AUTHORITY.

LANSING:
THORP & GODFREY, STATE PRINTERS AND BINDERS.
1887.

REPORT.

STATE OF MICHIGAN,
ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, December 31, 1886.

To his Excellency, the Governor, and the Honorable Legislature of the State of Michigan:

In obedience to the duty imposed upon me by law, I have the honor to submit the following report of the business transacted by the Attorney General during the preceding year, including abstracts of the reports of the Prosecuting Attorneys of the State, showing the criminal prosecutions, penalties inflicted, and other items pertaining to the administration of justice.

Schedule "A" gives a full report of all criminal cases brought to the Supreme Court from Circuit Courts, and Superior and Recorder's Courts of cities, on exceptions or error and disposed of, and cases pending, which are summarized as follows:

NUMBER OF CASES.

Murder	5
Burglary	3
Larceny	5
Robbery	2
Embezzlement	4
Violation of liquor law	9
False pretenses	1
Malicious burning of a barn	1
Disorderly	1
Habeas corpus, error to Circuit Court	3
Destruction of growing crops	1
Conspiracy	1

Assault with intent to commit rape	1
Violation Sec. 9093 Howell's Statutes	1
Violation Sec. 9550	11
Perjury	1
Rape	1
Certiorari Police Court of Detroit	1
Cases pending and undisposed of	18

Schedule "B" contains a list of Chancery cases commenced in the various Circuit Courts in Chancery, in which the State was somewhat interested and to which State officers were made parties. These cases, unless the State had a special and direct interest in the result, have been referred to, and left in charge of the Prosecuting Attorneys of the respective counties where they are pending.

Schedule "C" is a list of quo-warranto and other proceedings, authorized by me in the name of the State, but substantially conducted by Attorneys and Counsel employed by interested parties, as the questions involved were principally of a personal or local nature.

Schedule "D" is a list of mandamus cases, quo-warranto and other proceedings, and suits commenced by me in behalf of the State, or parties against the State and in which the State was directly interested.

Schedule "E" is a list of Chancery cases pending or commenced within the year directly affecting the interest of the State.

Schedule "F" contains a list of insurance companies where the articles of association, or amendments to the articles of association, have been examined and approved by me in pursuance of law.

The chancery suits of Charles H. Hackley *vs.* Andrew E. Mack, sheriff of Clare county, instituted in the Clare County Circuit, and pending in the State Supreme Court at the date of my last report, were decided adversely to the State, by the latter Court. In this matter, suit has again been instituted in the name of the Auditor General, in equity, in the Clare County Circuit Court, to enforce the State lien for taxes, and as yet no decision reached.

The case of Fargo *vs.* Auditor General, involving the constitutionality of the law of 1883, taxing the receipts from the business of running cars over the railroads of the State, was appealed by the complainant to the Supreme Court of the United States, where the same is now pending. The claim made by the complainant is, that this act is taxation of interstate-commerce, therefore, in violation of the Federal Constitution. The case of National Car Company *vs.* Auditor General and other claims of the State, involving the same question, have been suspended by stipulation, to await the decision of the Fargo case, thereby saving needless expense and litigation.

In the mandamus proceeding of the Auditor General *vs.* the Board of

Supervisors of Saginaw county, the main part of the claim of the State was held invalid, and the balance due the State on the basis of adjustment indicated by the opinion of the Supreme Court, will be as stated by the Auditor General, about thirty-four thousand dollars.

Five murder and manslaughter cases have been submitted in the Supreme Court, within the year. The People *vs.* Durfee; the People *vs.* Barker and Barker; the People *vs.* Stubenvoll; the People *vs.* McDowell; and the People *vs.* Foley, all of which, except the latter, have been affirmed by that Court, and the defendants are serving out their respective terms of imprisonment. The Foley case was submitted at the October term of Court and remains yet undecided.

In the main, criminal law of the State of Michigan is well enforced, and criminals find it as difficult to escape its penalties as in any other State of the Union.

There are, however, certain penal statutes, which are daily violated, and prosecution for breach of the same, is the exception, instead of the rule, in many, if not most of the counties of the State. Laws against lotteries and gaming, prohibiting the sales of intoxicating liquors to minors, and upon the sabbath and holidays, and at certain hours of the night, and other acts, aimed at immorality and vice, are seldom enforced and to a great extent remain a "dead letter" upon the statute books. The failure to enforce law, enacted by the Legislature because of the supposed necessity therefor, brings it into contempt, and the State into disgrace. In the face of severe penalties, respectable people, as well as those less so, are continually violating some of these laws, and those charged with executing the same, fail to institute the proceedings necessary to secure their enforcement.

People who have no personal grievance, do not feel called upon to make complaints, and so the good to be accomplished by the prohibition of the evils legislated against, is lost. If the failure to enforce such laws, and the barren results where prosecutions are had, lead to the conclusion that they are of no further service, and obsolete, then the sooner they are repealed the better; but, if, on the other hand, these laws are to remain as a part of the statute law of this commonwealth, some measure should be devised and adopted which will secure their execution. What steps are necessary to accomplish this object, is for legislative wisdom to determine. It is possible that a law with penalties attached for failure to comply with its requirements, making it the duty of certain county and township officials to institute prosecutions when facts showing a breach of law were brought to their attention, might be effectual. The necessity of some practical legislation of the character suggested, is apparent to all.

In requests for leave to prosecute in the name of the people certain corporations in this State, and in the examination of the grounds stated for such proceedings, it appears to me that some amendment of the present law, relating to manufacturing corporations is desirable. Under the general law provision is made as to the per cent on capital stock which must be paid in before the corporation shall perfect its organization, but no provision is contained expressly authorizing such company to commence operation, before all its stock is taken. Many companies organized under this law, and acting in good faith, have commenced active work before disposing of their entire stock, holding such stock to be used as the needs of the respective enterprises seem to demand. The authority to so organize and conduct business is not entirely clear, under the law, and a legislative enactment expressing in this particular, the wishes of the Legislature, so that as to corporations now or hereafter to be organized, there may be no doubt as to what constitutes a legal organization, is in my judgment desirable.

In the case of mining and smelting corporations, the statute expressly authorizes the companies to organize and hold in reserve a part of their stock to be disposed of at any time thereafter, as provided by their by-laws.

Considering the amount of legal work of this department, made up of litigated criminal and civil business, the great importance of many questions brought before it by the several departments of the State, and the very numerous officials, who under the law are entitled to call upon it for advice and consultation, it would not appear unreasonable that some provision be made for a deputy or assistant in this office the same as in the several other branches of State government.

My acknowledgment is due to the several departments of State, and State officials, for kind and courteous assistance at all times, and for the valuable aid of my chief clerk, Mrs. Mary A. Miles, for the careful attention given to all business of the office.

Respectfully submitted,

MOSES TAGGART,

Attorney General.

SCHEDULE A.

The People *vs.* John Dane. Error to Alpena Circuit. Respondent was charged with larceny of personal property. Judgment reversed and new trial ordered.

The People *vs.* Richard Foley. Error to Marquette Circuit. Respondent was charged with robbery. Judgment reversed and new trial granted.

The People *vs.* Charles Beadle. Exceptions to Clinton Circuit. Charge of drunkenness. Reversed and respondent discharged. The offense charged, held not covered by the title of the law.

The People *vs.* Hubert Calvin. Error to Bay Circuit. Respondent was charged of robbery. Conviction affirmed.

The People *vs.* William Minter. Error to Van Buren Circuit. Charge was that of keeping a saloon open on Sunday. Judgment reversed and a new trial granted.

The People *vs.* James W. Eaton. Error to Genesee Circuit. Respondent was charged with burning a barn. Conviction affirmed.

The People *vs.* William S. Herrick. Error to Montcalm. Respondent was charged with larceny. Conviction affirmed.

The People *vs.* James Curtis. Error to Oakland. Respondent was charged with burglary. Error was confessed. Judgment reversed and new trial ordered.

The People *vs.* Ralph C. Richmond. Exceptions from Van Buren Circuit. Respondent was charged with keeping saloon open at night. Conviction affirmed.

The People *vs.* Samuel Manassau and Richard Manassau. Exceptions from Isabella Circuit. Respondents were charged with larceny. Judgment reversed and a new trial granted.

The People *vs.* John H. Colleton. Error to Kent Circuit. Crime charged was the keeping of a saloon open on Sunday. Judgment reversed and defendant was discharged. The charter provision of the City of Grand Rapids authorizing the Clerk of the Police Court to take complaints and issue warrants, held to be unconstitutional and void.

The People *vs.* Marshall G. Barker and William K. Barker. Error to Van

Buren Circuit. Respondents were charged with the murder of Harvey Keith. The crime as shown by the record was a very aggravated one, and the questions raised, particularly those relating to the confessions made by respondent, were important. The judgment and sentence of the Court below was affirmed.

In the matter of William Conant. Error to St. Clair Circuit from an order made in habeas corpus proceeding. Writ dismissed.

The People *vs.* Louise Fairman. Error to Kalamazoo Circuit on order releasing on habeas corpus proceeding. Writ dismissed.

The People *vs.* Edward O'Brien, Jr. Exceptions from Allegan Circuit. Respondent was charged with the willful destruction of crops. Exceptions were sustained and a new trial was ordered.

The People *vs.* Minnie Bielfus. Error to Osceola. Respondent was charged with entering in the night time a millinery shop and stealing goods therefrom. Conviction set aside and a new trial granted.

The People *vs.* Augustus Gadway. Exceptions to Oakland Circuit. The crime charged was the selling of liquor within a radius of two miles of the Michigan Military Academy. The law under which the prosecution was had, was held unconstitutional and void and the prisoner was discharged.

The People *vs.* Nicholas Pline. Exceptions to Ionia Circuit. The respondent was charged with larceny. The first trial in Justices' Court resulted in a disagreement, and the jury was discharged and the case discontinued. A new complaint was made and trial had, resulting in a conviction, the defense resisting the former trial and discharge of the jury and *noll. pros.* of the case at bar. The conviction of respondent in the Circuit Court, on appeal, was affirmed.

The People *vs.* John M. Todd. Exceptions to Oakland Circuit. The charge was disorderly conduct in failing to support a wife. Exceptions sustained and Todd was discharged.

The People *vs.* Stephen Shufelt. Error to Oceana Circuit. Respondent was charged with selling liquor on Thanksgiving Day. Judgment reversed and defendant discharged.

The People *vs.* Franklin S. Scranton and Welcome S. Scranton. Exceptions from Mecosta Circuit. Respondent was charged with keeping his saloon open on Sunday. Conviction affirmed.

The People *vs.* Amos Felker. Error to Muskegon. Violation of liquor law was charged. Conviction was quashed and respondent released.

The People *vs.* Amos Felker (second case). Violation of liquor law was charged. The Circuit Judge imposed a partial sentence and postponed the remainder. Sentence and judgment set aside and reversed.

The People *vs.* James H. Moore. Error to Jackson Circuit. Respondent

was originally convicted and sentenced for burglary to the State Prison, and was, conditionally, pardoned by Governor Alger. He was complained of for having violated the conditions of the pardon, and summarily brought before the Court for trial, without preliminary examination. Proceedings held, and respondent was discharged.

The People *vs.* J. W. Petheram, impeached with others. The charge was conspiracy against the Newaygo Manufacturing Company. Submitted at the June Term of Court and undecided.

The People *vs.* James Gardner. Error to Eaton Circuit. Respondent was charged with embezzlement. Conviction affirmed.

The People *vs.* William Gage. Error to Oakland Circuit. Respondent was charged with assault with intent to commit rape. Conviction affirmed.

The People *vs.* Alexander T. Hurst. Exception from Recorder's Court of Detroit. The charge was embezzlement. Judgment reversed and respondent discharged.

The People *vs.* George Trombley. Error to Wayne Circuit. Alleged violation of section 9550 Howell's Statutes.

The People *vs.* Stephen Durfee. Error to Barry Circuit. Respondent was charged with the murder of Deputy Sheriff William Scudder, who was executing the service of lawful process upon him, and was convicted of murder in the first degree. The usual plea of insanity was urged, but the same was unsuccessful and the conviction below was affirmed.

The People *vs.* Jacob Stubenvoll. Error to Saginaw Circuit. Respondent was convicted of manslaughter, which conviction was affirmed in the Supreme Court, by a divided Court. Motion for a re-hearing was made, and refused by the Court.

The People *vs.* Jeremiah Chapman. Error to Wayne Circuit. Respondent was charged with rape. Judgment of the Court below was reversed and respondent discharged.

The People *vs.* Charles Fonda. Error to St. Joseph Circuit. Respondent was charged with embezzlement of the funds of a National bank. The question involved was the jurisdiction of the State Court, and whether the United States Courts had exclusive jurisdiction of such offenses. It was held that the Circuit Court was without jurisdiction, and its judgment was set aside and the prisoner discharged.

The People vs. Hudson J. Wakeley. Exceptions from Ionia Circuit. Respondent was charged with obtaining money by false pretenses. Judgment was reversed and a new trial ordered.

The People *vs.* B. F. Jones. Error to Shiawassee. Respondent was

arraigned, for threats to charge with crime. Conviction was quashed and prisoner released.

The People *vs.* Thomas Foley. Error to Clinton Circuit. Respondent was charged with the murder of his infant child, in the information filed against him. His two children were found dead in the morning, and it was claimed on the part of the People, that their death was caused by their father's acts. This case was argued and submitted at the October term of Court, and remains undecided.

The People *vs.* Daniel F. Wadsworth. Error to Delta Circuit. Respondent was convicted of embezzlement in the Circuit Court. Conviction was reversed and the prisoner discharged.

The People *vs.* Frank Mason. Error to Recorder's Court of Detroit. Respondent was charged with grand larceny. Conviction was affirmed.

The People *vs.* John Gleason. Error to Recorder's Court of Detroit. Respondent was charged with perjury. Conviction affirmed.

The People *vs.* Harry McDowell. Error to the Superior Court of Grand Rapids. Respondent was charged with the murder of Sylvia Sawdy, by causing an abortion. He was convicted of statutory manslaughter. The conviction was affirmed. Motion for a re-hearing, was denied by the Supreme Court.

Petition of Eugene Lamphere. *Habeas corpus.* Prisoner released from custody.

People *vs.* Walter Bussel. Certiorari to Police Justice of Detroit. Respondent discharged.

CASES PENDING.

People *vs.* Roxa Johnson. Error to Van Buren county.
People *vs.* James McAuley. Error to Alpena county.
People *vs.* John Schattery. Error to Kent county.
People *vs.* Charles Smith. Error to Muskegon county.
People *vs.* James Carr. Error to Gratiot county.
People *vs.* Joseph Shier. Error to Kent county.
People *vs.* Fred J. Dailey. Error to Kent county.
People *vs.* Timothy Coughlan. Error to Chippewa county.
People *vs.* Maggie Carr. Error to Clare county.
People *vs.* John Lyle and Francis Silleck. Error to Van Buren county.
People *vs.* Newton McCausey. Error to Ionia county.
People *vs.* John Girdler and Carrie Gill. Exception from Kent county.
People *vs.* Elizabeth Vanderhoof. Error to Berrien county.

People *vs.* Peter De Force. Error to Antrim county.
People *vs.* Peter Breidenstein. Exception from Kent county.
People *vs.* John Hey. Exception from Kent county.
People *vs.* George La Munion. Exception from Newaygo county.

SCHEDULE B.

Margaret B. Bangs, complainant, *vs.* Samuel M. Stephenson and William C. Stevens, Auditor General. Bill in chancery filed in county.

Maria A. Fitzhugh, complainant, *vs.* Charles Babo, County Treasurer, and William C. Stevens, Auditor General. Bill in chancery in county.

Henry Gamble *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General, and others. Bill in chancery in Ogemaw county.

Thomas McGraw, complainant, *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General, and others. Bill in chancery in Marquette county.

Martel Furnace Company, complainant, *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General, and others. Bill in chancery in Mackinac county.

Watts S. Humphrey and James M. Turner, complainants, *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General. Bill in chancery, Cheboygan county.

The Chicago Lumbering Company *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General, and the Treasurer of Schoolcraft county. Bill in chancery in Schoolcraft county.

Henry A. Loud *et al.*, complainants, *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General. Bill in chancery in Oscoda county.

Luke D. McKenna *et al.*, complainants, *vs.* The City of Escanaba, William C. Stevens, and others. Bill in chancery in Delta county.

Henry W. Sage, complainant, *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General, and others. Bill in chancery in Otsego county.

Winthrop Iron Company and Lake Angeline Company, complainants, *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General, and B. W. Wright, County Treasurer of Marquette county. Bill in chancery in Marquette county.

The Adrian, Michigan, Water Works, complainant, *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General, and John Hoag, County Treasurer of Lenawee county. Bill in chancery in Lenawee county.

The Manistique Lumber Company, complainant, *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General *et al.* Bill in chancery in Schoolcraft county.

Arthur N. Hart *et al.*, complainants, *vs.* The City of Lansing, Auditor General and others, defendants. Bill in chancery in Ingham county.

Morris Payne, complainant, *vs.* John G. Kettle and Minor S. Newell, Land Commissioner. Bill in chancery in Muskegon county. This case has been appealed to the Supreme Court from a decree sustaining a demurrer filed to complainant's bill.

The above causes are bills filed to restrain tax sales, and the collection of taxes.

The State has no direct interest in this litigation, and inasmuch as the counties, townships and cities directly involved in the controversy, are to be defended by either the Prosecuting Attorney or City Attorney, the defense, so far as the State was concerned, was referred to the Prosecuting Attorneys of the several counties where the litigation is pending.

SCHEDULE C.

Attorney General on the relation of Rufus Gleason *vs.* Bejamin F. Bradley and Alvin D. Salsbury. Mandamus.

Attorney General vs. the Raoul Picket Manufacturing Company. Information in the nature of a quo warranto.

Attorney General *ex. rel.* Walter Crane *vs.* Ferdinand Amos. Information in the nature of a quo warranto, authorized by me.

Attorney General *vs.* the Detroit and Saline Plank Road Company. Leave given to Edwin F. Conley, Esq., to apply to the Supreme Court for leave to file an information.

Attorney General *vs.* Levi S. Rice. Information signed and quo warranto proceedings, authorized.

Attorney General on the relation of Clarence W. Altman *vs.* The Board of Trustees of the village of St. Louis. Information signed to be filed in the Gratiot Circuit Court in chancery, to restrain the illegal use of public funds.

The People on the relation of the Attorney General vs. Herschel H. Hatch. Quo warranto proceedings. Judgment in the Supreme Court for the defense, upon demurrer to the information.

SCHEDULE D.

Auditor General *vs.* Board of Supervisors of Saginaw county.

This was a mandamus proceeding, to recover an alleged balance due the State. The writ was denied, but under the opinion filed, an accounting, without further litigation, will be had, giving to the State something over $30,000.00.

Catherine Locke, Administrator relator, *vs.* John G. Speed, Circuit Judge of Wayne county.

This was an application by the relator, to compel the Circuit Judge to allow Coroners' fees. Question was raised as to the validity of the law directing Circuit Judges to allow claims against the State. The writ prayed, was granted.

Seymour Foster, relator, *vs.* Auditor General.

Application to compel the Auditor General to sell tax lands to the petitioner. Writ was denied petitioner.

The People *vs.* Grand Rapids Boom Company.

Action pending in the Kent Circuit Court for damages for conversion of land taken from State lands.

State of Michigan *vs.* Michael Engleman.

Action pending in the Manistee Circuit upon Engleman's bond to secure payment of purchase money of State lands.

The People *ex rel.* Attorney General *vs.* Roderick McNeil, *et al.*, claiming to constitute the Mutual Benefit Marriage Association.

The business of such association, as I have been advised, having been abandoned, this case has not been pressed. The proceeding is a quo warranto one.

The People *vs.* A. P. Swineford.

This is a suit at law, pending in the Marquette Circuit, to secure an accounting for funds received by Swineford, to aid in the Michigan exhibit at the New

Orleans Exposition. Issue has been joined, but defendant's bill of particulars is not received, though past due, under the rules of Court.

The Board of Supervisors of Chippewa County *vs.* William C. Stevens, Auditor General.

Petition to Supreme Court for mandamus to compel the Auditor General to cancel his rejection of taxes, assessed in Chippewa county, against the Detroit, Mackinac and Marquette Railroad Company.

SCHEDULE E.

The cases of Charles H. Hackley and Thomas Hume *et al.*, *vs.* Andrew E. Mack, were decided for the complainants in the Supreme Court, reversing the decree of the Court below.

A bill has now been filed in the Clare Circuit Court in chancery, in the name of the Auditor General, to enforce the lien of the State for the tax against the railroad company. The questions involved are important, and the result uncertain. The case has been argued upon demurrer, and submitted to the Circuit Court.

Detroit, Mackinac & Marquette Railway Company *vs.* Land Commissioner.

Injunction bill, filed in the Circuit Court of Wayne, in chancery, to restrain the Land Commissioner from the sale of certain lands on Bois Blanc Island and elsewhere. Since filing the above bill, complainant has applied to the Board of Control of swamp lands for the same, and such Board has reported adversely thereon. Suit has been discontinued.

Henry Webster *vs.* Minor S. Newell, Land Commissioner.

Suit pending in Muskegon Circuit Court, in chancery. The bill of complaint was demurred to, and upon argument, such demurrer was sustained by the Circuit Judge, and steps have been taken on the part of the complainant to perfect an appeal to the Supreme Court.

James C. Fargo *vs.* Auditor General.

Original bill filed in Washtenaw Circuit, in chancery, and at the date of my last report, was pending on appeal from decree of State Court, to the United States Supreme Court, taken by complainant. The case involves the validity of act 152, laws of 1883, and remains undisposed of in the last named Court.

National Car Company *vs.* The Auditor General.

Involves the same question as the case of Fargo *vs.* Auditor General, and by stipulation, awaits the decision of such cause.

William C. Stevens, Auditor General, *vs.* The Lake George and Muskegon River Railroad Company *et al.*

Bill in chancery in Clare county, to enforce tax lien.

SCHEDULE F.

1. Mutual City and Village Fire Insurance Company of Berrien, Cass and Van Buren counties. Amendments approved, March 10, 1886.

2. Farmers' Mutual Fire Insurance Company of Kalkaska, Missaukee and Wexford counties. Articles of association approved, March 22, 1886.

3. Southern Michigan Tornado, Wind-Storm, and Cyclone Insurance Companies. Approved amendments, March 25, 1886.

4. Concordia Mutual Insurance Company of Bay and Saginaw counties. Articles of association approved, April 12, 1886.

5. Citizens' Mutual Fire Insurance Company of Pulaski, for Jackson, Hillsdale and Calhoun counties. Articles of association approved, August 20, 1886.

6. Tentonia Farmers' Mutual Fire Insurance Company of Macomb and Wayne counties. Approved amended articles of association, September 23, 1886.

7. Grand Rapids Fire Insurance Company. Amendments of articles of association approved, September 24, 1886.

8. Standard Life and Accident Insurance Company of Detroit. Amendments to articles of association approved, January 29, 1886.

9. Washtenaw Farmers' Mutual Fire Insurance Company. Approved amendments to articles of association, January 30, 1886.

OPINIONS

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL.

INDEX TO OPINIONS.

	Opinion. No.
Assessment of taxes	1
Assessment of logs on banks of streams in transit	8
Bounties—Act 23, laws of 1864	17
Charges against A. P. Swineford	23
Children—Employment of, under 14 years of age	24
Construction of act 170, section 1, laws of 1885, as applied to soldiers	3
Construction of act No. 83, laws of 1885	26
Construction of sections 41, 43, laws of 1885	27
Dentistry practice	7
Drain Law, 1885—Township Drain Commissioner to file, etc	15
Escapes—Statutes of limitations, recaptures, etc	11
Insurance—Infant	22
Insurance—Construction of section 2, act of 1881	6
Insurance—Chapter 132, Howell's Statutes	20
Liquor Law—When new bonds required	9
Liquor Law—Collection of fines	21
Medical Practitioners—Construction of article 169, laws of 1883	12
Military Law—Organization of regiments, etc	16
Mississippi Mutual Insurance Company	5
Pest Houses, Concerning—Section 483, Howell's Statutes	18
Presumption of Insanity	2
Prosecuting Attorneys—Legal advisers of, etc	25
Railroads—Lands patented to them by mistake	14
Representative Districts—Division of counties into	19
School Libraries—Compiled laws of 1871 and 1881	10
School Taxes—How assessed	13
Sheriff's Bond—Renewal	4
State Swamp Lands, Construction of Act 36, Laws of 1873, etc	28

OPINIONS.

[No. I.]

Assessment of taxes.—Real estate exempt at the time when assessment is made, and roll completed, not assessable under the statute authorizing assessments as of the second Monday of April.—Auditor General authority to reject such taxes.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, Dec. 1, 1886.

Honorable W. C. Stevens, Auditor General, Lansing, Mich.:

DEAR SIR:—In your letter of 24th ult. you refer to me for my opinion, on the petition of Charles W. Calkins, a member of St. Mark's church, of Grand Rapids, asking that certain taxes, levied upon the parsonage of said church, be rejected by you; and you ask my opinion as to your authority to reject such taxes. The deed of the property in question was obtained by the said society, on the 12th day of May, 1884.

The taxes in question were assessed for such year. As appears by the affidavits of James G. McBride, the proposition made by D. P. Clay, the former owner, was accepted by said society, on or about May 1, in the same year, and possession of the premises was turned over to the officers of the church, at the same time. Section 83, of the tax law of 1882, provides for the presentment of a petition to the Auditor General for the rejection of illegal taxes, and authorizes the Auditor General, in a case where the tax was paid, or the land to be assessed, or *the land not liable to assessment*, to reject the same.

Section 80, in providing for reassessment of rejected taxes, refers to those rejected for the reason, that such land was not subject to taxation at the time of the assessment of such taxes," and this tends to show the true test to be, as to whether the land was assessable at such date. By *Section 15* of the tax law of 1882, the supervisor must, on or before the third Monday of May, make and complete an assessment roll, and after such date this roll goes before the Board of Review, for correction. Under the charter of Grand Rapids, the date is about ten days later. This assessment roll, at any time before completion by the supervisor, is subject to change in his hands, and subsequently may be changed by the Board of Review. It is not a completed assessment roll, until the time it passes from the hands of the supervisors, and some question might be made, as to the legal character of the paper up to the time of its approval and adoption by the Board of Review. From the showing made by the petition of Mr. Calkins, and the affidavit of Mr. McBride tendered me, it would appear that the property in question, was exempt from taxation before the assessment roll was completed, under subdivision third, section 3, of said tax law, and under the charter of Grand Rapids, so that when the taxes were assessed, and the assessment roll made, and the first step

taken by the proper officer toward subjecting this land to taxation, it was not taxable.

The only statute throwing any doubt upon the question, is the last clause of *Section 12*, of said law, which reads, "All property shall be assessed as of the second Monday of April." This is a part of a section, requiring from taxpayers, statements of the amount of their taxable property in detail, and the making of statements by banks, of all of their real and personal estate, and fixing the date which ordinarily controls in making out the roll, both as to the amounts of taxable property, and the owner of the same. At such date, of course, there is no personal charge against the owner, and no lien upon the land, and I think, even as to the ownership of the land, if it should be sold before the roll was completed, it would be competent for the assessor to make a correction of his roll. so as to assess the real estate to the new owner.

In this case, before any roll is completed, the property sought to be taxed becomes by a *bona fide* sale, absolutely exempt. It was not assessable when assessed, but on the second Monday of April was taxable property. I think that under the law authorizing you to reject taxes assessed against lands not liable to assessment, at the time of making the assessment, you have sufficient authority to reject the taxes assessed against the parsonage of St. Mark's church. It seems reasonable, in a matter of this character, where the Legislature has shown, by the law exempting church property, an intent to relieve religious societies of the burdens of taxation, to adopt, if necessary, a liberal construction. As I view the law, the society has no recourse, if compelled to pay this tax, and would have had none, if it had paid it the year when assessed.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 2.]

Presumption of insanity.—Action of Board of Corrections and Charities under act 1901, Sec. 29, laws 1881.—Transfer of insane criminals from State prison to Criminal Insane Asylum.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, Jan. 30, 1886.

Rt. Rev. Geo. D. Gillespie, Chairman Board of Corrections and Charities:

DEAR SIR:—You have referred to me for my consideration, the matter of Louis Pirizig, *alias* L. Pardy, who is confined at the Michigan Asylum for Insane Criminals, and the letter of Dr. O. R. Long and Hon. John J. Wheeler, and ask for my construction of act "*208*," 190. I suppose you mean Sec. 29 of 1881. It appears from the statement of Dr. Long, that Pirizig was transferred to this asylum on the 7th day of November, 1885, and that his sentence expired on the 9th day of November, 1885. The section in question, of the act, is somewhat uncertain, if not defective. It provides, "In case the insanity of any convict shall continue after the expiration of his sentence, he shall be retained in said asylum, until adjudged by the medical superintendent and Board of Corrections and Charities, a fit subject to be discharged. It requires, when his term of sentence has expired, and he is still insane, the joint action of both the Medical Superintendent and Board of Corrections and Charities.

When he is restored to reason before expiration of sentence, then a certificate by the

Medical Superintendent of that fact, is sufficient authority to return him to the prison from which he came. If the Medical Superintendent, before the expiration of sentence, had certified that Pirizig was restored to reason, he could have been returned to the State Prison. No action having been taken or certificate made, until after the expiration of his term of sentence, is it not the fair legal presumption that Perizig's insanity continued? If not, then we have a case to which the statute does not apply. Has the Medical Superintendent authority to make a certificate which shall have a retrospective effect, so as to avoid express statutory provisions? If his certificate can have such effect the only action authorized upon his sole certificate, namely, the re-transfer of the prisoner to the State Prison, cannot be taken because the sentence has expired. If not given a retrospective effect, then the statute requires positively the action of the Board of Corrections and Charities, in order that he be discharged, except as to the provision in the last clause of the section, which is not involved in the question asked. I think your Board should act as suggested by Dr. Long, in his communication. It appears quite possible that the physician at the State Prison might differ from Dr. Long as to Pirizig's sanity from the 7th to the 9th day of November. He decided on the 7th that Pirizig was insane, and upon his arrival at Ionia, Dr. Long (the same day, I suppose), decided him sane. Doctors will differ, and the legal presumption is quite likely as near the fact as medical opinion in this case.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 3.]

Act 170, Sec. 1, laws 1885.—Construction as to application of to soldiers dying before date of its enactment.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, Feb. 22, 1886.

General John Robertson, Adjutant General:

SIR:—Yours of the 18th inst. referring to me the question, asking whether a union soldie buried as a pauper, before the law providing for payment of expenses of burial of such soldier was enacted, can be removed, and be buried in the township burying ground, at the expense of the county. *Act 170, Section 1, of laws of 1885*, provides for the appointment by the Board of Supervisors of a person "to look after and cause to be interred in a decent and respectable manner, in any cemetery and burying ground within the State * * * at an expense not to exceed forty dollars, the body or any honorably discharged Union soldier, sailor, or marine who shall hereafter die, not leaving means sufficient to defray the necessary funeral expenses."

You will see, therefore, that by the express provisions of the act in question, this law only applies to those ex-union soldiers dying after the law was passed, and took effect June 10, 1885.

Undoubtedly if the matter had been brought to the attention of the Legislature, the law would have been so drafted as to cover this class of cases, as in justice it should have been.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 4.]

Sheriff's bond.—Renewal.—Failure of sheriff to renew bond as required by law. *Sec. 584, Howell's Statutes*, article 10, Sec. 5 of Constitution. Held, when sheriff had filed bond, and was a *defacto* officer, and failed to renew bond in the time fixed by statute, it was proper for him to thereafter file the bond required by law.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, March 16, 1886.

Gil. R. Osmun, Esq., Private Secretary to Gov. Alger:

SIR:—Yours 11th instant is before me relative to a petition asking for the appointment of the sheriff of Oscoda county as his own successor, on account, through oversight, of having failed to renew his bond under *Sec. 584 Howell's statutes.* I understand that he continued to act as sheriff after that date, and, under *Dunphy vs. Whipple, 25 Mich., 10*, he would be at least a *defacto* officer. *Article 10, Sec. 5, of State Constitution*, provides the sheriff "may be required by law to renew his security from time to time, and in default of giving such security, his office shall be deemed vacant." The statute in question, makes no provision holding the office vacant if security is not filed within the time specified. The case cited above does hold, that if such statute is not complied with, and the sheriff continues to act, that original bond filed is good as security for acts then performed as sheriff. In *Dunphy vs. Whipple* the Court raises the *query* whether the section of Constitution referred to, does not anticipate and authorize legislative enactment, declaring the office vacant, rather than a holding and construction of the statute itself, to the effect that such office shall at once be vacant, on failure of any sheriff to file new security on the day named. The ordinary rule of construction of statutes, is, that when a statute required an act to be done within a time named, and no rights intervene, and no one can be injured by the performance of the act at a later day, it will be regarded as directory, and not mandatory, and may be complied with, at a day later than that fixed by the law. This statute in question, would come within this rule of construction unless the constitutional provision referred to, requires a more stringent and different one.

In view of the decision in *Dunphy vs. Whipple*, and the intimation there made, as well as what appears to me to be a reasonable construction of both the constitution and statute, I am of the opinion that Mr. Henry E. Rockafellow can now renew his bond, and that if he does so at once, the title to his office cannot be questioned.

This sufficiently answers the question submitted.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 5.]

Mississippi Mutual Insurance Company.—Failure to comply with act 121, laws 1883.—On what terms such companies can be admitted into the State under act 36 of the laws of 1883, in view of the provisions of section 4359, Howell's statutes. Can be admitted on payment of one penalty of $250.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, April 10, 1886.

Hon. Henry S. Raymond, Insurance Commissioner;

DEAR SIR:—You state that the Mississippi Valley Manufacturers' Mutual Insurance Company complied with the provisions of act 121 of the laws of 1883. That in the year 1885, it failed to comply with this law, and its authority was not renewed for such year, but notwithstanding its lack of authority, it continues to do business in the State. That said company now seeks to do business in the State, and is willing to pay tax upon business done without authority, and in violation of law.

You ask, whether the company can be admitted under *act 36 of the laws of 1883*, or any law, before payment of penalty provided by statute.

Act 36 of laws of 1883 provides that it shall be lawful for any mutual insurance company, organized under the laws of any other State, and being possessed of $200,000 net assets, to transact business the same as stock companies may do upon receiving from the Commissioner of Insurance a certificate of authority. After providing how the capital of the company shall be treated, the act reads: "In all other respects such mutual fire insurance companies shall be subject to all the penalties and provisions of law applicable to stock fire insurance companies, of other States transacting business in this State." To see what provisions and penalties are applicable to stock and fire insurance companies of other States, we refer to *act 148 of the laws of 1881, section 4359, Howell's statutes*, which reads as follows: "Any fire or marine insurance company or association that may issue, or permit to be issued, any policy of insurance on property in this State, not having authority so to do, shall be deemed to have incurred the penalty of two hundred and fifty dollars; and no such company or association shall thereafter be authorized to do fire or marine insurance business in this State, until such penalty shall have been paid."

Act 121 of the laws of 1883, with which you say the Company in question complied, provides, "That no insurance company which has violated the provisions of said act, shall be admitted, until it has paid into the office of the State Treasurer, a penalty of two hundred and fifty dollars." You do not say whether any prosecution was had under the law of 1881, but I assume not, from the statement made. That act would require the money or penalty to be paid to the county treasurer, before authority should be granted. *Act 121 of 1883* requires the payment of a like penalty to the State Treasurer. My opinion is, that this provision of the latter act, so far as the former is applicable to this class of companies, would modify it, and that as a condition of obtaining authority, this company should pay the penalty of $250 fixed by the law of 1883, and that only one penalty can be exacted. I do not lose sight of that part of *Sec. 42 of act 121 of laws of 1883*, which provides that mutual companies which shall comply with this act, within six months succeeding its going into effect shall be relieved of penalties imposed by *Sec. 6 of act 148 of the laws of 1881*. I construe this, to apply to companies having violated the act of *1881*, before the new law of *1883* took effect, and think that companies not having violated the law of 1881, when the act of 1883 took effect, but which thereafter have violated the insurance law, may be again, upon compliance with other requirements of the statute, and the payment of the penalty of $250,

be authorized to do business in the State of Michigan. If the company wishes to test this question I will appear in Supreme Court this term and secure a legal construction.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 6.]

Construction of Section 2 of Act of 1881. Companies to either deposit with State Treasurer of this State, or with chief financial officer or insurance commissioner of the State where organized.— English companies not authorized to do business in Michigan by depositing $100,000 in State where not organized. Sec. 3, of act of 1881, not affect Sec. 4225 of Howell's Statutes.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, May 6, 1886.

Hon. H. S. Raymond, Commissioner of Insurance:

DEAR SIR:—Your inquiry of the 4th inst. as to law applicable to the Employers' "Liability Assurance Company." was received and at the first opportunity I answer the same.

This company desires admisssion as a foreign company, to do business in the State of Michigan, under *act 221, of the Laws of 1881, chapter 135, of Howell's Statutes.*

You ask: 1st, Whether a deposit of $100,000 with the Superintendent of Insurance of New York, is a compliance with *Section 2, of the laws of 1881?* 2d. Whether *Section 3 of the act of 1881* should be so construed as to substitute *Section 4225, Howell's Statutes*, in the place of said *Section 2?*

Section 2, of the act of 1881, authorizes companies with paid up capital of $100,000, by depositing at least $100,000 with the State Treasurer of this State, or with the chief financial officer or commissioner of insurance of the State where such company or association is organized, and duly assigned to such officer in trust for the benefit of all policy holders, to do business in Michigan.

This money is to be deposited with the State Treasurer of this State, or the treasurer or commissioner of insurance of the State where such company is organized. Is this company organized in the State of New York? If an English company, as you state, to have an organization in that State it must be a branch or sub-organization.

If in fact the company desiring admission to this State, is organized in England alone, then this money should be deposited with the State Treasurer of Michigan, instead of with that officer, or the insurance commissioner of New York. Possibly it might deposit such money with the insurance commissioner of England, if such an officer is there provided.

I see no authority under this act to which my attention is called (*Act 237 of 1881*), for any company not organized in the State of New York, depositing money with an officer of that State, to entitle it to do business in the State of Michigan. This deposit is required to be made in this State, or with the proper officer of the State where organized.

Section 3, of this act, does not in effect repeal and render nugatory, the preceding section by its reference to *Section 4225 of Howell's Statutes.* It would be a most remarkable statute that would bear such a construction as that. No attempt, in my judgment,

is made to give it, to any extent, such an effect, or to in any way modify such section. It reads, "Such individual, company or association, shall be required to comply with the laws of this State regulating the business of life insurance in respect to the appointment of attorney, etc. * * * *" and in the various particulars specially set forth in this section, none of which as I read it, does away with the express requirements of said *Section 2*. In the particulars mentioned in *Section 3*, these non-resident companies are required to comply with the law of the State applicable to the business of life insurance, which is found in *Section 4225 of Howell's*, but the requirements of *Section 2, of the Act of 1881*, should be complied with to entitle these companies to do business in Michigan.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 7.]

Dentistry.—Practice.—Construction of act 140 of the Laws of 1883.—A qualified dentist cannot rent his office and authorize one not qualified under the law, to carry on the busineis for six months.—A practitioner without license, cannot transact business from place to place by agreement with a licensed dentist, to do it in his name.—Unlicensed assistants or students can be employed in offices.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, May 10, 1886.

G. S. Shattuck, Esq., Secretary State Board Dental Examiners:

SIR:—Your communication of the 8th inst., relative to construction of *Act 140, of the laws of 1883*, duly received. You ask three questions, which I assume refer to actual experince of your Board in the performance of its duties under the law.

1st. Whether a qualified dentist in active practice can leave his office for six months or a year for a trip abroad, and employ during his absence a man to carry on his business who is not qualified either by license, registration, or otherwise, and whether such person can do ordinary dentistry work, without violation of the law.

2d. Whether a man without license or authority to practice dentistry, can by agreement with an authorized practitioner, to pay him some certain sum, or a certain percent, (upon the receipts of his business of dentistry under such cover), without violation of law, either locally or by traveling about from point to point.

3d. Whether a regular practitioner can employ an assistant who is not qualified under the law, to fill and extract teeth, and insert artificial teeth, and do other work of a dentist.

I answer to the first two questions an unqualified negative. The law would be rendered nugatory and without effect, if susceptible of a construction which would permit such practices. It was intended to protect the public from quack dentists, and must be so construed.

As to the third question the answer depends upon the circumstances of each employment. I can see no objection to the employment of a student in a dentist's office, who by observation as well as practice, under the direct charge and instruction of his principal, is endeavoring to fit himself for the profession.

If one is employed and paid as an assistant, and not as a principal, under the directions and instructions of his employer, an authorized dentist, my opinion is, it would

be permissible under this law, and that such work should be considered that of the regular practitioner.

This assistant cannot act as a principal and perform work independent of his employer.

The object of the law is to secure to the public skilled, scientific work, and while a student or assistant under the directions of a compent dentist, might perform a particular act of dentistry properly, or aid the scientific practitioner, so perhaps as to secure as good or better work than he alone can accomplish, further than this, he cannot go.

Such employment should not be permitted to be used as a cloak to avoid compliance with this law. Your Board can but determine from its knowledge of the profession, what assistants are ordinarily used or needed in its practice.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 8.]

Assessment of logs upon banks of streams and lakes, awaiting transit.—Such logs to be assessed in township where piled, the second Monday of April, under sub. 4, Sec. 11, act 153, of 1885.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, June 17, 1886.

G. E. Frost, Esq., Prosecuting Attorney of Cheboygan Co., Mich.:

DEAR SIR:—Your communication of the 15th inst. relative to method of assessing logs upon the banks of lakes and streams, is before me.

You state that parties owning mills in Cheboygan, during the past winter got out logs in Burt township, and piled them on the banks of lakes and streams in such township, and that on the second Monday of April, such logs were still piled on the bank, as they had been for some time.

The question you ask is whether such logs are taxable in Burt or Cheboygan. Subdivision 4, of Sec. 11, of act 153, laws of 1885, is the controlling statute. This reads, "All forest products owned by residents or *non*-residents of this State, shall be assessed to the owner or the person having control thereof, in the township or ward where the same may be, except that when such property is in transit to some place within the State, it shall be assessed in such place. If this statute had stopped right here, the question would not be a difficult one to determine, in my judgment. When in transit, "on the second Monday of April, and thereafter found in the waters or streams of this State, then the place of destination is that where the assessment is to be made. If this statute was enacted with the understanding that the the term 'transit' applied, to logs piled for some time upon the banks of streams, would it not also have referred to logs in such condition as well as those 'found in the waters or streams of this State.'"

The proviso of this subdivision 4, however, seems to clearly solve any doubt that might otherwise exist as to the true construction of this section. It reads, that all lumber, logs, etc., * * * that may be piled or left in any yard, railroad reserve, or in any shed *or in any other place,* shall not be deemed in transit, but shall be

assessed to the owner thereof in the township or ward where the same may be situate at the time provided for by law, for taking any assessment.

This would require an assessment of logs piled upon the banks of streams and lakes in Burt township, on the second Monday of April, to be assessed in such township.

Yours truly,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 9.]

Liquor Law.—Removal of surety upon bond from the corporate limits. Grounds for requiring new bond by county treasurer.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, July 16, 1886.

Thos. W. Averill, Esq., County Treasurer, Harrison, Mich. :

DEAR SIR :—Yours of the 14th inst, duly received. You state that a party gave bonds under the liquor law with sureties residing within the corporate limits of a village, and that one of the sureties has temporarily removed outside of the village as "he says," and ask if it is the duty of the county treasurer to require a new bond.

If the removal is only a temporary absence for the purpose of a visit, or the care of a farm crop, and not such as to actually change the residence of the surety, then no new surety is required under the law, but if the residence is changed so that in case of an election, the surety has lost his right to vote in the village, the law would apply, and a new surety be required. You have not stated your opinion as to whether the removal was only temporary, or not.

With the view here indicated, you will, from the knowledge you possess of the circumstances, have no difficulty in arriving at a correct conclusion.

Yours truly,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 10.]

Chapter 137 Compiled Laws of 1871, repealed by act 164 of the laws of 1881.—School district libraries organized under the laws of 1859, not provided for.—New legislation required.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE.
Lansing, July 26, 1886.

H. R. Pattingill, Esq., Editor of The Michigan School Moderator :

DEAR SIR :—Your letter of the 16th inst., referring to me that of Dr. Wilbur, was duly received. It seems that the township of Blissfield, under the laws of 1859, p. 571, chapter 137, section 3748, of the Compiled Laws of 1871, voted, as it was authorized by such law, for the establishment of district libraries, and since such establishment of district libraries, has never taken any measures to return to a township library. Dr.

Wilber states that "said law has never been repealed or anything done to deprive the districts either of their books, or their just proportion of the library fund."

To a certain extent at least this statement is erroneous. The laws relating to public instruction and primary schools, were revised and consolidated by *act 164, of the laws of 1881*, and by *chapter 13, section 11, page 200*, the act of 1859, being *chapter 137 of the Compiled Laws of 1871*, was in express terms repealed.

The only provision under the new law, for the organization of district libraries requires that the school census shall show one hundred children within the proper age before such organization can be effected. *Howell's Statutes, section 5141.* School districts with less than the requisite number of children, cannot under the present law organize a district library, but must depend upon the township library.

In the enactment of the law of 1881, the district libraries, formed under the law of 1859, appear to have been overlooked, and no provision made for their support and maintenance. This was an oversight, as it leaves the status of such libraries in some doubt, but it does not necessarily follow that they have no legal existence and are not entitled to re-organization. I think the matter calls for legislation, which can undoubtedly be secured from the next State Legislature, and without knowing more fully the particular circumstances of the district library in question, and others that may have been organized under the same law, prefer not to give an opinion as to their legal standing, without better light and a fuller investigation. If additional legislation is obtained, it will provide for these libraries in a manner to secure them all rights. Sections 5145 and 5146 of Howell's Statutes provide the method of applying library moneys to township and district libraries.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 11.]

Escapes.—Statute of limitation.—Recapture of prisoner.—Can be recaptured, although the escape was for longer period than prosecution would be permissible under statute of limitations.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, Sept. 27, 1886.

Albert G. Day, Esq., Ex-Prosecuting Attorney, Newaygo Co., Mich.:

DEAR SIR:—You called my attention to a case of a conviction, some ten years since in Newaygo county, where the prisoner, after sentence for rape, and before imprisonment in the State prison, escaped from the officers of the law, and ask whether such prisoner can now be re-taken and compelled to serve out the sentence passed upon him. From the examination I have been able to give the matter, I think that such prisoner can be re-taken legally, and compelled to submit to the punishment imposed by your court. I do not discover in our statute any limitation on a sentence for criminal offense, and I do find in *Howell's compilation, Sec. 9, 712*, the following language: "If any prisoner shall be re-taken, the time between the escape and his re-committal shall not be computed as part of the term of imprisonment, but he shall remain in prison a sufficient length of time after the term of his sentence would have expired, if

he had not escaped, to equal the period of time he may have been absent by reason of such escape." The language is certainly broad enough to extend to the case put by you, and in the absence of any other statutory provision, repealing or modifying this, I think it should be held applicable. The enactment of this statute was prior to the date of the conviction in question, it being found in *act No. 213, of the laws of 1875.* I call attention to the case of Schauble *vs.* the Sheriff (10th Harris, Pa., page 18). This is a case where the party was convicted and sentenced to remain in custody until a fine of $75 should have been paid, and after being imprisoned for a time, the commissioners of the county discharged the prisoner without requiring the payment of the fine. The Sheriff of the county, believing the discharge illegal, recaptured the prisoner, and *habeas corpus* proceedings were taken to secure his release. The court says: "A party who is in custody, accused on conviction of a criminal offense, whether he be in jail awaiting his trial, or in execution of a sentence after trial, if he escapes, he may be recaptured *at any time* afterwards."

The action of the commissioners was held illegal, and the re-arrest of the sheriff proper. *1st Russell on Crimes, page 586 : 1st Vol. Bishop Criminal Procedure, Secs. 620 and 889,* and the *Commonwealth vs. The Sheriff, Pa. 187 Schauble vs. Sheriff, 10 Harris. Pa. 18,* are substantially to the same point.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 12.]

Act 169 laws 1883.—Medical practitioners who have complied with the law in the county where they reside, can treat patients in other counties without registration in such counties.—As to wandering physicians.—Query.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, Oct. 2, 1886.

A. R. Tripp, Esq., Prosecuting Attorney of Oakland county :

DEAR SIR:—Your inquiry of the 29th is before me asking as to the construction of *act 169, of the laws of 1883,* and whether "persons from other parts of the country" can come into your county for a few days, or a day or two at a time, and practice surgery or medicine, without having registered with your county clerk.

Many of our noted and best physicians, with a settled home practice, extend such practice into several counties, and they are liable to be called into any part of the State. The statute provides that the statement sworn to, shall be filed with the county clerk of the county "wherein he has been engaged in practice, or in which he intends to practice." I do not think this should be so construed as to preclude physicians non-resident of the county, to attend their patients within it, or compel them to file a statement in every county where they are called to visit a patient, but that if they have complied with the law in their own counties, and where their offices are, it is sufficient.

There may be wandering physicians, having no settled home, who practice equally in different counties, that should be required to file statements in each, because equally

engaged in each, but if there are such cases, each must be determined on the facts and circumstances connected with his business, and I do not see that I can, without the particulars before me, be of any assistance.

Yours truly,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 13.]

School taxes to be assessd on the real estate of the district, and the personality of residents of the district, whenever such personality may be located in the township.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, October 13, 1886.

F. H. Brown, Esq., Blissfield, Mich.:

MY DEAR SIR:—I suppose in the matter to which you refer, I have not made myself fully understood. With the great mass of correspondence of my office, it is impossible for me to do full justice to questions asked by the numerous school district and township officials. These inquiries should be addressed to the Prosecuting Attorneys of the various counties, as it is not made a part of my duty to act as the legal adviser of such officers. When time will permit, have frequently attempted to assist in this direction, but not to go into detail, and give full reasons and authorities. I will, however, endeavor in this matter to make myself understood, as there is such a misunderstanding, and trust this may be considered an answer to all of the inquiries which have been made as to this matter. The law does not in terms provide, how, as between school districts assessments shall be made. The districts in question, as I understand, are not fractional, but each in the same township entirely. The statute providing for assessment of school taxes reads: "It shall be the duty of the supervisor of the township, to assess the taxes voted by every school district in his township, and also all other taxes provided for in this act, chargeable against such district or township upon the taxable property of the district or township respectively." * * * * —*Howell's Statutes, Sec 5090.*

I do not think the general law of 1885, providing for the assessment of property by supervisors, has any particular bearing upon these assessments of *school taxes to school districts*, nor that the exceptions in such law are applicable to the assessment under consideration. If an attempt should be made to apply this law made applicable to townships only, to the subdivision of townships into school districts, many difficulties would arise that I need not enumerate.

Real estate must be taxed where located. Personal property must be taxed where the owner resides, unless the statute otherwise provides. *Cooley on Taxation, pp. 269, 270.* The law says that these taxes shall be assessed upon "*the taxable property* of the district."

I think there is no law changing the common law rule, in school districts' assessments of taxes which would require the personal property of the resident of one district to be assessed in another, and therefore I would advise that school district taxes be levied and collected accordingly, viz.: "Upon the real estate located in the school district, and all of the taxable personal property of residents of such district, without regard to its location in the townships."

What I have stated is without exception, as I understand the law to be, as applied to the school district in question.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 14.]

Lands approved to the State and patented to railroads by mistake.—State not interested.—Application may be made for reconveyance to U. S. Government, to enable it to transfer same to real owner, or real parties in interest may proceed against the railroad company as trustee.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, July 30, 1886.

Hon. Russell A. Alger, Governor:

DEAR SIR:—In reply to letter from the Interior Department of date May 18, 1886, placed before me a few days since, and relating to the claims of Frederick VonHelmerick, Wm. Jennings, Chas. Blanck, and John Brown, I would say that I have examined somewhat the questions involved and authorities cited, and the statement there made agrees with the conclusion, namely, that there was an oversight on the part of officials in approving these particular lands to the State, for the benefit of the railroad company, which I now understand holds the legal title. It appears from this statement that Jennings' and Blanck's entries were cancelled, while those of VonHelmerick and Brown were approved for patents. The error appears to have been by officials of the Interior Department, and not those of this State. It occurs to me that the rights of these parties interested as owner of these lands, or the equitable title, may have a double remedy, first by suit against the Flint & Pere Marquette Railroad Company as trustees for the real owners; and, second, that the U. S. Government can reclaim the title for the purpose of conferring the same, upon those entitled by reason of entries made as stated in said communication from the Interior department. I do not see that the State is such an interested party that it can institute a suit to reclaim title, to be transferred to the general government for the real parties in interest and equitable owners. If the railroad company will comply with such a request as the Interior Department asks to have made, think no objection can be urged against it, and I would recommend, therefore, that the company (the F. & P. M. R. R.), be requested to re-transfer title to those lands, to the end that the error made by the Interior Department be corrected, and that the equitable owners be clothed with legal title.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 15.]

Drain Law, 1885.—Township drain commissioner to file papers with township clerk, who shall keep a record of same.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, November 10, 1886.

James L. Spencer, Esq., County Clerk Genesee County:

DEAR SIR:—You state that several township clerks, ask if they are required to make a record at length of the drain proceedings under the drain law; or, are only required to file papers presented them to file, by the drain commissioner. This matter you refer to me for my opinion. *Section 6, of act 227, laws of 1885,* provides, "The county drain commissioner shall also make and keep in his office, in a book to be provided for that purpose, a complete record of each drain laid out, or applied for, under his supervision, under the provisions of the act, which record shall include a copy of the application for the laying out of the drain, of the minutes of the survey, of the release of the right of way, where the same has been released, together with the minutes of his doings, of his orders of determination of necessity, and of establishing the drain, and his assessment of benefit, as where special commissioners, or a jury, have been called. It shall also contain a copy of the application to the probate court, of the return of the special commissioners, or judge, as the case may be; and of all other papers in his office necessary to show a complete history of each drain, all of which said original papers shall then be deposited and filed in the office of the county clerk." *Section 7, provides:* That the township drain commissioner "shall file all such papers as above specified, with the township clerk, whose duty it shall be to make and keep in his office, a record of the same, in the same manner as hereinbefore provided for the county drain commissioner, except, that each township drain commissioner, shall personally keep the record of the financial statement of each drain." * * * This seems to require of the township clerk, more than simply the filing of papers left with him, as he files chattel mortgages, and also the making of several copies of such papers in a book kept for the purpose.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 16.]

Military law.—Organization of regiments. Election of officers provided for by rules adopted by the officers. Authority to adopt such rules under rule 90, adopted by State Military Board.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, Dec. 8, 1886.

General John Robertson, Adjutant General:

SIR:—Your inquiry of the 3d instant, is before me. You ask my opinion "as to the authority of the commissioned officers of a regiment of State troops, to adopt rules and regulations for the government of their regiments, especially with regard to the election of field officers." Also whether "the State Military Board has power under the law to prescribe rule 90, of the rules and regulations, and under it, has a regiment a right to limit the time for which an officer is elected?" *Sec. 898, Howell's Statutes,* referring to the authority of the State Military Board, reads, "They are hereby further authorized and empowered to prepare and promulgate all articles, rules and regulations for the gov-

ernment of the State troops. not inconsistent with the laws of the United States, or of this State."

Section 901, of Howell's Statutes provides that "companies may elect their own officers in the manner to be prescribed in general regulations; regimental field officers may be elected by the company officers, according to general regulations." These "general regulations" are those adopted by the State Military Board, presumably.

Section 907, Howell's Statutes, permits any company to adopt such constitution and by-laws for its government and discipline, as shall not be inconsistent with the regulations of the State Military Board.

From the statutes cited, it appears to have been the intention of the Legislature to give to the State Military Board, full authority to prescribe rules and regulations for the organization of companies, and for the election of company as well as regimental field officers.

Section 925, of Howell's Statutes provides for the organization of any number of companies of State troops, not less than eight, into a regiment, and *section 926*, under the conditions there stated, for the organization of battalions, and for the election of battalion, field, and staff officers, in the same manner prescribed by law for the election of regimental, field, and staff officers. *Rule 90*, adopted by the State Military Board, to which you have called my attention, provides that "regimental, battalion, and company rules of government and by-laws, regularly adopted and approved by regiments, battalions, or companies, not inconsistent with the laws, rules, or regulations herein contained, may be adopted and enforced in such regiments, battalions, and companies." The statutes provide for the organization of companies, battalions, and regiments, and the election of the respective officers, for the same, in a manner not inconsistent with the rules and regulations of the State Military Board. The State Military Board, by rule 90, adopts as its rule, those rules thus adopted by such companies, battalions, and regiments, when the same, are not inconsistent with other rules of such Board. There appears to be no other rule or regulations of the State Military Board, limiting the power or authority of regiments, in fixing the time for which officers elected, shall hold their respective offices, and such being the fact, I do not see any legal obstacle to a regiment providing for the election of regimental officers, for a time limited by the rules of its organization. You advise me that such has for years been the practical construction placed upon this rule, in the election of company officers, and there is no apparent reason why the construction of the rule as to the election of battalion, or regimental officers, should not be the same, as when applied to the election of company officers. Complaint may be suggested that the State Military Board, by this rule attempts to delegate to the several organizations, the power that was intended should be exercised by it.

The number of organizations are not so great, but that this Board can well understand their rules and regulations, and it is probable this rule was made with full knowledge, of what rules and by-laws had been adopted by the several military organizations of the State. If the organization of any company or regiment, or the adoption of any rules by the same, as to the method of election, or the time for which officers are to be elected, is likely to affect injuriously the efficiency of our State militia, the State Military Board can at any time, adopt such further rules, as in its judgment are needed to remedy the difficulty.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 17.]

Bounties.—Act 23, Laws of 1864. Construed with reference to General Order No. 3, of the Adjutant General and the proclamation of Gov. Blair, to provide for the payment of bounties only to the extent of war fund, provided.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, ————, *1886*.

Hon. George A. Hart, Quartermaster General:

SIR:—Yours, enclosing the application of Edwin Pettit, for bounty, under act No. 23, of the session laws of 1864, and correspondence relating thereto, is duly received.

The question presented for my consideration, is, as to whether the petitioner, under the statute in question, and the General Order No. 3, is entitled to the bounty, as stated by his petition. Section 8, of act No. 23, provides, "There shall be paid from the war fund of this State, a uniform State bounty of $100 to each person below the grade of commissioned officer, who may hereafter enlist, or be mustered into the military or naval service of the United States, or who shall be credited on the quota of this State, or any military district thereof, under any call or order of the President, or military authorities of the United States, or of this State, made or issued since the first day of January, 1864."

General Order No. 3, bearing date May 14, 1864, issued by Gen. John Robertson, Adjutant General, by direction of the Commander-in-Chief, states, "The bounty heretofore paid by the State to men enlisted in Michigan regiments, batteries, or companies, or in any army of the regular service, is hereby discontinued from this date."

Upon the 21st day of July, 1864, I find that Governor Austin Blair issued a proclamation, reciting the inducements to enlistment of soldiers, in which he states: "No State bounty can be paid, for the reason that the appropriation made for that purpose is exhausted."

I understand that the construction placed by your department upon this statute, and the order, and proclamation referred to, from the date of such order, down to the present time, has been, that the payment of bounties under the act in question was suspended and at an end, from the date of the order, issued as aforesaid. While I am of the opinion that the Governor of the State of Michigan has not the power to suspend the operation and effect of a positive statute, where certain enlistments have been made under it, with a reasonable expectation on the part of the soldier, of receiving its benefits, yet there is some doubt as to the extent to which the language used in this statute, may be held to go. The statute reads: "That a bounty shall be paid from the war fund of this State," and does not make any provision for the creation of any new war fund, and it may be doubted whether its legal and binding effect extends beyond the war fund then provided by legislative provisions. Whatever such war fund may have been at the date of the enactment of this law, it was, as Gov. Blair stated at the time of the issuing of his proclamation, and probably at the time of the issuing of the order by the Adjutant General under his directions, exhausted, as far as my knowledge extends, and as it appears in legislative enactment upon the subject of bounties, the war fund referred to, in this act, has never been increased by subsequent legislation, from the date of the issuing of the said order, down to the present time, the Legislature has failed to provide by appropriation any war fund, from which any payments of bounties could be made. This would indicate an acquiescence on the part of the Legislature with the view taken by the Governor, and the construction then placed upon this act: *Namely*, That it was only applicable to the war fund then appropriated

by the Legislature. By reference to the application, it appears that Mr. Pettit enlisted on the 31st day of August, 1864, after the making of the order in question, and the issuing of the Governor's proclamation, the fair presumption would be, on account of the long delay in presenting such application, that petitioner did not consider himself entitled to the bounty, and that he might have had notice of the Governor's proclamation. Petitioner states, however, in his application, that at the time of enlistment he was promised by the enlisting officer a State bounty of $100. His statement, I presume, was made in entire good faith, and may be in accordance with the exact fact, but after the lapse of over twenty years, as is well illustrated by the applications recently presented to the Board of State Auditors, any individual is liable to be mistaken. I have again examined the decision in the 47th Michigan, of *Blanchard vs. Church*, page 644, and inquired into the circumstances under which that decision was rendered, and understand that it was the intention, as well as the language of the court, to hold that these old applications, presented at so late a day for bounties, should not, on account of laches, be allowed. Whatever course should be pursued by your department, applicants standing in the same position as the petitioner, will eventually have to resort to the Legislature of the State of Michigan to secure an appropriation, before any collection of bounty could be made. I believe that any contract made with soldiers of the late war, should be carried out to the letter, and a liberal construction placed upon it in favor of the soldier, but where a law has been construed by the Governor of the State and its departments, for the period of upwards of twenty years, as not providing for the payment of bounties to certain soldiers, who may have enlisted, and the Legislature of the State have placed upon it the same construction, or at least acquiesced in such construction, by failure to make any appropriation for payment of bounties, and the Supreme Court of the State of Michigan has held that such claims were cut off by reason of laches, I do not deem it wise or best for your department, after a lapse of such a length of time, and with such precedents before it, and at a time when many of the reasons that influenced your predecessors, and the action of the Legislature may not be apparent, to change the construction of the act, and give it a different legal effect, than that heretofore held. The application, in my judgment, should be made to the Legislature; and if it appears to that honorable body that full and complete justice has not been done, I think there is no reason to doubt from the friendliness of past legislatures to the soldier, that it will do full justice to all who have equitable claims upon the State.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 18.]

No statutory authority for construction and running of pest-houses by boards of supervisors.—Section 483 Howell's Statutes construed.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, February 8, 1886.

Dr. Henry B. Baker, Secretary Michigan State Board of Health:

DEAR SIR:—Your inquiry of the 2d instant as to the power of boards of supervisors to appropriate money for the erection of a county pest-house is before me. The power given to boards of supervisors under *section 483, Howell's Statutes* is quite broad. They

have the power to "cause to be erected the necessary buildings for poor-houses, jails, clerks' offices, *and other county buildings.*" I find nowhere in the statutes, any authority vested in the board of supervisors to act in the enforcement or execution of health laws. The entire enforcement of such laws, appears to have been left with township boards of health, and to be treated as a township matter.—*Chapter 39, Howell's Statutes.* They can only raise moneys for the purpose specified in the statute.—*Attorney General vs. Board Supervisors, Bay county, 34 Mich., 46*—and that is for county buildings.

Is a pest-house a county building?

Inasmuch as the law relating to health, and the enforcement of the same, and establishment of hospitals, has placed these matters in the hands of township officers, I doubt whether a pest-house, or hospital, can be termed a county building proper. If the practice had been to construct such buildings as county houses, such practical construction quite likely would control the courts; but, as far as my knowledge extends, no county in the State has undertaken to erect and run a pest-house.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 19.]

Division of counties into representative districts by Boards of Supervisors.—Section 3, article 4, of the constitution construed.—Section 485, Howell's Statutes.—Boards of Supervisors authorized to make such a division at a special meeting, if neglected at their annual meeting.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, February 12th, 1886.

Geo. A. Aldrich, Esq., Chairman Board Supervisors Homer, Mich.:

DEAR SIR:—From the inquiry which you make, it appears that at the last annual meeting of your board of supervisors, no action was taken to divide your county into representative districts, and the inquiry now made is, as to whether legal action can be taken by your board at a special meeting, called for the purpose of making such division under the statute, and constitution.

Section 3, article 4, of the Constitution provides: "In every county entitled to more than one representative, the board of supervisors shall assemble at such time and place as the Legislature shall prescribe, and divide the same into representative districts, equal to the number of representatives to which said county is entitled by law."

Section 485, Howell's Statutes, directs that the respective boards of supervisors in counties entitled to more than one representative, at their annual meeting next after an apportionment of representatives by the Legislature, shall divide their respective counties into representative districts, equal in number to the number of representatives to which said county is entitled by law, in accordance with *section 3, article 4, of the constitution.*

Act number 184 of the laws of 1885 apportioned representives among the several counties and districts of this State. The question is, therefore, has your board of supervisors, by reason of their failure to act at their regular annual meeting, lost their power to

divide your county into representative districts? If your board had refused at its October session to divide the county into representative districts, I think there could be no question that upon proper relation, the supreme court of the State of Michigan, by writ of mandamus, could have compelled it to take such action, as the law directs, even though before the application came to a hearing, the board may have adjourned or closed its annual session. If I am correct in this, that such power to compel action rests in the supreme court, then it would follow that voluntary action on the part of the board at a special session, called for that purpose, would be proper and legal. The construction which I place upon *section 485, of Howell's Statutes*, is that it is directory and not mandatory. Judge Cooley stated in his work on Constitutional Limitations, "If the act is performed, but not in the time or precise mode indicated, it may still be sufficient, if that which is done accomplishes the substantial purpose of the statute."—*Cooley, Constitutional Limitations, page 93.*

In State vs. Lean 9th Wis., 256, this language is used, "that when there is no substantial reason why the thing to be done, might not as well be done after the time prescribed, as before, no presumption, that by allowing it to be so done, it may work an injury or wrong, nothing in the act itself, or in other acts relating to the same subject-matter indicating that the legislature did not intend that it should rather be done after the time prescribed, than not to be done at all, then the courts assume that the intent was that if not done within the time prescribed it might be done afterward." It appears to me that the substantial object of this statute will be accomplished by action of your board of supervisors at a special session, now called for the purpose of complying with the legislative enactment, which had been omitted.

Where the rights of third parties do not intervene, and no one can be injured by action after the time named in the statute, the general rule is that the authority to act still remains, and that action under the statute is legal. Also that statutes directing the mode of proceeding by public officers are directory and are not regarded as essential to the validity of the proceedings themselves, unless so declared by the act itself.

Williams vs. School District, 21st Pick., 75; Pond vs. Negus, 3d Mass., 230; Cooley Constitutional Limitation, 89; People vs. Cook, 8th N. Y., 67; March vs. Chestnut, 14th Ill., 223; Corbitt vs. Bradley, 7th Nev., 108; French vs. Edwards, 13th Wall, 506; City of Lowell vs. Hadley, 8th Metc., 180; People vs. Allen, 6th Wendell, 486; Marchant vs. Langworthy, 6th Ill., 846; People vs. Holly, 12th Wendell, 481: Jackson vs. Young, 5th Cowen, 269; People vs. Peck, 11th Wendell, 604; Matter of Mohawk & Hudson Railway Company, 19th Wendell; People vs. Doe, 1st Mich., 451; Parks vs. Goodwin, 1st Doug:, 56; Hickey vs. Hinsdale, 8th Mich., 267: People vs. Hartwell, 12th Mich., 508; State vs. McGinley, 4th Ind., 7; Edwards vs. James, 13th Tex., 52; Sorcham vs. City of Brooklyn, 62d N. Y., 339; McKune vs. Wells, 11th Cal., 49; Frey vs. Booth, 19th Ohio State, 25; Whayland vs. McComb, 76th Ill., 49; Lackawanna Iron Co. vs. Town of Little Wolf, 38th Wis., 152; Grant vs. Spencer, 1st Mont., 136.

I am of the opinion, therefore, that your board of supervisors not only have the power, but that it is their duty, to meet in session, upon a call for that purpose, and divide their county into representative districts, in pursuance of the law enacted for such purposes.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 20.]

Insurance.—Chapter 132, Howell's Statutes.—The method of electing directors should be stated in the articles of association.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, Sept. 2, 1886.

Adam Schulte, Esq., 31 Croghan St., Detroit, Mich.:

DEAR SIR:—I am in receipt of yours of 30th ult., enclosing an amendment to articles of association of the Teutonia Farmers' Mutual Fire Insurance Company of Macomb, and Wayne counties, for my approval. Not having the original articles, I cannot judge as to their form but presume they were duly approved and in compliance with law. The law of its organization is found in *Chapter 132 of Howell's Statutes.* Section 5, of such law, compiler's section 4251, provides that the articles of association shall declare "the mode and manner in which the corporate powers given under and by virtue of this act, are to be *exercised, the mode and manner of electing trustees or directors.*"

This has been construed to mean, a statement of the method of election, whether by ballot, *viva voce*, or other manner of expression of wish, of members of the company. It seems also to anticipate an election by the members of the company.

Article 1, of section 2, of proposed articles, provides for the appointment of certain directors without any election, and where there is no vacancy, article 2, of section 3, provides for the election of officers at the "annual meeting," but the method of conducting such election is not provided.

This is all the proposed articles lack, to conform to the statute, and can be easily remedied. Am sorry if it causes any great inconvenience or trouble.

Yours truly,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 21.]

Liquor law.—Collection of fines.—Sec. 1286, Howell's Statutes, applies to the tax imposed on liquor traffic, not to fines imposed for violation of law. Fine money was improperly paidto to wnship. Under art 13, Sec. 12, of Constitution, should go to library fund.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, Nov. 26, 1886.

To the Board of Supervisors of Oscoda connty, Mich.:

GENTLEMEN: You have asked my opinion, as to a fine collected under the liquor law for keeping a saloon or selling liquor, without a license.

From the statement submitted to me, it appears that the conviction of one Greene was affirmed in the Circuit Court, and a fine of $100 and costs, $50 in addition, was imposed upon him by such court, and thereafter paid by him into court.

The township of Mentor, where the offense arose, basing its claim upon *Section 1288, of Howell's Statutes,* demanded and received from the county treasurer the fine of $100, less one per cent. The question asked of me is, as to whether this was a proper payment. Section 1288 refers to the moneys collected by the county treasurer under this act, and not to fines imposed for a violation thereof, as if a different construction

were placed upon it, article 13, section 12, of the State Constitution, would be violated. This provision of the Constitution reads, "All fines assessed and collected in the several counties and townships, for any breach of the penal laws, shall be exclusively applied to the support of such libraries, unless otherwise ordered by the township board of any township, or the board of education of any city: *Provided*, That in no case, shall such fines be used for other than library or school purposes." *Section 5146, of Howell's Statutes* provides for an apportionment of such moneys by the county treasurers, among the several townships, according to the number of children within school age, for the support of township and district libraries. Payments under said section *1288, of Howell's Statutes*, is not for the purpose mentioned in the Constitution, or in accordance with *Section 5146, of Howell's Statutes*, as the moneys are under that act to be applied to a different object, namely, the same as "other contingent funds" of the township, and are not to be applied solely, to the support of libraries. The violation of the liquor law is made a misdemeanor (*Section 1286 Howell's Statutes*), and it is a penal law undoubtedly, within the meaning of the Constitution.

This Constitutional provision, and the statute enacted to aid in carrying it into effect, has been construed by our highest court.

People vs. Treasurer of Wayne, 8th Mich., 392; People, ex rel., Treasurer of Wayne vs. Controller of Detroit, 18th Mich., 445; Fennell vs. Common Council Bay City, 36 Mich., 186.

Such violation is criminal.—*Ibid.*

Nixon vs. Supervisors of Manistee, 26th Mich., 424.

It follows, therefore, that this fine money was paid over to the township under a misapprehension of law, and for an improper purpose, and it should now be applied in accordance with the constitutional provision and statute applicable to the same above cited.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 22.]

Infant insurance.—No legal objection to one having an insurable interest, applying for a policy upon an infant in a stock company.—Coöperative insurance.—Infant cannot be a corporator, incapable of contracting. Such corporators a right to depend on the ability of others to contribute.—Insurance Commissioner in investigating coöperative companies can, in determining their ability to meet their obligations, consider the class of parties to the organization incapable of contracting.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, December 3d, 1886.

Hon. Henry S. Raymond, Commissioner of Insurance:

DEAR SIR:—You have submitted to me for my consideration, two questions relating to the insurance of infants; first, as to whether there is any legal objection or obstacle to the insurance of minors by the ordinary stock insurance companies; and second, as to whether there is any objection to such insurance being taken by coöperative insurance associations, organized under *Chapter 118, of Howell's Statutes*, and to minors becoming members of such associations.

First. As to the first question which you ask, I state unhesitatingly that in my opinion no legal objection exists to the insurance of minors by stock companies, where the application is made by any one having an insurable interest, in the life of such minors.

While some old authorities have drawn the line rather closely in determining as to what constitutes an insurable interest, the tendency of all the later decisions of the courts, has been to construe very liberally the question of interest, and to permit a policy of this kind to be taken out by any person who has a pecuniary or other interest which may result to the advantage of the applicant, to apply for such insurance. I refer to a few authorities, bearing upon this and questions similar in principle:

Lord vs. Dodd, 12th Mass., 115; May on Insurance, sections 74 and 106; Reserve Life Insurance Company vs. Keene, 81 Pa., State, 154; Loomis Administrator vs. Eagle, 6th Gray, 396; Conn. Mutual Life Insurance Company vs. Shaffer, 94th U. S.; Supreme Court, 457; Bliss on Life Insurance, section 20 to 31; Stephens vs. Warren, 101 Mass., 564; Chisholm vs. Life Insurance Co., 52 Missouri, 213.

Any insurance, in companies of this character which does not amount to a wager policy, will be held valid by the courts:

Mutual Benefit Association of Michigan vs. Hoyt, 46th Mich., 473; O'Hara and another vs. Carpenter, 23d Mich., 410.

Second, Chapter 118, Howell's Statutes, section 1, provides, "That any number of persons not less than five, may become a body corporate and politic for the purpose of securing to the families or heirs of any member upon his death, a certain sum of money, to be paid by such corporation, either out of its fund or by an assessment made upon the members of such corporation, or upon the members of the class in such corporations, to which said deceased member belongs." This statute contemplates the formation of a body corporate, and it appears to me reasonable to assume that the Legislature intended that persons becoming members of such a corporation, should be such as are competent to bind themselves by contract.

The associations organized under this statute as a matter of fact—and probably such was the intention of the Legislature. in the enactment of the law—accumulate no large fund but rely, from time to time, upon the making of assessments for the payment of death losses. The capital stock of the company consists almost exclusively in the good faith of the members of the association, and the power to enforce payment of assessments made upon its members. A minor can only contract with reference to necessaries, so as to absolutely bind his estate, and other contracts, as a general rule, can be avoided by him at will. There is no rule of law, as far as my knowledge extends, which holds, that a life insurance policy is one of the necessities of a minor.

It is not probable, that any Court would enforce the payment of an assessment made by a company organized under this law against a minor where he should defend against the claim. A party insuring in one of these associations, has a right to have other members of the association bound, as he binds himself, to make payment of all assessments which shall be regularly levied upon its members. In other words, it is a contract of mutuality and reciprocity, and it seems to me that the class of insurance which is to be carried on by these associations, should recognize such rule, and that a departure from it, is a violation of the spirit and intent of the law, under which all of these companies are organized.

There is no doubt in my mind, that if a company, organized under this statute, having insured a large number of persons, who thereby became members of the association, should become insolvent, that a court of equity would have the power to appoint a

receiver for such organization, whose authority would give him the right to not only make assessments upon members, but to enforce through proper channels the collection of such assessments. While I am of the opinion that such course could be pursued by invoking the aid of a court of chancery, yet I do not think assessments could be enforced against minors, if any such were found to be members of such insolvent organization.

It will be noted by reference to the first section of this act, that it does not anticipate an application for insurance by one person upon the life of another, but that the insurance is upon the application of the insured, the loss to be paid to the family or heir of such member of the company, and by assessments made upon the members of the company, so that an application of this character cannot well be compared to an application by a person having an insurable interest in the insured, to the ordinary stock company.

That it is regarded as a matter of contract, fairly appears from the decisions of our own Supreme Court in cases of associations of this character, some of which are organized under this identical statute:

Burland vs. Northwestern Mutual Benefit Association, 47th Mich., 424; Lamphere vs. The Grand Lodge of A. O. U. W., 47th Mich., 429; Bates vs. Detroit Mutual Benefit Association, Ib., 646; Carmichael vs. Northwestern Mutual Benefit Association, 51st Mich., 494.

This act was supplemented, by act No. 192, of the laws of 1883, an act which requires all companies or associations organized under the said chapter of Howell's Statutes, and doing business upon the assessment plan, to secure a license to transact insurance business, from the Commissioner of Insurance of the State of Michigan. *Section 4*, of the act of 1883 provides, "Whenever the Commissioner of Insurance of this State shall have good reason to doubt the solvency of any such corporation or association, he may, at the expense of such corporation or association, cause an examination of its books and papers to be made, and if such examination establishes the fact that such corporation or association is unable to carry out its contracts with its members in good faith, or is transacting its business fraudulently, he may revoke the authority of such corporation or association and prohibit it from doing business."

If in the examination made by the Commissioner of Insurance, of any association organized under the law in question, the Commissioner should find that quite a large proportion of the members of such association were minors, and that it would be impossible for the company to enforce the payment of assessments from such members, it would not be unreasonable for him to conclude that one state of facts authorizing the revocation of the authority of such company existed, namely: That it would be "*unable to carry out its contracts with its members*," for the reason that it could not compel the payments of assessments which might be made upon a large number of its corporators.

My conclusion, therefore, is, that insurance of this character was not anticipated or intended by chapter 118, of Howell's Statutes, and the act of 1883, supplementing the same, and that it will be proper for you to refuse to license companies organized under this law, which are transacting insurance of this character, and insuring minors, against whom the enforcement of payment of assessments cannot be made.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 23.]

Charges against A. P. Swineford.—Funds received from managers of New Orleans Exposition should be accounted for, and vouchers for expenses should have been presented to Board of State Auditors for allowance, and filed with the Auditor General under act 33, of laws of 1885. Failure to so account as to part of the fund received by Mr. Swineford necessitates a demand upon him for an accounting.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, March 5, 1886.

Hon. Russell A. Alger, Governor of Michigan:

SIR:—Having had under advisement the petition of F. M. Carrol referred to me by your excellency, I have the honor to report the facts and circumstances to which it refers, as far as I have been able to ascertain the same from diligent search and inquiry. The petition states that there was donated to the State of Michigan, by the managers of the "World's Industrial and Cotton Centennial Exposition," the sum of five thousand dollars. The petition states that a report had been made by petitioner to your excellency showing that $1,000 of this money had been retained by Hon. A. P. Swineford, United States Commissioner for Michigan. This report was not referred to me, and so I am not cognizant of its contents, except as here stated. The petition states that petitioner has been called upon for an accounting by Hon. E. A. Burke, Director General of the Exposition, as alternate Commissioner from Michigan, and asks that steps be taken to institute an inquiry into the facts and circumstances regarding the use of this money by Mr. Swineford. As your excellency refers the petition for my "opinion in the whole matter," I have, by letters and questions to several members of the State Commission, and the reports made by it to the Michigan Board of State Auditors, endeavored to learn what disposition was made of money donated the State, to aid in its exhibit at the New Orleans Exposition.

From the records in the office of the Secretary of State, of date July 2, 1884, it appears that A. P. Swineford, F. M. Carrol, G. Chase Godwin, Alonzo C. Davis, Edward Kanter, Wm. B. Moran, R. B. Smith, and Josiah W. Begole were appointed Commissioners by Hon. Josiah W. Begole, Governor, to prepare the Michigan exhibit to said Exposition. September 4, 1884, William M Robinson was added, and November 4, 1884, Herschel R. Gass was also added to the Commission. December 30, 1884, F. M. Carrol was removed by the order of the Governor, and Alexander M. Campau appointed in his stead. The Michigan State Commission was organized with the following officers and committees: Chairman, Governor Josiah W. Begole; Treasurer, Hon. Edward Kanter; Executive Committee, G. Chase Godwin, Esq., Wm. M. Robinson, Esq., and Hon. A. C. Davis. Committee on Finance, Hon. Edward Kanter, Wm. B. Moran, and A. P. Swineford. F. W. Noble, Esq., of Detroit, was employed as acting Secretary and general manager. No question is made by any one but that this money came to Michigan, and to the hands of the Commission or some of its members.

Governor Begole states that the check for five thousand dollars was sent him by the managers of the New Orleans Exposition, and he thinks it was endorsed over to Mr. Swineford by him, and by Mr. Swineford to Mr. Kanter, the treasurer. The Michigan State Commission had entire charge of the State exhibit, and the five thousand dollars was donated as a trust fund to aid in such exhibit, and could only properly be used by the Commission for such purpose.

The understanding and agreement between the State Commission and Mr. Swineford was that he should have $1,000 to use in securing a mineral exhibit for the Upper Peninsula. Mr. Swineford, in acting upon this agreement with the State Commission,

acted as a part of it, and the mineral exhibit for the Upper Peninsula was secured principally, if not wholly, by Mr. Davis, another State Commissioner. No records, as I am advised by members of the State Commission, were kept of its proceedings, and accounts were kept on stubs of checks made to pay expenses incurred, and no checks were made upon this fund in the hands of Mr. Swineford, and for this reason am unable to obtain information of the proceedings of the State Commission, other than statements of its members, which have been freely and courteously given.

The State is directly interested in the expenditure of this fund donated to aid in its exhibit, otherwise the inquiry might as properly be considered one for the managers of the New Orleans Exposition.

The State Commission issued bonds to defray expenses of exhibit.

The Legislature of the State, by act 33 of the laws of 1885, appropriated the sum of $10,200, or so much as was necessary to pay the expense of the Michigan exhibit at New Orleans. The Board of State Auditors were authorized "to audit and allow to the Michigan Commissioners to the New Orleans Exposition the sum hereby appropriated, or so much thereof as may be necessary to pay the expenses of said Commission, and the Auditor General is hereby authorized to draw his warrant upon the State Treasurer for the amount so audited, and allowed upon presentation of the proper vouchers, certified by the Board of State Auditors.

As a condition precedent to the payment of such claims the bonds issued were to be cancelled, *laws 1885, pp. 26, 27.* The object of this act, as stated at the time of its passage, was to make up any deficiency that there might be in actual expenses of the Michigan exhibit, and the extent of such deficiency would depend upon the amount received and used, coming from the managers of the New Orleans Exposition.

The act itself as also the account rendered to the Board of State Auditors, show this the correct as well as practical construction. The statement rendered by the Michigan commission is as follows in substance:

State Commission,	*Dr.*
To received of Swineford	$3,992 50
Collection of same	7 50
Eaton donation	10 00
Proceeds commission bonds	10,000 00
Cable Harrington	55 00
R. C. Davis, ret'd	9 29
Sales of furniture	251 50
State Treasurer vouchers and interest	9,388 82
	$13.714 61

Expenditures,	*Cr.*
Vouchers approved	$8,934 67
Commissioners' bonds paid	10,506 00
Surplus returned State Treasury	4,266 44

These bonds referred to, issued by State Commission, were of no binding force upon the State, and the appropriation was to relieve the State Commission from their obligation. It will be seen by the account rendered, that $1,000 received from the government

fund appropriated for the benefit of the New Orleans Exposition, is not charged to the State Commission, and unless otherwise explained, it follows that $1,000 too much was received by the State Commission, and that sum should now be accounted for by the Commission or some of its members. It should have been accounted for in the accounts rendered. Mr. Swineford was a member of this Commission, and received the money by its assent and agreement to aid in completing the State exhibit. It is idle to say that because he was also United States Commissioner he or the State Commission is not to be asked to account to the Board of State Auditors for this money. No exhibit was made under the auspices of the United States Commissioner or attemped, and the exhibit from the Upper Peninsula was managed by Mr. Davis, another State (and not United States) Commissioner.

The act of 1885, took effect April 1st, last, and as I am advised, after all expenses connected with the exposition had been incurred and adjusted. It was nearly four months after this, that account was rendered to State Board of Auditors.

The State Commission did account for moneys paid to both Mr. Swineford and Mr. Davis, in addition to their sum for expenses, and I see no reason why it likewise should not also have accounted for the $1,000 received direct by Mr. Swineford. In the settlement made by the State Commission with Board of State Auditors, it credits itself with $72 paid Mr. Swineford for traveling and other expenses. While my opinion is that it was incumbent upon the State Commission to have accounted for this money, in my judgment no valid reason can be suggested why Mr. Swineford should not long since have accounted to the State Commission, and failing in that, to the Board of State Auditors. As Mr. Swineford received this money he was the one to account for it.

I have before me the statement of Hon. A. C. Davis, State Commissioner of the Upper Peninsula, who had the charge and management of the mineral exhibit, as to moneys received and expended by him in such exhibit as follows:

Received of Mr. Swineford, Oct. 1, 1884	$250 00
Received of Mr. Kanter, Dec. 20, 1884	100 00
Received of Mr. Kanter, July, 1884	6 50

This, as he states, was all he received from any source.

So far as I am able to learn from any account rendered, and the statements made, there is left in the hands of Mr. Swineford, unaccounted for, the sum of $750, that in the absence of accounting with proper vouchers should be paid into the State Treasury.

Mr. Swineford may have used this fund for legitimate purposes in connection with the Michigan exhibit, but until some account and vouchers are presented to the Board of State Auditors, the same stands a demand against him.

Nearly one year has passed since the Legislature requiring accounting with the Board of State Auditors took effect, and considering this long delay, with no attempt on Mr. Swineford's part to have a settlement with the State, it is proper that an adjustment of the same be demanded.

Respectfully submitted,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 24.]

Construction of act 39, of the laws of 1885. Employment of children, under 14 years of age.—Certificate showing children to have attended school the time required by law. Employer not guilty of offense if child employed has attended school the requisite time, and the certificate has not been obtained.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE.
Lansing, May 26, 1886.

Hon. C. V. R. Pond, Commissioner of Labor:

DEAR SIR:—Your inquiry, of the 29th day of April last, is before me. You ask my opinion as to whether act 39, of the laws of 1885, makes it obligatory upon an employer of children, under fourteen years of age, to have in his possession a certificate from the proper school officer, showing children employed to have attended school the length of time required by law. The act prohibits the employment of children who have not, at least, attended school four months, out of the twelve next preceding the date of employment. The certificate of superintendent or director of school that a child has so attended, is made sufficient evidence of the fact to the employer, so as to shield him from the penalty of the law.

As a precautionary measure on the part of an employer, it would appear very desirable that such certificate be always required. If children, within the age, however, have attended school for the time required by law, fail to produce, and the employer fails to require the certificate in question, no liability under the act, attaches to him. The object of such certificate is to give the employer protection from prosecution when he has acted in good faith, and if he does not see fit to avail himself of it, and can by other evidence establish the fact that the law has been obeyed, nothing more is required of him.

My conclusion therefore is, that no legal obligation rests upon the employer to have in his possession the certificate mentioned in the act, and no penalty is incurred by such failure on his part.

Very respectfully,
MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 25.]

Prosecuting Attorney legal adviser of county and township in tax matters.—Is required to act for county and township treasurers—to prosecute or defend suits in which the State is interested. When employed by township in matters where not required by law to act, entitled to compensation.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, April 26, 1886.

Chas. M. Jackson, A. E. Newman, Ira H. Richardson, Thomas G. High, and Thomas Wakeley, Supervisors, Committee of Board for Crawford County:

GENTLEMEN:—Your communication of the 21st instant, relating to controversy between the board and your prosecuting attorney, is duly received. You state that the treasurer of Grayling, Crawford county, for collecting taxes for the year 1885, under the advice of your prosecuting attorney, levied upon and advertised certain logs for sale, that the owners of the logs filed a bill in chancery, and obtained an injunction restrain-

ing the sale by the treasurer, no other person or parties having been made defendant; that after consultation with the township board, the prosecuting attorney was employed and moved the court for a dissolution of the injunction. This motion having been denied, application was made, at the request of the treasurer, by the prosecuting attorney to the supreme court for a mandamus to compel the circuit judge to set aside the order made by him. Request was then made, that the prosecuting attorney defend the suit. Bills were thereafter submitted by the prosecuting attorney to the township board for services and expenses in the circuit and supreme court. The charge against the township was proportionate to the township tax as compared to the whole.

You ask the questions—

First, Whether the township is liable for the attorneys fee and expenses in the supreme court or in the circuit?

Second. Whether the county is liable for the whole or a part of these expenses?

Third. Whether in such or a similar case, the township officials can require the prosecuting attorney to defend the suit, or must they retain him as they would any other attorney?

Fourth. Are township officials entitled to call upon the prosecuting attorney in reference to township matters generally, and demand and obtain his counsel and advice free, and as to supervisors' right to ask his advice?

Fifth. Also as to duty of prosecuting attorney to defend township where a tax is paid under protest, and suit brought to recover money back?

Sixth. As to his duty to defend townships where injunction is obtained against county treasurer restraining sales, and the townships are also made parties defendant, and whether where the township desires a special defense it must pay for it?

First. As far as the statement made goes, it shows one tax, or that may have been one, including county and State, as well as township taxes. The treasurer while a township officer is under as great obligation to collect the State and county taxes as townships. As to all matters relating to the collection of taxes, the prosecuting attorney is made the legal adviser of county and township treasurers, and all supervisors of the county, and can make no charge for such service. (*Section 92, act 153, laws of 1883.*) The prosecuting attorney in his county is required to appear for the State and county, and prosecute or defend all suits criminal or civil in which the State or county may be a party or interested. (*Howell's Statutes, section 551*).

The prosecuting attorneys are required to give opinions in cases where the State or county may be a party, or interested "when required by any civil officer in the discharge of their official duties relating to the interest of the State or county." (*Howell's Statutes, section 553.*) Is the State interested? As I understand the question and facts, both the State and county were interested, as State and county taxes were being collected, and as the township treasurer was one of the officers mentioned in *section 553, Howell's Statutes*, he had the right to call upon the prosecuting attorney for advice and counsel and for defense in those courts, mentioned *in section 551.* The law provides that no prosecuting attorney shall receive any fee, or reward from, or on behalf of any prosecutor or any other individual for services in any prosecution or any business to which it shall be his official duty to attend. (*Howell's Statutes, section 557.*)

In my judgment this would preclude the prosecuting attorney from making any charges for counsel, in the circuit court, in chancery, of the county, and such services being in the performance of his official duties are covered by his salary.

Second. As to services rendered, not required by the statutes as a part of his official

duties, I see no objections to an agreed compensation in the event of employment. The law does not require the public prosecutor to appear in the supreme court, and if he was requested to appear in that court by the township treasurer, after consultation with the township board, my impression would be, that a charge for such services would constitute a valid claim against the township, as I think the township had a sufficient interest in the result to authorize such employment. A township can employ an attorney for its defense. (*Howell's Statutes, section 570.*) It is also competent for the county to employ counsel, if it had chosen so to do.

Third. Inasmuch as the prosecuting attorney is made the legal adviser of all officers who have to do with the collection of taxes, and should appear in all courts when the State and county are interested, and the State and county are interested in taxes paid under protest, and such taxes are proper subjects for counsel and advice from him.

I think a fair construction of the several statutes, requires the legal adviser of the county to (within the courts specified) defend against suits brought to recover back such taxes. If the salary of prosecuting attorney is not large enough to cover such services, it becomes the duty of the board of supervisors to fix it, at a sum adequate to compensate for all services required by law.

Fourth. I do not understand that all township matters come within the rule of tax matters, and that township officers have the right to require the advice and services of the prosecuting attorney, in relation thereto, without compensation. There are many questions which may arise affecting the township, where it would have to employ and pay counsel the same as any individual. Only in these cases where the law requires, either directly or by necessary implication, that the county's legal adviser act, can he be called upon of right to do so.

The 5th and 6th questions, I think, are sufficiently answered by what is said above. If the special defense of the township was something separate and apart from the general defense, it might, under certain circumstances, make a contract which would oblige it to pay. As the circumstances are not stated, however, am not able to give any definite opinion. As to any defense made for the purpose of securing to the township the benefit of local taxes, my attention, in this somewhat hasty examination, has not been called to statutes that would require the prosecuting attorney to represent the town, without compensation, and I do not think he can be required to do so.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 26.]

Construction of act No. 83, laws of 1885—Bodies of inmates of public institutions, should not be sent to demonstrator of anatomy at the University, when their friends request burial at the institution, and are unable to pay the expenses of burial.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, March 26, 1886.

James S. Manson, Medical Superintendent of Northern Michigan Asylum:

DEAR SIR:—You ask whether the body of an inmate of your asylum should be sent to the Demonstrator of Anatomy at the University of Michigan, where relatives of

the deceased, request burial at the asylum, but have provided no means for paying expenses of burial. Section 1, of act No. 83, of the laws of 1885, reads: "Any physician or other person in charge of any poor-house, or alms-house, or charitable institutions, sheriff, or coroners, having in his or their possession or control, the dead body of any person not claimed by any relative, personal friend or legal representative," and which may be required to be buried at public expense, or at the expense of the institution, shall deliver the same to an express or railway company, to be forwarded to said demonstrator of anatomy. The proviso of said section also reads, "Such body shall not be delivered as aforesaid, if it shall be requested in good faith for interment by any relative before the same shall be shipped as aforesaid." The question assumes that request for burial at the asylum has been made in good faith, by a near relative, and in the case put, by the husband of deceased, the husband stating that he has no money to pay expense of burial. The question presented, therefore, is this: Shall the request of relatives of the deceased inmates of your asylum, made in good faith, be ignored, and the bodies of their friends sent to the medical department at Ann Arbor, when, from poverty, they are unable to pay the necessary funeral expenses. I do not place this construction upon the statutes. It will be seen by the former part of the section quoted, that two prerequisites must exist to authorize the sending of such bodies to Ann Arbor: First, that the body is that of a person not claimed by any relative, and the latter part of the section says, "requested in good faith;" and second, one that may be required to be buried at public expense, or at the expense of such institution. It appears to me that the request made, should be treated as a sufficient "claim," and "request," under the statute, and that bodies under such circumstances should not be sent as subjects for dissection.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

[No. 27.]

Construction of Sections 41, 43, laws of 1885.—Can retain from moneys paid into his hands to make good the school fund, but not other funds.—Settlements by township treasurers with county treasurers.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, March 26, 1886.

W. S. Fillmore, Gaylord, Michigan:

DEAR SIR:—Yours stating the questions omitted to be sent by your prosecuting attorney, duly received. You ask whether under sections *41, and 43, of the tax laws of 1885, pp. 189, 190*, the town treasurer, in his settlement with county treasurer, can retain any sum collected for highway or other purposes other than school purposes, and also enough collected for State and county purposes, to make up deficiency in school taxes. *Section 41* provides that if the township treasurer does not collect the full amount required by his warrant, to be paid into the township treasury, then that portion collected shall be retained and paid out for the following purposes, and in the following order: First, for schools; second, for general township purposes; and third, highway taxes. If the full amount of tax has been collected, payments would neces-

sarily have been made over to their several funds, and no occasion would have existed for such an enactment as this. The object of this section appears from the first sentence, and that is where the "full amount" is not collected, then provision is made by which preferences in the fund are determined, and the school fund is given the first preference. Money raised shall be applied to the payment of claims upon this fund, until all such claims are paid, or the amount of school tax has been reached; and second, general township purposes are to be provided for before payment of highway taxes are made.

The construction of this section determines that of 43, and answers the question asked me. If there can be no deficiency in the school fund by reason of the application of all moneys paid the township treasurer thereto, unless such moneys are insufficient to supply it, then only in such case shall the treasurer be entitled to retain money collected for State and county purposes, in his settlement with the county treasurer. In other words, in such settlement under *section 43*, I do not think the treasurer of township can deduct for money collected for highway and general township purposes, and then retain enough of the State and county money to make full the school fund. If such view were to prevail it would make meaningless *section 41*.

The sections taken together are not very clear, but I have given them the construction which I think was intended by the Legislature.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,

Attorney General.

[No. 28.]

State Swamp Lands.—United States grant of 1850 to reclaim swamp and overflowed lands.—Vested title in the State.—Construction of act 36, 1873, and amendatory acts.—Board of Control of swamp lands, discretionary power under this act. The object the same as the general act providing for State roads and by language used and practical construction when this statute does not provide steps to be taken, State road act to apply—Land subject to sale only covered by it.—Lands not patented to the State not covered by contracts with railroad companies.—Selection was made by Marquette, Sault Ste. Marie & Mackinac Railroad Company. Detroit, Mackinac & Marquette Railroad Company bound by contract to lands selected by Marquette, Sault Ste. Marie & Mackinac railroad.—Petition of D. M. & M. R. R. Co. should not be granted.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Lansing, June 2, 1886.

To the Board of Control of State Swamp Lands of the State of Michigan:

GENTLEMEN:—The petition of the Detroit, Mackinac & Marquette Railroad Company for the conveyance to it of certain lands therein described, having by this Board been referred to me for an examination and report upon the merits of the claim from a legal standpoint, I herewith submit my report for your consideration:

By a statute of the United States passed on the 28th day of September, 1850, and acts amendatory thereof, the whole of the swamp and overflowed lands made unfit thereby for cultivation, and remaining unsold at such date, were granted to the State of Michigan, the object being in the language of the act "to reclaim the swamp and overflowed

lands therein." *Revised Statutes U. S., Sec. 2479.* The effect of such grant was to vest in the State of Michigan for the purposes of such grant the title to all lands which were swamp and overflowed and within the terms of the act.

By *act No. 36, of the laws of 1873*, the Legislature "to secure the early construction of a railroad from the Straits of Mackinac to Marquette harbor on Lake Superior, and for purposes of drainage and reclamation," the Board of Control of State swamp lands, if deemed expedient, and to the best interests of the State and the country, to be penetrated by said railroad, were authorized to appropriate not to exceed ten sections of swamp land per mile, to any railroad company that should construct and complete such railroad on or before December 31, 1875. The Board, by section 2, of the act, were given full power over said lands, "the reservations necessary, and the limitations and privileges requisite in the application of such lands to such purpose." It was provided, said lands shall be selected from the vacant and unreserved State swamp lands, in the counties of Mackinaw, Chippewa, Schoolcraft, and not to exceed 100 sections in Marquette. This act was amended in the year 1875, by act No. 81, so as to increase the number of sections per mile to sixteen, and extend the time for construction of the railroad to December 31, 1877, and giving power to the Board of Control to extend the same one year thereafter. Section 2, of the amended act, also contained a clause giving the Board of Control "full power and authority over said lands, the reservation necessary and the privileges requisite in the application of such lands to such purpose."

It is also stated in Section 2, as amended in 1875, to be the intention of the Legislature to provide for all the unappropriated State swamp lands in the counties named, not exceeding 16 sections per mile. The Legislatures of 1877, and 1879, substantially reënacted the first section and extended the time for the construction of such road. On the 30th day of April, 1873, resolution was offered before the Board of Control, reciting a prior resolution reserving all swamp lands, and that various contracts existed in the counties in question, for the construction of State roads and to permit such contractors to make selections, and this was adopted.

April 30, 1873, notice for construction of roads was provided for, by the Board reciting the act and resolution adopted. This notice provided for a selection by the company to whom the contract should be awarded and that a list of the selection be filed with the Commissioner of the State Land Office by July 1, 1874. At a meeting of the Board, July 30, 1873, the proposition of the Marquette, Sault Ste. Marie & Mackinac Railroad Company was accepted. This bid having fallen through, subsequent notice with like provisions in the main, was published, requiring lists to be made and filed of the lands selected by the company whose bid was accepted, on or before July 1, 1876. At a meeting of the Board of Control, June 1, 1875, the bid of the Marquette, Sault Ste. Marie and Mackinaw Railroad Company was accepted. At a meeting of the Board of Control held May 8, 1876, contract with such last named company was entered into by it. By the terms of such agreement the Board of Control agreed "to reserve from the vacant and unreserved State swamp lands, in the counties of Mackinaw, Schoolcraft, Chippewa and Marquette, such lands as may be selected by the said railroad company." It provided such company should make its selections and file the same with the Commissioner of the State Land Office by the 1st day of July, 1876. It also provided that such "road should be entitled to a patent to itself or its assigns, to nine sections of land per mile of the lands so selected for the first twenty miles of its road as soon as twenty miles of such road was completed according to contract, and the same provisions were made as to each additional twenty miles of road.

It was also provided that when the entire road should be completed, it should be entitled to patents to all the lands thereby appropriated. The Board of Control, in such contract, agreed to reserve from vacant and unreserved State swamp lands in said counties "such lands as may be selected by the said railroad company."

This contract, by resolution of the Board of Control of August 27, 1879, was annulled, and September 4, 1879, articles of agreement were entered into with petitioner.

By the terms of this latter contract the Board of Control agreed "to reserve from the vacant and unreserved State swamp lands in the counties of Mackinac, Schoolcraft, Chippewa, and Marquette, the lands heretofore *selected* by the Marquette, Sault Ste. Marie and Mackinaw Railroad Company under its former contract with the said Board of Control." The same provision that the amount selected shall not exceed 16 sections per mile, and that no recourse shall be had against the State is contained in this as the former contract. A similar provision also exists in this contract, as to when the land should be patented to the company as in former contract. At a meeting of the Board of Control held Dec. 29, 1881, the acceptance of the Governor, Hon. David H. Jerome, having been filed, by resolution of the Board, duly adopted, it authorized the issuing of patents "for the lands heretofore set apart and appropriated for the building of said railroad to said railroad company or its assigns, by the proper State authority."

Two questions are presented by this petition: 1st. Was it the intention of the Legislature, by the act in question, to authorize the Board of Control to dispose of, or appropriate lands for this railroad, which had not been patented to the State? 2d. Did the Board of Control, in its contract with petitioner, extend its terms so as to include more lands than those selected, and listed to the Sault Ste. Marie and Mackinac Railroad Company, and was such the understanding of the parties to this contract?

Section 5390, Howell's Statutes, provides that none of these lands shall be offered for sale prior to the issue of patents to the State therefor, and they cannot be offered at private sale until first offered at public sale. *Howell, section 5391.* These statutes perhaps only apply to private sales. *Attorney General vs. Smith, 31st Mich., 359; Attorney General vs. Thomas, 31st Mich., 365. People vs. Pritchard, 17 Mich., 260.* All deeds to the State are to be recorded in the proper counties, and a list kept in the office of the Secretary of State. *Howell's Statutes, section 5212.* As to certain public land, the Governor is prohibited from issuing patents until the State has obtained title. *Howell's Statutes, section 5304.*

The special provision for the drainage and reclamation of swamp lands by means of State roads and ditches will be found in *sections 5410 to 5442 Howell's Statutes*, inclusive. Under this act, Sec. 5414, the lands selected and reserved must be then in the market and subject to sale. *Howell's Statutes, Secs. 5390, 5391.* The object of the act under consideration is the same as the general act; it is for purposes of "drainage and reclamation."

The Board of Control, by the act under which petitioner's contract was made, was given "full power and authority over said lands, the reservation necessary, and the *privileges requisite in the application of such lands to such a purpose.*

To what does the term "reservation" and *privileges requisite* in the *application of such laws to such a purpose* refer unless to the general act for construction of State roads. If this was the understanding of the Legislature as expressed in this act then the lands to be reserved and the powers to be exercised in the appropriation of lands would be the same as under the general act relating to State roads and ditches. In

view of the fact that the Board of Control placed this construction upon the act, a construction which was acquiesced in for several years, at least, by parties contracting with it, I am inclined to think it should still be so construed by it.

Reservations can only be made according to statutes. *People vs. Pritchard, 17 Mich., 340.* The act of *1873* provides no method of selection or reservation of these lands, unless it is by the reference which we have quoted.

This law does not appear to provide for any different method of patenting these lands, than existed before. It does state that when certain work has been done by the contracting company, the Board of Control may award and convey the number of acres earned to the company. Such conveyance would be by the patent of the Governor, we suppose, as the Board of Control has no authority to execute, and never executes patents. The contract drawn with each company provides, as we have stated above, for the issuing of patents to the contracting company, from time to time, and when the road was completed, then all lands were to be patented. Did not the Board of Control, by such contract, as well as the other party thereto, understand that the lands covered by the contract were those subject to reservation and sale under the general statute, and such as the Governor under the law was authorized to patent? The act itself was not a grant, and the powers conferred upon the Board of Control were substantially those it before possessed.

By the terms of contract made with petitioner, the Board of Control agreed to reserve the lands "heretofore selected by the Marquette, Sault Ste. Marie & Mackinaw Rail-Railroad Company, under its former contract with the said board of control."

The petitioner states in petition now filed with the Board of Control, that no selection was made by the Sault Ste. Marie & Mackinaw Railroad Company. This statement is not in accordance with the understanding, which evidently existed, both on the part of the petitioner and Board of Control, at the time their contract was executed. Nor is it in accordance with the records of the land department. What particular action was taken by the company to examine the lands, it is true, does not appear, but that lists were made up at the Land Office, by the request of W. L. Wetmore, President of the company, and written application for such lands, signed by the proper officer or agent of the company, does appear. Several letters were written by Mr. Wetmore, asking for lists of all the lands called for by his contract, or that of his company, and under date of June 6, 1876, he writes as follows:

"*Marquette, June 22, 1886.*

"*Hon. L. A. Clapp, Com., Lansing, Mich.:*

"DEAR SIR:—I return, signed, your form of application for filing list of land, for our road. enclosed in yours of 20th, received this evening. You will please attach, as proposed by you, and save us expense of coming to Lansing. * * * * *

Yours truly,

W. L. WETMORE."

Under date of July 12, 1876, Mr. Wetmore writes Land Commissioner, and asks if his company has more to do, and acknowledges receipt of bill for lists made. Other letters are written with reference to payment for lists made at the Land Department, and October 23, Mr. Wetmore writes the Land Commissioner, and requests him to write Mr. Laman, of New York, what he "will furnish him a list of our lands for, and ask if you shall proceed to make it. He agrees to pay for it, and is anxious to get it soon, so as to incorporate it into the mortgage to go with the bonds."

The lands spoken of by Mr. Wetmore, were those contained in the lists, and to which

his application had been attached as per request by letter above set out. While this application is not found in the records and files of the land department, and has become detached and mislaid or lost, I am informed by several officers of the land department that the same was originally attached as requested and so remained for several years. These lists were made the basis of the contract with petitioner, and the only changes made were corrections as to a few parcels omitted, but which were of land patented to the State by the United States Government. The petitioner had knowledge of the amount of this grant and the number of acres supposed to be covered by it as well as the Board of Control.

Under date of August 25, 1879, W. L. Wetmore, the President of the Marquette, Sault Ste. Marie & Mackinac Railroad Company, addressed a communication to the Board of Control which was made the basis of a resolution cancelling the contract with such company, and in this letter the grant was spoken of, as exceeding 1,800,000 acres and the offer was made to transfer the interest of such company to any other assuming the construction of the road. It is stated in this communication that such proposition to transfer had been before the public since the 9th day of January, 1879, and that it had been "extensively published." It is probable that petitioner had full knowledge of this proposition and the number of acres supposed to be covered by the grant and that it entered into the contract in question with no reasonable expectation of securing more land than was contained in such lists and expressed in the communication referred to herein. I am advised that the lands in the counties named, which were unpatented at the time contract was made by the Board of Control with petitioner, and which are claimed by the State as swamp lands, amount to nearly 100,000 acres, and considering the importance of the action of this Board, do not think the prayer of the petitioner should be granted, unless it shows in petitioner a clear legal right, or such a strong equity as a court of justice would recognize and act upon to enforce in an ordinary suit between individuals.

I do not think the petition should be granted for the following reasons :

First, Because the original act of the Legislature as amended, and under the construction placed upon the same, by the Board of Control of Swamp Lands, did not include the lands sought by the petition.

Second, Because the lands covered by the contract of petitioner with the Board of Control, and which were understood to be covered by such contract, by the parties thereto, were those included in the lists of lands selected by the Marquette, Sault Ste. Marie & Mackinaw Railroad Company, and did not include the lands set forth in this petition, and petitioner cannot extend his claim beyond such lists. This claim may not be free from doubt, but I have placed such construction upon the facts, mostly of record as in my judgment will probably be placed thereon by the courts, if this claim shall be transferred to such forum.

I have not considered the question of the validity of such legislation and grant of land, but under the case of *American Emigrant Company vs. County of Adams*, recently decided in the United States Supreme Court, the legality of such disposition of these lands may well be doubted.

Very respectfully,

MOSES TAGGART,
Attorney General.

APPENDIX.

ABSTRACTS

OF

REPORTS OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEYS,

FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 1886.

[The counties of Alger, Alpena, Gladwin, Isle Royal, Lake, Manitou, Ontonagon, Sanilac, Schoolcraft, and St. Clair have failed to report to this office. The cause for the delay is unknown, as the prosecuting attorneys have repeatedly been notified of their duty in this respect.]

ALCONA COUNTY.

W. E. DEPEW, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 27.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	11	Three convicted, fined $2 each; 2 fined $5 each or 10 days in jail; 2 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $1 and costs; 1 fined $15 and costs; 2 acquitted.
Disorderly person	1	Sent to jail 10 days.
Embezzlement	2	Dismissed without trial.
Incest	1	Reason filed for no information.
Larceny from the person	3	Two convicted; 1 sent to State prison at Jackson for 5 months; 1 suspended sentence; 1 pending.
Larceny of goods worth more than $25	1	Dismissed.
Larceny of goods worth more than $25	3	One sent to Reform School at Lansing until 18 years old; 1 fined $5 and costs; 1 acquitted.
Malicious injury to personal property	1	Acquitted.
Putting slabs and sawdust in lake	1	Dismissed.
Rape	1	Pending in Circuit Court.
Selling intoxicating liquor to minors	1	Convicted; fined $25 and costs.
Selling mortgaged chattels	1	Convicted; fined $50 and costs.

ALLEGAN COUNTY.

CHARLES R. WILKES, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 127.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and Punishment.
Adultery	1	Dismissed on written request of wife.
Adulterated milk	1	Acquitted in Circuit Court.
Assault and battery	31	Twenty-two convicted, of whom 7 paid costs and the following fines, viz.: 1 fined $2; 3 each, $5; 1, $7; 2 each, $10; 3 each, $15; 1, $20; 1, $25; 2 were fined without costs, 1, $3.75; 1, $4.75; 1 fined $100, appealed and pending; 2 sent to jail for 30 days; 1, jail, 50 days; 2, jail, 15 days; 2, jail, 20 days each; 1 dismissed; 1 acquitted; 7 settled.
Assault with intent to rape	1	Dismissed on examination.
Assault with intent to murder	2	One convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 2 years and 4 months; 1 dismissed on examination.
Attempt to defraud hotel keeper	2	One sent to jail 30 days; 1 dismissed.
Bastardy	2	Dismissed.
Bigamy	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction 2 years.
Disorderly persons:		
(*a*) Drunkards	17	Nine paid $10 and costs; 2 each, $2 and costs; 1 sent to jail 20 days; 1, jail, 10 days; 4 discharged.
(*b*) Failure to support family	2	One sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 1 discharged on promise to support wife.
(*c*) Prostitute	1	Dismissed.
(*d*) Vagrants	13	One sent to jail 20 days; 3, jail, 10 days each; 2, jail, 15 days each; 1 sentence suspended; 5 dismissed; 1 acquitted.
Disturbing meeting	5	One fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 3 each $2 and costs,
Embezzlement	4	One pending; 3 dismissed on examination.
Forgery	1	Pleaded guilty; sentence suspended.
Indecent exposure	2	One sentenced to State House of Correction 9 months; 1 pending.
Larceny	21	Seven sentenced to State House of Correction; 1 for 2 years, 1 for 1 year, 5 for 90 days each; 1 sent to State Reform School; 1 sent to jail 20 days; 1, jail, 15 days; 1, jail, 30 days; 1, jail, 10 days; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1, sentence suspended; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 4 dismissed; 1 acquitted.
Libel	1	Appealed and pending.
Malicious trespass	1	Pending.
Manslaughter	1	Acquitted.

ALLEGAN COUNTY.—CONTINUED.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Profanity	3	Two fined $3 each; 1 acquitted.
Public health	1	Pending in Circuit Court on certiorari.
Rape	1	Sent to asylum for criminal insane at State House of Correction.
Receiving stolen goods	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction for 2 years.
Removing boat from mooring	2	One sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 fined $5.
Seduction	1	Dismissed, respondent having married complaining witness.
Selling liquor without bond	3	Pending; 2 in Circuit Court, 1 in Supreme Court.
Slander	2	One fined $10 and costs; 1 dismissed.
Surety to keep peace	2	Convicted and furnished bond.
Uttering forged order	1	Information quashed.

ANTRIM COUNTY.

H. B. HUDSON, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 33.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	10	Two convicted and paid costs and fines, 1 of $20, and 1 of $10; 2 settled; 1 complaint withdrawn; 1 escaped; 4 acquitted.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Convicted of assault and battery and fined $90 and costs.
Bastardy	1	Settled.
Bigamy	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction 1 year.
Burglary	1	Sentenced to State Prison 3 years.
Drunk	3	Two convicted and fined $10 each and costs; 1 acquitted.
Larceny	9	One sent to State House of Correction 3 months; 2 escaped from officer; 1 settled; 2 pending.
Murder	2	One sentenced to State Prison for life; 1 convicted as accessory after the fact, sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 7 years.
Violation of liquor law	5	One convicted and fined $75 and costs; 4 each $25 and costs.

ARENAC COUNTY.

LAWRENCE MCHUGH, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 24.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	13	Three convicted and fined, 1 $20 and costs, 2 $25 and costs each, and in default of payment both were committed to State House of Correction 90 days each; 1 fined 50 cents and costs; 3 fined $1 each and costs; 1 sentence suspended; 1 dismissed upon paying costs; 2 pending; 2 acquitted.
False pretenses	2	One *nolle pros'd*; 1 escaped from custody of constable en route to jail.
Injuring personal property	1	Fined $2 and costs.
Larceny	6	One convicted and fined $28 and costs, in default of payment committed to State House of Correction for 90 days; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 2 dismissed; 1 settled; 1 escaped.
Removing and disposing of mortgaged property	1	Pending.
Rape	1	Acquitted.

BARAGA COUNTY.

PHILIP R. MCKERNAN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 15.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	4	Three convicted, of whom 1 was fined $10.00 and costs; 1 fined $5.00 and costs; 1 fined $5.00 and costs; 1 acquitted.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Discharged at examination.
Drunk and disorderly	6	Four convicted, of whom 1 was fined $10.00 and costs; 2 sentenced to jail for 20 days; 1 sentenced to jail for 30 days; 2 acquitted.
Larceny of property $25.00 and over	2	One sentence suspended; 1 acquitted.
Murder	1	Acquitted.
Violation of liquor law—selling liquor without paying tax	1	Fined $50.00 and costs.

BARRY COUNTY.

PHILIP T. COLGROVE, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 75.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	5	Two convicted, 1 sent to State Prison one year, 1 to the Detroit House of Correction; 1 dismissed on request of complaining witness filed in writing; 2 discharged on examination.
Assault and battery	19	Sixteen convicted, 5 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $50 and costs; 2 fined $10 and costs; 2 fined $1 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $13 and costs; 1 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 sent to county jail 30 days; 3 acquitted.
Assault with intent to murder	2	1 dismissed on examination; 1 reasons filed for not filing an information.
Assault with intent to rape	1	Dismissed on petition of complaining witness, filed in writing.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Bastardy	1	Settled.
Burglary	4	One dismissed on examination; 1 sent to State Prison 90 days; 2 *nolle pros'd.*
Careless use of fire-arms	1	Dismissed.
Cruelty to animals	2	One acquitted; 1 fined $15.
Defrauding hotel keeper	2	One dismissed; 1 settled.
Disorderly persons	3	Two convicted, 1 fined $5 and costs, 1 fined $20 and costs; 1 dismissed.
Enticing female under 16 years	1	Convicted, sent to State House of Correction 1 year.
False pretenses	7	Three settled; 4 pending.
Forgery	1	Convicted, sent to State Prison 1 year.
Habitual truancy from school	1	Convicted, sent to Industrial Home for Girls.
Killing fish with dynamite	4	Convicted, fined $10 each and cost.
Larceny	3	One convicted, sent to State House of Correction 3 months; 1 dismissed; 1 acquitted.
Larceny from store in day-time	2	Convicted, sent to State House of Correction 6 months each.
Malicious killing of aninals	1	Dismissed,
Malicious injury to personal property	2	Settled.
Obstructing highway	1	Convicted, fined $5 and costs.
Sureties to keep the peace	2	Convicted and bonds filed—costs paid.
Violation of liquor law	8	Six convicted, 4 fined $50; 1 fined $25 and costs; 1 $50 and 10 days in jail; 2 acquitted.

BAY COUNTY.

JOHN E. SIMONSON, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 1,058.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	369	Two hundred and ninety-seven convicted; 11 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction, 6 for 65 days; 1 for 60 days; 4 for 90 days; 12 sent to State House of Correction for 90 days; 2 sent to Reform School until of age; 35 sent to jail for 30 days, 77 for 20 days, 8 for 15 days, 2 for 14 days, 35 for 10 days, 6 for 5 days; 1 paid fine of $30; 1, $25; 2, $20; 3, $15; 8, $10; 10, $5; 3, $2; 20, $1; 1, 19 cents and costs; 4, 6 cents and costs; 18 paid costs; 38, sentence suspended; 2 complaints withdrawn; 71 discharged.
Assault	3	Two convicted and sent to jail, 1 for 15 days and 1 for 20 days; 1 discharged.
Assault with intent to rape	1	Defendant discharged.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	5	Two convicted; 1 sent to State prison for 5 years, 1 paid costs and discharged; 1 discharged, 1 pending, and 1 discontinued.
Burglary	5	Discharged.
Bastardy	2	One settled by marriage; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Common prostitutes	23	Eighteen convicted; 10 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 6 for 95 days, 4 for 90 days; 1 sent to jail for 30 days; 1 paid fine, $20; 5 sentence suspended; 1 sent to Reform School until of age; 5 disch urged.
Defrauding hotel keeper	3	Two convicted; 2 sent to jail for 20 days; 1 discharged on payment of costs.
Disturbing religious meetings	1	Paid fine of $20.
Disorderly	204	One hundred and ninety-two convicted; 43 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 33 for 90 days, and 10 for 65 days; 38 sent to jail for 30 days; 27 for 20 days; 5 for 15 days; 10 for 10 days; 1 for 5 days; 1 paid fine of $35; 6, $25; 1, $10; 5, $2; 1, $5; 1, $1; 4 paid costs; 4 sent to Industrial Home for Girls at Adrian; 45 sentence suspended; 1 complaint withdrawn; 11 discharged.
Disorderly house	2	One fined $20 and costs; 1 discharged.
Disorderly juveniles	9	Five convicted; 2 sent to Coldwater until 17 years of age; 3, sentence suspended; 1 sent to Industrial Home for Girls; 3 sent to Reform School at Lansing.
Drunk and disorderly	7	Six convicted; 1 sent to Reform School at Lansing for 3 years; 2 paid costs; 3 sentence suspended; 1 discharged.
Drunk	134	One hundred and thirty-three convicted; 3 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 2 for 90 days and 1 for 65 days; 7 sent to jail for 30 days, 5 for 20 days, 5 for 15 days, 80 for 10 days; 1 paid $10 fine; 8 paid costs; 24 sentence suspended; 1 discharged.
Embezzlement	1	Convicted and sentence suspended.
False pretenses	5	One paid costs; 1 pending; 1 dismissed; 2 discharged.

BAY COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Fast driving on Third street bridge	1	Fined $5 and costs.
Forgery	1	Discharged.
Grand larceny	11	Seven convicted; 5 sent to State prison, 3 for 3 years, 1 for 2 years, 1 for 1 year; 2 sent to State House of Correction, 1 for 3 years and 1 for 2 years; 1 *nolle pros'd*, 1 pending, 2 discharged.
Indecent exposure of person	2	One sent to jail for 10 days; 1 discharged.
Illegal voting	1	Dismissed.
Killing game out of season	3	Three convicted; 3 paid fine of $5 and costs of prosecution.
Keeping saloon open on Sunday	14	One sent to jail for 60 days; 13 discharged on request of complainants by paying costs of prosecution.
Larceny	73	Fifty-seven convicted; 2 sent to Jackson, 1 for 5 years, and 1 for 1 year and 6 months; 15 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 5 for 90 days, 8 for 65 days, and 2 for 60 days; 1 sent to Coldwater for 6 years; 2 sent to Ionia for 90 days; 8 sent to jail for 30 days, 2 for 25 days, 7 for 20 days, 2 for 15 days, 4 for 10 days; 1 paid fine of $10, 2, $5; 4, $1; 3 paid costs of prosecution; 4, sentence suspended; 1 pending; 2 complaints withdrawn; 13 discharged.
Larceny at fire	1	Sent to State prison for 6 months.
Larceny from person	4	One sentenced to State prison for 5 years; 3 discharged.
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation	3	One sent to State prison for 6 months, and 1 for 3 months; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Malicious injury to property	16	Twelve convicted; 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction for 65 days; 4 sent to jail for 30 days, 2 for 25 days, 1 for 10 days, 4 sentence suspended, 4 discharged.
Malicious trespass	6	One sent to jail for 40 days; 2 suspended sentence; 3 discharged.
Non-support	4	Two sent to Detroit House of Correction for 90 days; 2 gave bonds for support of family.
Nuisance	5	Three convicted; 2 sent to jail for 10 days; 1, bonds forfeited; 1 pending, 1 discontinued.
Poisoning chickens	1	Fined $1 and costs.
Receiving stolen property	2	One sent to Detroit House of Correction for 90 days; 1 complaint withdrawn.
Rape	1	Sent to State prison for 6 months.
Rescuing animals from pound	2	One fined $10 and costs; 1 discharged.
Seduction	2	One married girl; 1 discharged.
Slander	31	One sent to jail for 30 days, 5 for 20 days, 10 for 10 days; 1 paid $1 fine; 1 paid costs; 2 discontinued; 5, sentence suspended; 6 discharged.
Selling liquor without license	8	Five convicted; 2 fined $50; 1, $35; 1, $25; 1 paid costs; 3 discharged.
Selling liquor to minors	2	One paid $25 and costs.
Search warrant	2	One, goods found; 1, goods not found.

BAY COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Truancy	12	Eight convicted; 4 sent to Reform School for 3 years; 4 sent to Industrial Home for Girls; 4 released on interposition of State agent.
Throwing stones at cars	3	Three sentence suspended at request of State agent.
Threats	1	Fined $10 and costs.
Violating liquor law	18	Nine convicted; 1 fined $35 and costs; 6, $25; 1 paid costs of prosecution; 1 adjudged insane; 5 complaints withdrawn; 4 discharged.
Vagrants	54	Fifty-one convicted; 30 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 16 for 90 days, and 14 for 65 days; 8 sent to jail for 30 days, 4 for 25 days, 5 for 15 days, 4 sentence suspended, 3 discharged.

BENZIE COUNTY.

FRANK B. CASE, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 5.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Arson	3	One acquitted; 2 discharged on examination.
Disorderly	1	Bound over to keep the peace for 1 year.
Larceny	1	Discharged on examination.

BERRIEN COUNTY.

ALISON C. ROE, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 142.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	1	Acquitted.
Arson	1	Acquitted.
Assault and battery	36	Two acquitted; 9 discharged; 25 convicted, of whom the following paid costs and the following fines, viz: 1 fined, $100; 2, each $1; 5, each $5; 1, $25; 1, $15; 3 each, $2; 1 fined $3; 2, each, $3; 1 fined $50 and costs and sent to jail 90 days; 1 fined $20 and costs and sent to jail 40 days; 1 fined $10 and costs and sent to jail 30 days; 2 sent to jail 1 day each; 1 sent to jail 3 months; 1 sent jail 25 days; 1 jail 24 days; 1 fined $31.75, defendant appealed—pending.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	3	Discharged.

BERRIEN COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Discharged.
Bastardy	2	One discharged; 1 pending.
Burglary	7	One sent to State Prison 4 years; 2 discharged; 4 pending.
Disorderly	11	Two discharged; 9 convicted, of whom 1 was sent to jail 3 months; 1 jail 10 days; 2, each 20 days; 3, each 30 days; 1, jail 60 days; 1, jail 1 day.
Disturbing religious meeting	7	Six convicted and fined $2 each and costs; 1 acquitted.
Drunkenness	26	All convicted; 11 fined $10 each and costs; 1 fined $5; 6 sent to jail 20 days each; 3, each jail 10 days; 1 jail 15 days; 3 fined $10 and sent jail 15 days; 1 fined $10 and costs and sent jail 30 days.
False pretenses	2	One acquitted; 1 discharged.
Larceny	21	Two acquitted; 9 discharged; 10 convicted, of whom 1 was sent to State Prison 3 years and 6 months: 1, State Prison 1 year; 1, sent jail 3 months; 4 sent jail 30 days each; 1, jail 90 days; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1, sentence suspended.
Larceny from dwelling	7	Six convicted, of whom 4 sent to State Prison 18 months each; 1 sent State Prison 1 year; 1 sent State House of Correction 90 days; 1 pending.
Malicious mischief	2	Acquitted.
Manslaughter	2	Acquitted.
Murder	1	Pending.
Riot	1	Acquitted.
Slander	2	One acquitted; 1 pending on appeal.
Sureties of the peace	4	One discharged; 3 convicted, of whom 2 were required to give bond in $300 to keep the peace; 1 required to give bond in $100 to keep the peace.
Violating liquor law	5	1 acquitted; 1 discharged; 1 pending; 2 convicted, of whom 1 was fined $25 and costs; 1 sent jail 30 days.

BRANCH COUNTY.

JOHN R. CHAMPION, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 86.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	28	Twenty convicted and sentenced as follows: 1 sent to State House of Correction for 90 days; 1 fined $20, and $4.20 costs, and in default of payment was sent to State House of Correction for 90 days; 1 fined $25, 1 fined $15, 1 fined $20 and $16.16 costs, 1 fined $10 and $9.79 costs, 1 fined $10, 1 fined $10 and $1.45 costs, 1 fined $7, 1 fined $8, 1 fined $1 and $4.60 costs, 1 fined $1 and $6.20 costs, 1 fined $1 and $4.70 costs, 1 fined $1 and $13.66 costs, 1 fined $1 and $20 costs, 1 fined $5 and $6.15 costs, 1 fined $5 and $4.99 costs, 1 fined $4 costs, 1 fined $7 costs, 1 fined $4.20 costs, 1 complainant acknowledged satisfaction and paid costs, 1 discharged, complainant not appearing, 2 juries disagreed and defendants discharged, 1 discharged by order of prosecuting attorney, 3 acquitted.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Convicted and sent to State House of Correction for 1 year and 8 months.
Assault with intent to commit the crime of murder	3	One convicted of assault and battery and sentenced to State House of Correction for 90 days; 2 pending.
Burglary	2	Convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 1 year and 3 months each.
Conspiracy	3	Discharged on examination.
Cruelty to animals	2	Convicted, and 1 sent to State House of Correction for 90 days; 1 fined $3 and $6.74 costs.
Disorderly persons:		
(*a*) Common drunkards	2	One convicted and fined $10 and $20 costs; 1 acquitted.
(*b*) Refusing to support family	1	Discharged, complainant not wishing to prosecute further.
Disturbing religious meeting	1	Convicted and fined $5.
False pretenses:		
Obtaining property by	3	One *nolle prosequi*; 1 convicted and sentenced to jail for 20 days; 1 discharged by justice on examination.
Fraudulently disposing of chattel mortgaged property	1	Discharged by order of prosecuting attorney.
Larceny	20	Seventeen convicted and sentenced as follows: 1 sent to State House of Correction for 1 year, 4 sentenced to State House of Correction for 90 days each, 1 fined $5 and $6.60 costs, 1 fined $20 costs, 1 fined $10 and $5.50 costs, 1 fined $1 and $4.50 costs, 2 fined $3 and $2.10 costs each, 1 sent to jail for 30 days, 1 sent to Reform School at Lansing until he is 17 years of age, 1 sentence suspended, 2 discharged on examination, 1 acquitted, 2 fined $5 and $15 costs each, 1 fined $10.
Larceny from the person	2	One convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 2 years and 6 months; 1 acquitted.

BRANCH COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Liquor law, violation of:		
(*a*) Keeping open saloon on legal holiday	4	All convicted and each fined $25 and costs of prosecution.
(*b*) Keeping open saloon on Sunday	1	Fined $25 and costs of prosecution.
(*c*) Furnishing liquor to a person in the habit of being intoxicated	1	Acquitted.
(*d*) For being found intoxicated, etc	1	Discharged.
Malicious injury to building of another	3	Convicted; 2 fined $8.10 and $1.90 costs; 1 sentenced to jail for 30 days.
Malicious injury to fruit trees	1	Discharged on examination.
Malicious placing obstructions on R. R. track	1	Convicted and sentenced to State prison at Jackson for 9 years.
Receiving stolen property, knowing it to have been stolen	1	Convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 1 year.
Slander	4	Discharged.

CALHOUN COUNTY.

JOSEPH S. NOYES, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Total number of persons prosecuted, 411. In Justice Court, 360. In Circuit Court, 51.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN CIRCUIT COURT.		
Arson, attempt to commit	2	One convicted and sentenced to 5 years in State Prison; 1 recognizance forfeited.
Assault and battery	3	One fined $15; 1 sentence suspended; 1 pending.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Declared insane.
Assault with intent to commit rape	3	One acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 pleaded guilty to assault and battery and sentenced to 3 months in State House of Correction.
Bastardy	1	Settled.
Breaking and entering railroad car with intent to commit larceny	3	Convicted, 1 sentenced to 15 days in county jail; 2 sentenced to 3 months House of Correction each.
Burglary	12	Two sentenced to 13 years in State Prison; 1 to 10 years in State Prison; 3 each 2 years in State Prison; 1 sentenced 9 months and 3 each 3 months to State House of Correction; 1 sent jail 30 days; 1 dismissed.
False pretenses	2	Pending.
Forgery	3	One sentenced State House of Correction 1 year; 2 pending.
Larceny	15	Six sentenced to State Prison, 2 for 4 years each, 3 for 2 years each, 1 for 6 years; 3 sent to State House of Correction, 1 for 4 months; 1 for 3 years, 1 for 3 months; 3 sentenced on other charges; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending; 1 recognizance forfeited.

CALHOUN COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Malicious injury to building	2	One fined $41; 1 pending.
Perjury	1	Pending.
Robbery	2	One sent to State House of Correction 1 year 1 recognizance forfeited.
Threatening communications	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
IN JUSTICE COURT.		
Abduction	1	Dismissed on examination.
Adultery	2	One settled; 1 warrant not served.
Arson, attempt to commit	2	Both held for trial on examination.
Assault and battery	89	Twenty-two sent to State House of Correction for 90 days each; 3 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 1 for 90 days, 2 for 60 days each; 6 sent to jail, 2 for 90 days each, 2 for 30 days, 1 for 60 days, 1 for 10 days; 2 fined costs; 1 fined $2; 6 each $10; 1 fined 80 cents; 9, each $5; 2, each $20; 1 fined $6; 2, each $1; 1 fined $15; 17 dismissed; 3 warrant not served; 9 settled by parties; 1 gave bonds to keep the peace; 2, complaining witness failed to appear; 1 acquitted.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Held for trial.
Assault with intent to commit rape	3	Two held for trial; 1 discharged.
Bastardy	2	One held for trial; 1 parties married.
Burglary	12	Ten held for trial; 1 discharged; 1 released because insane.
Breaking and entering railroad car with intent to commit larceny	3	Held for trial.
Disorderly:		
(*a*) Vagrants	39	Three sent to Detroit House of Correction, 1 for 18 days, 1 for 90 days, 1 for 60 days, 1 for 65 days; 26 sent to county jail, 7 for 20 days each, 1 for 25 days, 4 for 10 days each, 4 each 15 days, 3 each 30 days, 2 each 90 days, 4 for 60 days each; 1 sent to Reform School until 17 years of age; 4 dismissed; 1 sentence suspended; 1 insane; 3 warrant not served.
(*a*) Drunk and disorderly	41	Two sentenced to Detroit House of Correction, 1 for 90 days, 1 for 65 days; 22 sent to county jail, 10 for 20 days each, 5 for 15 days each, 6, each 10 days, 1 for 90 days; 2 fined costs; 2 fined 6 cents each and costs; 2 fined $10; 1 fined $25; 6 dismissed; 1 settled; 2 sentence suspended; 1 warrant not served.
(*c*) Common prostitute	1	Sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 6 months.
(*d*) Keeping bawdy house	1	Complaint withdrawn.
(*e*) Disturbing religious meeting	1	Sentenced on other charges.
Embezzlement	3	One held for trial; 2 discharged.
False pretenses	6	Two held for trial; 4 discharged.
Forgery	3	Two held for trial; 1 discharged.
Fraudulent disposition of chattel mortgage property	1	Warrant not served.
Larceny:		
(*a*) Over $25	14	One discharged; 13 held for trial.

CALHOUN COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
(*b*) Less than $25	93	Twenty-one sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 8 sent to Reform School; 3 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 2 for 65 days each, 1 for 60 days; 42 sent to county jail, of whom 6 were sent for 30 days; 11 for 15 days, 14 for 20 days, 3 for 60 days, 1 for 25 days, 5 for 10 days, 1 for 12 days, 1 for 90 days; 1 fined costs; 1 fined $1; 1 fined $10; 2 fined $5 each; 4 settled by the parties; 1, complaining witness failed to appear; 2, warrant not served; 1, sentence suspended; 1 juvenile offender, dismissed; 3 dismissed; 1 acquitted; 1 appealed to Circuit Court.
(*c*) Larceny of horses under penal statute	6	Held for trial.
(*d*) From the person	1	Held for trial.
(*e*) From railroad car	3	Held for trial.
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation	2	One complaint changed to assault and battery; 1 sentenced on other charges.
Malicious destruction of property	1	Fined $75 and costs.
Malicious injury to building	7	One sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 appealed to Circuit Court; 1 defendant absconded; 1 sentence suspended; 1 dismissed; 2 acquitted.
Receiving stolen property	1	Held for trial.
Search warrants	4	One, goods found; 3 not found.
Seduction	1	Discharged on examination.
Slander	5	One sent county jail 90 days; 1 dismissed; 3 acquitted.
Surety to keep the peace	4	Two gave bonds of $200 for 6 months; 1 dismissed; 1 warrant not served.
Threatening communication	1	Held for trial.
Using profane language	1	Sent to jail 5 days.
Violation of banking law	2	One discharged; 1 warrant not served.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Keeping open after hours and Sunday	12	Six fined $25 and costs each; 3 dismissed; 1 warrant not served; 1 complaining witness failed to appear; 1 acquitted.
(*b*) Selling liquor to minors	1	Fined $100.
(*c*) Selling liquor without license	1	Fined $50.
Wantonly driving away horse	1	Sent to Reform School.

CASS COUNTY.

J. R. CASE, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 159.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	3	Two settled by the parties; 1 discharged.
Arson	1	Convicted and sent to State prison 5 years.
Assault	2	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 fined $3 and costs.
Assault and battery	31	Three sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days each; 22 paid costs and the following fines, viz.: 6 fined $10 each, 1 fined $75, 7, each $5; 4, each $1; 3, each $3; 1 fined $2, 5 discharged, 1 sentence suspended.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm less than the crime of murder	5	Three sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days each, two of whom were also fined $100; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 discharged.
Assault with intent to commit murder	2	One adjudged insane; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Assault with intent to commit rape	1	Sentenced to Reform School until 17 years of age.
Bastardy	6	Two settled by marriage of parties; 3 settled by a money consideration; 1 pending in Supreme Court.
Breaking and entering car with intent to obtain carriage	2	Sentenced to State House of Correction for 90 days each.
Cruelty to animals	2	One fined $5 and costs; 1 dismissed.
Destroying sign board	1	Dismissed.
Disturbing religious meeting	6	Five paid costs and the following fines: 3 each, $5; 2, each $10; 1 discharged.
Drunk	41	One discharged; 40 paid costs and the following fines: 37, each $10; 2, each $5, and 1 fined $1.
Embezzlement	1	Acquitted.
False pretenses	2	One sent to State prison 10 months; 1 sent to jail 10 days.
Forgery	2	One sent to State House of Correction 6 months; 1 acquitted.
Illegal voting	2	One acquitted; 1 discharged.
Incest	1	Sentenced to State prison for 5 years.
Larceny	31	Three sentenced to State prison, 2 for 4 years each, 1 for 1 year; 7 sent to State House of Correction, 1 for 3 years and 6 months, 1 for 6 months, and 5 for 90 days each; 1 sent to Reform School, 2 sent to jail, 1 for 10 days, 1 for 60 days; 1 acquitted, 2 dismissed on appeal, 5 discharged, 10 paid costs and the following fines, viz.: 1 fined $25, 1 fined $5, 4, each $10; 2, each $20; 1 fined $3, 1 fined $9.
Malicious injury to personal property	2	Sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days each.

CASS COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Rape	1	Sentenced to State prison 10 years.
Seduction	1	Sentenced to pay fine and costs of $550.
Slander	1	Fined $16 and costs.
Sureties to keep the peace	3	Two gave bonds to keep the peace, 1 for 30 days, 1 for 6 months and pay costs; 1 discharged.
Uttering forged note	2	One fined $100 and costs; 1 settled.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Selling to minors	2	One fined $40 and costs; 1 acquitted.
(*b*) Selling without giving bonds	5	Two acquitted; 1 discharged; 1 fined $25, and 1 fined $50, with costs.

CHARLEVOIX COUNTY.

ROSCOE L. CORBETT, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 38.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	17	Three convicted and fined $10 and costs each or 30 days jail; 5 fined $5 each and costs; 2 fined costs; 1 fined 50 cents; 1 fined $2; 2 acquitted; 3 jury disagreed and defendant discharged.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Adjudged insane and sent to the asylum.
Disturbing religious meeting	1	Fined $5 and costs.
False pretenses	1	Pending.
Keeping wheel of fortune	2	One acquitted; 1 discharged.
Larceny	1	Dismissed on examination.
Malicious slander	1	Fined $10 and costs.
Malicious trespass	1	Fined $5 and costs.
Murder	4	One sentenced to State prison for life; 2 *nolle pros'd.*; 1 escaped.
Surety to keep the peace	1	Bond given.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Keeping saloon open after 9 o'clock	1	Fined $25 and costs.
(*b*) Keeping saloon open on Sunday	2	Complaints withdrawn.

CHEBOYGAN COUNTY.

GEORGE E. FROST, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 60.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	3	*Nolle pros'd.*
Assault and battery	21	Six convicted and fined the costs of prosecution; 6 fined costs and the following fines: 1 fined $20; 3, each $5; 1 fined $1; 1 fined $10; 3 sent jail 14 days each; 1 jail 7 days; 4 discharged; 1 acquitted.
Assault with intent to kill	1	Convicted of assault and battery and sent to jail 90 days.
Assault with intent to rape	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Burglary	4	Three convicted and sent to State House of Correction 1 year; 1 discharged on examination.
Defrauding hotel-keeper	1	Convicted and fined costs.
Destroying personal property	1	Discharged.
Disorderly	11	Nine fined costs and following fines: 1 fined $50; 2, each $25; 1 fined $4.75; 5, each, costs; 2 sent to jail, 1 for 20 days, 1 for 14 days.
Forgery	1	Acquitted.
Killing deer in the water	1	Appealed to Circuit Court, pending.
Larceny	7	One fined $2 and costs; 1 sent jail 24 days; 1 sentence suspended; 1 discharged; 1 acquitted; 2 pending.
Lewdness	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Malicious trespass	1	Sentence suspended.
Slander	3	One sentenced to jail 14 days; 1 fined costs; 1 discharged.
Threats to do personal injury	1	Acquitted.
Violating liquor law	2	Convicted and fined $25 each and costs.

CHIPPEWA COUNTY.

E. S. B. SUTTON, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 72.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	3	Two warrants not served; 1 pending.
Arson	2	Discharged.
Assault and battery	23	One acquitted; 1 appealed; 14 paid costs and the following fines: Five fined $2 each; 1 fined $3; 3 each $5; 1 fined $1; 2 each $15; 1 fined $20; 1 fined costs of prosecution; 3 sent to jail 3 days; 1 warrant not returned; 2 discharged; 1 complainant absconded.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	4	Three discharged; 1 escaped.
Disorderly persons	6	One fined $50 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 sent to jail 27 days; 1 sent to jail in default of sureties; 1 sentence suspended; 1 warrant not returned.
Keeping house of ill-fame	6	Two acquitted; 2 pending; 1 sentence suspended; 2 discharged.
Larceny	10	One sentenced to State House of Correction for 90 days; 1 fined $2 and costs; 2 each $5 and costs; 1 fined costs; 3 discharged; 2 pending.
Malicious destruction of personal property	4	One sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days; 2, satisfaction made to party injured and dismissed; 1 discharged.
Murder—Assault with intent to commit	1	Convicted, but escaped.
Obscene exposure of person	1	Fined $30.
Rape	1	Pending.
Removing row-boat	5	Two fined the costs and 3 fined $1 each and costs.
Seduction	1	Warrant not returned.
Violation of liquor law	5	One sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 2 fined $50 and costs; 1 acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd.*

CLARE COUNTY.

W. A. BARRITT, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of cases prosecuted, 68.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	16	Five convicted and sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 4 fined $10 each; 2 each $20; 1 fined $25; 1 fined $15; 1 fined $5; 2 acquitted.
Assault with intent to murder	2	One pleaded guilty of assault and battery and sentence suspended; 1 pending.
Assault with intent to maim	1	Sentenced to State prison 1 year and 6 months.
Assault with intent to ravish	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Burglary	2	Broke jail and escaped.
Disorderly	16	One sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 2 sent to jail 10 days each; 4 fined $20 each; 6 fined $1 each; 2 discharged.
False pretenses	2	Pending.
Forgery	2	Sentenced to State House of Correction—1 for 1½ years, 1 for 1 year.
Keeping house of ill-fame	7	One sentenced to State House of Correction for 6 months each; 4 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction, 1 for 10 months, 1 for 1 year and 2 for 11 months each; 2 discharged,
Larceny:		
(*a*) Petit	18	Four acquitted; 3 sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days each; 6 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 4 for 60 days each, 1 for 30 days, 1 for 90 days; 4 sent to jail 10 days each; 1 fined $20 or 30 days jail,—appealed.
(*b*) From store	1	Sentence suspended.
(*c*) Of pine timber	4	*Nolle pros'd.*
(*d*) From the person	4	Two sentenced to State prison, 1 for 1 year, 1 for 2½ years; 1 forfeited recognizance; 1 pending.
(*e*) From dwelling	1	Pending.
Murder	1	Acquitted.
Selling liquor to minor	1	Fined $75.
Slander	1	Jury disagreed, *nolle pros'd.*

CLINTON COUNTY.

J. H. FEDEWA, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 67.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	3	One held for trial; 2 awaiting examination.
Arson	1	Sentenced to State prison for life.
Assault and battery	20	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction for 90 days; 10 paid costs and following fines, viz.: 2 fined $5, 1 fined $22.65, 1 fined $3, 1 fined $15, 1 fined $18.15, 1 fined $10, 2 each, $1; 1 fined $5.50, 1 sent to jail 15 days, 1 jail 60 days, 1 *nolle pros'd*, 6 jury disagreed.
Assault with intent to commit rape	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Burglary	3	Convicted—1 sent to State prison 2 years; 2 sent to State prison 1½ years each.
Concealing stolen property	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Disturbing religious meeting	3	Two sent to jail 20 days; 1 fined $5 and costs.
Disorderly persons	2	Sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 60 days each.
Drunkenness	9	One sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 60 days; 6 paid costs and following fines, viz.: 3 fined $25 each, 1 fined $5, 1 fined $1, 1 fined $2, 2 sent to jail 10 days.
False pretenses	2	One convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction 1 year; 1 held for trial and forfeited his recognizance.
Larceny	9	Three sentenced to State House of Correction for 90 days each; 1 sent to jail for 20 days; 1 fined $20 and costs; 1 fined $15; 1 fined $13 and costs; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 acquitted.
Larceny from dwelling in the daytime	3	Sentenced to State House of Correction, 1 for 2 years, and 2 for 1 year each.
Incest	1	Discharged, and charged with rape.
Rape	1	Convicted of assault and battery.
Resisting an officer	1	Sent to jail 60 days.
Throwing missiles at passenger trains	3	Convicted, but released as juvenile offenders.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Selling liquor without paying tax	1	Convicted and fined $50.
(*b*) Selling liquor on Sunday	1	Acquitted.
(*c*) Selling liquor to habitual drunkard	1	Acquitted.

CRAWFORD COUNTY.

MAIN J. CONNINE, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 18.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	3	All convicted, 1 fined $2 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $10 and sentenced to 2 days in jail, committed.
Drunk and disorderly	10	Three fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $1.50 and costs; 2 fined the costs; 2 fined $5 and costs or eight days in jail, committed; 1 fined $7 and costs or 10 days in jail, committed; 1 fined $5 and costs or 5 days in jail, committed.
Exciting disturbance	1	Fined $7 and costs.
Keeping house of ill-fame	1	Sentenced to 1 year State House of Correction and to pay a fine of $300.
Larceny	1	Acquitted.
Using profane language	2	One fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs or 8 days in jail, committed.

DELTA COUNTY.

F. D. MEAD, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 17.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault	1	Convicted, fined $25 and 60 days in jail.
Assault and battery	5	Two fined $1 each and costs; 1 sent jail 60 days; 2 acquitted.
Assault with intent to ravish a child under ten years of age	1	Convicted and sentenced to State prison for 10 years.
Assault with intent to rape	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Bastardy	1	Convicted.
Common prostitute	1	Sentenced to Industrial Home until 21 years of age.
Cutting loose a boom containing logs	1	Acquitted.
Larceny	2	One sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 jury disagreed.
Resisting officer	1	Discharged on examination.
Transporting deer outside of the State	1	Fined $50 and costs.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Keeping saloon open on Sunday	1	Jury disagreed.
(*b*) Selling liquor without payment of tax	1	Fined $50 and costs.

EATON COUNTY.

J. M. C. SMITH, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 129.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Abduction	1	Pending.
Adultery	1	Sentenced to State Prison for 9 months.
Arson	1	Acquitted.
Assault and battery	26	Fifteen convicted of whom 1 was fined $50 and 1 fined $25, both appealed; 1 fined $25; 1 fined $20; 1 fined $7.75; 1 fined 10; 1 fined $3; 1 sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days; 2 sent to jail 30 days; 3 each 15 days; 3 each 5 days; 2 acquitted; 3 pending; *nolle pros'd*; 2 jury disagreed.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	2	One fined $25; 1 sent to jail 30 days.
Assault with intent to murder	2	One sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Bastardy	1	Pending.
Breach of the peace	1	Pending.
Burglary	2	One sent to State House of Correction 3 months; 1 pending.
Careless use of fire-arms	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Cruelty to animals	2	One fined $25; 1 acquitted and complainant paid $20 costs.
Defrauding hotel keepers	6	Sentenced to jail, 1 for 20 days; 2 each 15 days; 1 for 10 days; 1 for 8 days; 1 for 5 days.
Disturbing Salvation Army meetings	13	One acquitted; 1 fined $30; 4 fined $10 each; 1 fined $5; 1 fined $25 and costs; 1 sent to jail 15 days; 1 sentence suspended; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 2 jury disagreed.
Disorderly	19	One sentenced to Reform School; 1 sent to jail 45 days; 2 jail 30 days each; 5, each 20 days; 3, each 15 days; 2, each 10 days; 1 jail 25 days; 2 sentence suspended.
Drunk	11	Nine sent to jail: 1 for 60 days; 1 for 45 days; 1 for 30 days; 2, each 20 days; 4, each 15 days; 1 sentence suspended; 1 warrant not returned.
Embezzlement	1	Dismissed.
False pretenses	3	One sent to State House of Correction for 9 months; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 warrant not returned.
Forgery	1	Sent to State Prison 4 years.
Illegal voting	1	Bond estreated and $15 collected.
Indecent exposure	1	Fined $25.
Inquests	2	One warrant issued for murder.
Larceny:		

EATON COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
(*a*) Petit	16	One acquitted; 2 sent to the Reform School; 2 fined $15 each; 5 sent to jail, 2 for 30 days each; 3 for 15 days each; 1 sentence suspended; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 2 jury disagreed; 1 bond cstreated.
(*b*) Grand	6	Two sentenced to State Prison: 1 for 9 months, 1 for 1 year; 1 sent to State House of Correction 1 year; 1 fined $40; 1 convicted of petit larceny; 1 pending.
Murder	1	Pending.
Search warrant	1	No property found.
Uttering forged paper	3	One sent to State House of Correction 9 days; 1 dismissed; 1 pending.
Violation of the Liquor Law:		
(*a*) Keeping open saloon	2	One fined $75 and 1 fined $30 with costs each.
(*b*) Keeping open saloon (tried in the Circuit Court)	2	One fined $25 and 1 jury disagreed.

EMMET COUNTY.

JOHN G. HILL, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 18.

Charged with.	o.	The Result and Punishment.
Assault and battery	4	Two acquitted; 1 fined; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Drunkenness	1	Fined $5 and costs.
Embezzlement	1	Held for trial.
Forgery	2	Held for trial.
Keeping house of ill-fame	2	One fined $25 and costs; 1 discharged.
Larceny	3	Two sent to Reform School; 1 sent to House of Correction.
Malicious injury to personal property	3	One fined $1.50 and costs; 1 held for trial; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Violation of liquor law	2	One fined; 1 acquitted.

GENESEE COUNTY.

EDWARD S. LEE, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Total number of persons prosecuted, 531. In Circuit Court, 41. In Justice Court, 490.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN CIRCUIT COURT.		
Arson	1	Pending.
Assault and battery (appeal)	1	*Nolle pros'd*, respondent paying fine and costs imposed in justice court.
Assault with intent to rape	1	Pending.
Bastardy	1	Pending.
Bigamy	2	One convicted and sent to State Prison 2 years; 1 pending.
Breaking and entering store in daytime with intent to steal, etc.	2	One sent to State Prison 2½ years; 1 sent to State House of Correction 2½ years.
Burglary	4	Two convicted and sent to State Prison 5 years each; 2 pending.
Disorderly person (appeal)	1	Sent to jail 90 days.
Keeping gaming room	1	Pending.
Larceny:		
(*a*) Of the value of $25 and over	9	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction 2½ years; 2 acquitted; 1 jury disagreed, and still pending; 5 pending.
(*b*) Of property less than $25 in value (appeal)	1	*Nolle pros'd*, respondent paying costs.
Murder	2	Convicted of murder in the first degree and sent to State Prison for life.
Obtaining money under false pretenses	5	One sentenced State Prison 2 years; 1 sent to State House of Correction 6 months; 1 pending; 1 discharged after disagreement of jury; 1 *Nolle pros'd*.
Seduction	1	Pending.
Threats (appeals)	2	Pending.
Uttering forged order	5	One sentenced to State Prison 3 years; 4 pending.
Violation of liquor law (appeal)	1	Pending.
Violation of election law (appeal)	1	Suffered forfeiture of recognizance.
IN JUSTICE COURTS.		
Adultery	2	Discharged after examination.
Arson	2	One bound over; 1 discharged.
Assault with intent to rape	1	Bound over.

GENESEE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	59	Convicted 37, of whom 4 were sentenced to Detroit House of Correction, 2 for 90 days each, 2 for 65 days each; 10 sent to jail, 3 for 30 days each, 4 for 20 days each, 1 for 10 days; 1 for 15 days, 1 for 5 days; 2 were fined $25 each and $20 costs; 2 each six cents and $17.36 costs; 1 fined $10 and $20 costs; 1 fined $9 and $2.91 costs; 1 fined $1 and $20 costs; 2 each $5 and $2.95 costs; 1 fined $3 and $5 costs; 2 fined $2 each and $3 costs, 1 fined $3 and $7 costs; 1 fined $1 and $4 costs; 1 fined $5 and $8 costs; 2 fined $5 each and $5 costs; 1 fined $5 and $2.75 costs; 1 fined $1 and $2.25 costs; 1 fined $7.50 costs; 1 appealed; 2, sentence suspended; 19 *nolle pros'd*; 3 acquitted.
Bastardy	3	One bound over; 1 settled by marriage of parties; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Bigamy	3	Two bound over; 1 discharged.
Breaking and entering store in daytime with intent to steal, etc.	2	Bound over.
Burglary	12	Six bound over; 6 discharged.
Cruelty to animals	1	*Nolle pros'd*.
Defrauding boarding-house keeper	4	Two *Nolle pros'd*; 2 warrants not served.
Disorderly persons	287	Twenty-two convicted and sent to Detroit House of Correction, 15 for 65 days each; 3 for 60 days each, 3 for 90 days each, 1 for 5 months; 7 sent to jail 30 days each; 1 for 25 days; 18, jail 20 days; 25, jail 15 days; 2, jail 12 days; 109, jail, 10 days; 5, jail 5 days; 97, sentence suspended.
Disposing of chattel mortgaged property	2	One sent to jail 30 days; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Disturbing religious meeting	7	Six convicted, of whom 2 were fined $2 and $3 costs each; 2 fined $3 and $25 costs each; 1 fined $5 and $5 costs; 1 fined $2 and $3 costs; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Disturbing school	3	Two fined $7.25 each; 1 discharged.
False pretenses	7	Four bound over; 3 discharged.
Keeping gaming-house	1	Bound over.
Larceny of property valued at $25 and over	10	Four bound over; 6 discharged.
Larceny of property valued at less than $25	35	Convicted 24, of whom 2 were sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days each; 4 sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 3 sent to Reform School till 17 years of age; 7 sent to jail, 3 for 30 days each; 1 for 15 days; 2 for 10 days each; 1 fined $10 and $20 costs; 1 fined $5 and $8 costs; 1 fined $1 and $5 costs; 1 fined $9 costs; 1 sentence suspended; 14 discontinued.
Malicious injury to property	1	*Nolle pros'd*, prosecutor paying costs.
Murder	1	Bound over.
Poisoning animals	2	Discharged.
Prostitution	9	Five convicted and sent to Industrial Home for Girls; 2 sent to State Public School; 2 *nolle pros'd*.
Seduction	1	Bound over.
Slander	5	One fined $2 and $8 costs; 4 *nolle pros'd*.
Surety to keep the peace	4	Two convicted and appealed; 1 acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd*.

GENESEE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Threats	2	One sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 1 fined $5 and costs.
Unhitching horse without authority	4	One fined $10 and $15 costs; 2 fined $5 and $15 costs each; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Uttering forged instrument	6	Bound over.
Violation election laws	1	Convicted—appealed.
Violation of liquor law	12	Five fined $25 and $5 costs; 1 fined $25 and $9 costs; 2 sent to jail, 1 for 20 days, 1 for 10 days; 4 discontinued.

GLADWIN COUNTY.

WILLIAM E. BARBER, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 35.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	1	Acquitted.
Allowing vicious animal to run in highway	1	Fined $5.
Animal—refusing to bury dead animal	1	Pleaded guilty—buried animal.
Animals—cruelty to	3	One convicted and fined $5; 2 acquitted.
Assault and battery	4	Three convicted, 1 fined $1 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs; 1 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 discharged on motion of Prosecuting Attorney.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Convicted of assault and battery and fined $20.
False pretenses	1	Discharged; complaining witness failed to appear.
Forgery	1	Discharged.
Kidnapping child	1	Settled.
Killing deer out of season	5	Pleaded guilty and sentence suspended.
Larceny	6	One sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 5 fined $10 each.
Larceny from dwelling in day time	4	One sent to Reform School; 3 discharged on examination.
Murder	1	Pending.
Taking and receiving other and further compensation than allowed by law while sitting on Board of Supervisors	6	One acquitted; 1 jury disagreed; 4 *nolle pros'd.*
Violation of liquor law:		
(a) Keeping bar open on Sunday	1	Fined $50 and costs.

GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY.

THOMAS W. BROWNE, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 24.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	1	Jury disagreed and defendant released on personal recognizance. Complainant forfeited recognizance and $100 collected and paid county treasurer.
Assault and battery	7	Five convicted—1, in default of payment of fine committed to jail for 20 days; 1 fined $2.50 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 case dismissed, costs being paid by complaining witness; 1 dismissed; 1 jury disagreed.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	2	One sentenced to State House of correction 18 months; 1 pending.
Entering orchard and stealing fruit	1	Suspended sentence.
Larceny	5	Two sentenced to State House of Correction, 1 for 18 months, 1 for 3 years; 1 fined $12 and costs; 1 *nolle prosd*; 1 warrant not served.
Murder and concealing death of infant	1	Pending.
Violation of liquor law	7	Five convicted, of which number 1 was fined $32 and costs; 3 fined $25 each and costs; 1 fined $35 and costs; 1 dismissed; 1 information quashed.

GRATIOT COUNTY.

JAMES L. CLARK, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 182.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	1	Dismissed before examination on motion of the prosecutor.
Arson	1	Indictment found and still pending.
Assault	2	One convicted and fined $2; 1 dismissed before trial on motion of prosecutor.
Assault and battery	34	Twenty-one convicted, 1 fined $18; 2 fined $15 each; 6 fined $10 each; 1 fined $8; 2 fined $7 each; 3 fined $5 each; 1 fined $3; 1 fined $2; 2 fined $1; 1 sent to jail 5 days; 1 sentence suspended; 8 dismissed before trial on motion of prosecutor; 1 dismissed after trial on motion of prosecutor; 4 acquitted.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Dismissed after examination

GRATIOT COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Attempt to murder	2	One dismissed before examination on motion of prosecutor; 1 bound over and still pending.
Bigamy	1	Convicted and sent to State House of Correction 1 year.
Burglary	34	Seven convicted, of whom 5 were sent to State prison, 1 for 2 years and 6 months; 2 for two years; 2 for 1 year; 2 sent State House of Correction for 1 year; 8 bound over and still pending; 10 dismissed before examination on motion of prosecutor; 6 dismissed after examination; 3 not apprehended.
Common prostitute	4	Two convicted and sent to Industrial Home for Girls; 1 returned; 1 dismissed before trial on motion of prosecutor; 1 acquitted.
Cruelty to animals	2	One convicted and fined $3; 1 dismissed.
Disturbing religious meeting	7	Six convicted, 2 fined $10 each; 2 fined $6 each; 2 fined $5 each; 1 acquitted.
Drunk and disorderly	16	Fifteen convicted, 2 sent to jail 60 days; 2 sent to jail 30 days; 4 sent to jail 10 days; 3 fined $5 and costs each; 3 fined $2 and costs each; 1 sentence suspended; 1 dismissed on motion of prosecutor.
Failure to support family	2	Each case settled.
False pretenses	3	One convicted and fined $500; 1 discharged before examination on motion of prosecutor; 1 still pending.
Illegally becoming interested in school orders	1	Dismissed without trial on motion of prosecutor.
Injuring building	6	Four convicted, 1 fined $12; 1 fined $10; 2 fined $4 each; 1 pending; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Juvenile offenders—truancy	3	Convicted and sent to Reform School.
Larceny	27	Ten convicted, 1 sent to State Prison 1 year; 1 sent to State House of Correction 1 year; 2 sent to State Reform School; 1 sent to jail 30 days; 1 sent to jail 5 days; 1 fined $15; 1 fined $5; 1 discharged upon paying costs; 1 sentence suspended; 3 bound over and still pending; 3 dismissed on motion of prosecutor; 6 dismissed on examination on motion of prosecutor; 2 not apprehended; 2 acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Larceny in a store in the day time	1	Convicted and sent to the State House of Correction for four months.
Larceny from the person	2	Two bound over and still pending.
Lascivious cohabitation	4	Two dismissed on motion of prosecutor before examination; 1 bound over and *nolle pros'd*; 1 not apprehended.
Malicious killing animals	9	One bound over and still pending; 4 dismissed before examination on motion of prosecutor; 4 dismissed after examination on motion of prosecutor.
Murder	1	Dismissed on examination on motion of prosecutor.
Perjury	2	One dismissed on examination on motion of prosecutor; 1 bound over and still pending.
Rape	2	One bound over and *nolle pros'd*; 1 dismissed upon complainant failing on motion of prosecutor.
Seduction	1	Convicted and sent to State Prison 3 years.

GRATIOT COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Sodomy	1	Bound over and still pending.
Selling intoxicating liquors without a license	6	Two convicted, 1 fined $50; 1 sent to jail 30 days; 2 dismissed before trial on motion of prosecutor; 1 still pending; 1 not apprehended
Surety to keep the peace	1	Convicted and bond given.
Slander	2	One settled; 1 acquitted.
Search warrant	1	Goods found.
Trespass	2	Dismissed on examination on motion of prosecutor.

HILLSDALE COUNTY.

CHARLES A. SHEPARD, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 144.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	30	Twenty-three convicted, of whom 2 paid costs; 16 paid costs and the following fines: 5 fined $1 each; 1 fined $2; 3 each $3; 2 each $4; 5 each $5; 4 sent to jail—1 for 20 days; 1 for 30 days; 2 each 90 days; 1 sentence suspended; 1 recognizance forfeited; 1 escaped from officer; 4 discontinued; 1 acquitted.
Bastardy	3	Pending.
Burglary	2	1 sentenced to State Prison 1 year; 1 complaint changed.
Counterfeiting trade mark	1	Pending.
Cruelty to animals	1	Discontinued.
Disturbing schools	4	Fined 2 each and costs.
Drunk and disorderly	31	Three sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 65 days; 11 sent to jail—6 for 10 days each; 4 for 15 days each; 1 for 20 days; 20 paid costs and following fines: 14 each $4; 5 each $5; 1 fined $6; 1 pending; 1 discontinued; 3 sentence suspended; 1 gave bonds.
Embezzlement	1	Pending.
False pretenses	1	Sentenced to State Prison 2½ years.
Forgery	1	Awaiting sentence.
Forging trade mark	1	Pending.
Larceny	53	Twenty-four paid costs and fines—1 of $2; 11 of $1 each; 12 of $5 each; 3 sent to jail—1 for 6 days; 1 for 3 months; 1 for 6 months; 2 sentenced to State Prison—1 for 1¾ years; 1 for 1 year; 4 sentence suspended; 11 acquitted; 1 bond defaulted; 5 discharged on examination; 2 convicted and discharged by justice; 1 settled between parties; 1 pending.
Malicious injury to building	1	Pending.
Perjury	1	Discharged on examination.
Seduction	1	Discontinued and charge of bastardy substituted.

HILLSDALE COUNTY.—*Continud.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Threats to do bodily injury	2	One convicted and put under $250 bonds; 1 settled between the partics.
Vagrancy	4	One acquitted; 1 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 1 sent to jail 10 days; 1 taken to county house.
Violating Liquor Law: (*a*) Selling without bonds	3	Two fined $25 and costs; 1 fined $50 and costs.
(*b*) Selling to habitual drunkard	1	Convicted—discharged by the court.
(*c*) Keeping saloon open after hours	2	Fined $50 each and costs.

HOUGHTON COUNTY.

THOMAS B. DUNSTAN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 124.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault	3	Two convicted, of whom 1 was fined $10; 1 fined $5; 1 discontinued.
Assault and battery	49	Convicted 36, of whom 10 were fined $5 each; 10 each $10; 2 each $20; 5 each $25; 2 each $1; 2 each $4; 1 fined $2; 1 sentenced to 30 days jail; 1 jail 40 days; 1 complaint changed; 3 sentence suspended; 5 dismissed; 6 acquitted.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	9	One sentenced to State House of Correction 6 years; 3 pending; 3 discharged; 1 discontinued; 1 defendant escaped from county jail.
Bastardy	5	One pending; 3 discharged; 1 ordered to pay $100 and $7 per month for support of child.
Cruelty to animals	2	One fined $30; 1 sentence suspended.
Disorderly persons	14	One fined $5; 5 fined $25 each; 4 each $50; 1 sentence suspended; 1 jail 30 days; 1 required to recognize; 1 discontinued.
False pretenses	1	Pending.
Indecent exposure	1	Committed to jail pending examination as to sanity.
Larceny	15	One sentenced to State Prison for 3 years; 6 sent to jail—5 for 30 days, 1 for 60 days; 3 paid fines—1 of $30, 1 of $25, 1 of $4; 1 sentence suspended; 4 discharged.
Malicious injury	1	Discharged.
Manslaughter	1	Fined $50 and costs.
Murder	1	Jury disagreed on two trials and defendant discharged.
Obtaining food under false pretenses	2	Settled.
Receiving stolen property	1	Acquitted.
Slander	4	Two fined $10 each; 1 discontinued; 1 sentence suspended.
Surety to keep the peace	2	Put under bonds—1 for $500, 1 for $200.
Violation of liquor law	13	Ten paid fines, 3 of $25 each, 7 of $50 each; 3 acquitted.

HURON COUNTY.

W. T. BOPE, *Prosecuting Attorney*

Number of persons prosecuted, 45.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	22	Twelve convicted, of whom 7 were fined $5 each and costs or 10 days in jail; 2 fined $25 and costs or 60 days in jail; 2 each $1 and costs or 10 days in jail; 1 sent to Reform School till 18 years of age; 6 discontinued; 4 acquitted.
Assault with intent to ravish	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction 3 years.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Sentenced to State Prison for 5 years.
Disturbance in store	1	Acquitted.
Drunk and disorderly	2	Fined $5 and costs or 10 days in jail.
Larceny	9	Six convicted: 1 sent to jail 60 days; 1 fined $1 and costs; 2 fined $5 and costs each; 1 fined $2 and costs; 1 sentence suspended.
Obtaining liquor under false pretenses	1	Acquitted.
Slander	4	One fined $25 and costs or 60 days in jail; 1 fined $10 and costs or 30 days in jail; 2 discontinued.
Violation of liquor law	4	Two sentenced to pay $50 and serve 10 days in jail; 1 jail 30 days; 2 acquitted.

INGHAM COUNTY.

JASON E. NICHOLS, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 623.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	2	One was discharged; one escaped from officer.
Arson	1	Discharged.
Assault with intent to murder	3	One sentenced 90 days at Ionia Reformatory; 2 discharged for lack of evidence.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	3	One pending; 2 discharged.
Assault and battery	80	Twenty-four dismissed; 4 escaped; 20 acquitted; 1 settled; 2 sentence suspended; 1 sentenced 65 days in Detroit House of Correction; 4 sentenced 90 days Detroit House of Correction; 1 fined $20 or 90 days in Ionia Reformatory; 4 fined costs, or 20 days in county jail; 3 fined costs or 10 days in county jail; 2 fined $10 or 10 days in county jail; 2 sentenced 20 days in county jail; 1 fined $12 or 30 days in county jail; 3 fined costs; 1 fined $1 and costs; 1 fined $15 or 30 days in county jail; 1 fined costs or 30 days in county jail; 1 fined $5 or 10 days in county jail; 1 fined $25

INGHAM COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
		or 60 days in county jail; 1 fined $2 or 30 days in county jail; 1 fined $1 or 10 days in county jail; 1 fined $25 or 10 days in county jail.
Assault with intent to rape	2	One sentenced 3 years at State prison; 1 discharged.
Attempt to commit larceny	1	Sentenced 30 days in county jail.
Boarding railroad train	1	Sentenced 10 days in county jail.
Bribery	1	Discharged.
Burglary	21	Six sentenced to State prison, 4 for 3 years each; 1 for 8 years, 1 for 2 years; 9 sentenced State House of Correction and Reformatory, 1 for 3 years, 5 for 2 years each, 1 for 2½ years, 1 for 2¼ years, 1 for 90 days, 6 discharged.
Careless use of firearms	1	Discharged.
Contempt	1	Fined $5 and costs, or 10 days in county jail.
Common prostitute	2	One discharged; 1 escaped.
Cruelty to animals	2	One not arrested; 1 discharged.
Disturbing religious meetings	11	One fined $25 or 30 days in county jail; 1 fined $10; 2 escaped officer: 1 fined costs or 20 days in county jail; 4 dismissed; 2 sentence suspended.
Drunk and disorderly	280	Three sentenced 6 months Detroit House of Correction; 1 fined $1 and costs or 10 days in county jail; 11 discharged; 4 fined $5 or 10 days in county jail; 6 fined costs or 20 days in county jail; 1 fined $20 or 60 days in county jail; 9 sentenced 20 days county jail; 21 fined $10 or 10 days in county jail; 10 fined $2 and costs or 10 days in county jail; 2 fined $15 or 15 days in county jail; 2 fined $15 or 20 days in county jail; 1 fined $5 or 7 days in county jail; 2 fined $5 or 10 days in county jail; 1 sentenced 75 days in Ionia Reformatory; 1 fined costs or 5 days in county jail; 1 fined $10 and 10 days in county jail; 8 sentenced 65 days Detroit House of Correction; 3 fined $5 and costs or 5 days in county jail; 3 sentenced 70 days Detroit House of Correction; 45 sentenced 10 days county jail; 39 fined costs or 10 days in county jail; 15 sentenced 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 30 sentence suspended; 20 fined $10 and costs or 10 days in county jail; 9 fined $5 and costs or 10 days in county jail; 3 sentenced 15 days county jail; 15 fined costs; 4 acquitted.
Embezzlement	6	One sentenced 10 days in county jail; 2 escaped; 1 died before trial; 2 discharged.
False pretenses	7	One pending; 5 dismissed; 1 escaped.
Falsely assuming to be an officer	1	Reasons filed for not informing.
Forgery	4	Three sentenced 2 years at Ionia Reformatory; 2 pending.
Fast driving	1	Fined $5 and costs or 20 days in county jail.
Incest	2	One acquitted; 1 dismissed.
Inquests	2	
Indecent exposure of the person	1	Discharged.
Juvenile offenders	23	Two sent to Industrial School at Adrian; 10 sentenced Reform School at Lansing; 11 returned to parents.

INGHAM COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Keeping house of illfame	1	Discharged on promise to leave the city.
Larceny	67	Five pending; 5 escaped; 2 sentenced 90 days Detroit House of Correction; 2 sentence suspended; 2 not arrested; 1 settled; 18 discharged on examination; 5 acquitted; 7 sentenced 90 days at Ionia Reformatory; 3 fined $25 and costs or 90 days at Ionia Reformatory; 1 fined $25 or 70 days Ionia Reformatory; 2 fined $10 or 30 days in county jail; 1 fined $10 or 20 days in county jail; 4 fined $15 or 10 days in county jail; 1 fined $10 or 90 days in county jail; 1 sentenced 15 days in county jail; 1 sentenced 90 days in county jail; 4 fined $15 or 20 days in county jail; 1 fined $10 or 10 days in county jail; 1 fined $25 or 30 days in county jail.
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation	1	Discharged.
Malicious injury to personal property	10	Two fined costs or 20 days in county jail; 3 acquitted; 1 escaped; 4 dismissed.
Malicious injury to buildings	7	One fined $100 or 90 days in Ionia Reformatory; 1 acquitted; 1 sentence suspended; 1 fined $6 and costs or 90 days Ionia Reformatory; 1 discharged; 1 escaped; 1 sentenced 65 days at Ionia Reformatory.
Murder	1	State prison at Jackson for life.
Non-support of family	11	Two sentenced 65 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 sentenced 30 days in county jail; 1 fined $25 or 30 days in county jail; 1 bond given; 1 sentence suspended; 4 discharged; 1 acquitted.
Nuisance	4	One fined $20 and costs or 20 days in county jail; 1 fined costs or 10 days in county jail; 1 acquitted; 1 discharged.
Receiving stolen property	1	Pending.
Refusing to pay board bill	3	Two fined costs or 10 days in county jail; 1 fined costs.
Removing mortgaged property	1	Escaped.
Robbery	1	Discharged.
Resisting officer	1	Discharged.
Search warrants	7	
Seduction	2	One fined costs; 1 discharged.
Slander	13	Six acquitted; 1 sentenced 70 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 fined costs or 20 days in county jail; 5 dismissed.
Surety of the peace	10	One acquitted; 1 fined costs or 1 year county jail; 4 dismissed; 3 gave bonds; 1 sentenced 90 days Detroit House of Correction.
Vagrancy	12	Two fined $10 or 10 days in county jail; 1 discharged; 4 sentence suspended; 2 fined $2 or 2 days in county jail; 1 sentenced 10 days in county jail; 1 juvenile offender State Industrial School at Adrian; 1 fined $4 or 10 days in county jail.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Selling liquor to intoxicated persons	3	Two discharged; 1 fined $25 and costs or 90 days in county jail.
(*b*) Selling liquor without giving bond	4	One fined $10 and costs or 10 days in county jail; 3 discharged.
(*c*) Selling liquor to minor	1	Acquitted.
Willful trespass	1	Escaped.

IONIA COUNTY.

A. A. ELLIS, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 450.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Arson	2	One convicted and sent to Asylum for Insane Criminals; 1 pending.
Assault with intent to murder	3	One convicted and sentenced 7 years to State Prison; 1 still pending and 1 acquitted.
Assault and battery	26	One convicted and sent to jail for 30 days; 1 for 20 days and 3 for 10 days; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $1 and costs; 2 fined $3 and costs; one fined 25 cents and costs; 2 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined costs; 2 required to give bonds to keep the peace; 1 convicted and sentence suspended; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 settled; 3 acquitted.
Attempt at arson	2	One discharged on examination; 1 acquitted.
Burglary	2	One discharged on examination; 1 information quashed.
Bastardy	2	1 convicted and sentenced to give bonds to support the child, and in default to be committed to county jail; 1 case *nolle pros'd* upon the parties being married.
Creating disturbance	6	One convicted and fined $5 and costs; 1 convicted and sentence suspended, and 1 sent to jail for 5 days; 3 acquitted.
Defrauding inn-keepers	3	One convicted and sent to jail for 10 days; two sent to jail for 20 days.
Derailing passenger train	1	Acquitted.
Disorderlies	321	Ninety convicted and sent to jail for 10 days; 29 sent to jail for 20 days; 13 sent to jail for 15 days; 1 sent to jail for 3 days; 10 sent to jail for 30 days; 18 sent to jail for 5 days; 2 sent to jail for 4 days; 2 sent to jail for 7 days; 3 convicted and sent to Detroit House of Correction for 90 days; 6 convicted and fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $1 and costs; 112 convicted and sentence suspended; 31 discharged upon hearing by justice; 2 *nolle pros'd.*
Drunkenness	17	Six convicted and sentence suspended; 5 convicted and fined $10 and costs; 3 convicted and fined $10 and costs; sentence not enforced by reason of invalidity of statute; 2 convicted and sent to jail for 20 days; 1 sent to jail for 10 days.
Entering dwelling house with intent to commit larceny	1	Discharged on examination.
Embezzlement	1	Pending.
Forgery	2	One still pending; 1 discharged on examination.
Indecent exposure	1	Convicted and sent to jail for 20 days.
Jumping on train while in motion	2	Convicted both and sentence suspended.
Keeping house of ill-fame	2	One convicted and sentence suspended upon payment of costs; 1 case dismissed.

IONIA COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Keeping gaming house	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Larceny from store in day time	3	One convicted and sentenced to 2 years at State Prison; 2 pleaded guilty to simple larceny of less than $5, 1 being sent to jail for 90 days and the other sent to jail for 8 months.
Larceny from dwelling	3	One convicted and sentenced 1 year and 6 months at Jackson; 1 sentenced 3 years at Ionia; 1 discharged on examination.
Larceny from school-house by pupil	1	Convicted and sent to Reform School until 16 years of age.
Larceny from person	3	One discharged on examination; 1 pending; 1 acquitted.
Larceny	22	One convicted and sentenced 2 years at State House of Correction; 1 sentenced 3 years at Jackson; 1 sentenced 6 months at State House of Correction; 1 sent to Reform School until 18 years of age; 2 convicted and sent to jail for 30 days; 1 sent to jail for 20 days; 1 sent to jail for 25 days; 1 sent to jail for 80 days; 1 fined $30 and 20 days in jail; 1 convicted and discharged upon payment of costs; 4 discharged upon examination; 1 information quashed; 2 pending; 4 acquitted.
Maintaining nuisance	1	Case dismissed.
Obtaining property by false pretense	2	One discharged on examination; 1 pending.
Personating an officer	1	Case pending.
Rape	1	Convicted and sentenced 8 years at Ionia House of Correction.
Robbery	1	Convicted and sentenced 7 years at Jackson.
Seduction	1	Case *nolle pros'd* upon marriage of parties.
Stoning passenger train	1	Discharged and remanded to parents.
Slander	1	Acquitted.
Surety to keep the peace	1	Convicted; bonds required.
Uttering forged papers	2	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction for 3 years; 1 convicted and sent to Jackson for 4 years.
Violation of liquor law	10	Five convicted and fined $25 and costs; 1 dismissed on irregular complaint; 2 discharged on examination; 2 *nolle pros'd.*
Violation of fish law	1	Case *nolle pros'd.*

IOSCO COUNTY.

W. H. SIMPSON, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 37.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	6	One convicted and fined $3 and costs or 30 days in jail; 1 fined $15 and costs; 1 fined $2 and costs; 1 convicted and sentence suspended; 2 acquitted.
Drunkenness	5	All convicted and fined $2.25 each and costs or 20 days in jail.
Disorderly persons	4	One convicted and fined $25 and costs or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 fined $15 and costs or 30 days in jail; 1 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 3 months; 1 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 90 days.
Embezzlement	1	Acquitted.
Forgery	1	Convicted, sentenced to 1 year in State Prison.
Insurance law, violation of	2	One convicted, sentence suspended; the other pending.
Larceny	2	One convicted and sentenced to 3 months in jail; 1 fined $10 and costs or 60 days in Detroit House of Correction.
Liquor selling without bonds	4	One convicted and fined $50 and costs or 90 days in jail; 1 fined $25 and costs or 90 days in jail; 2 discharged.
Liquor selling without license	2	One convicted, fined $50 and costs and 20 days in jail; 1 fined $25 and costs.
Liquor, keeping open place when sold out of hours	3	One convicted and fined $65 and costs or 90 days in jail; 1 fined $25 and costs or 90 days in jail; 1 acquitted.
Malicious injury to dwelling	6	All discharged.
Slander	1	Complaint quashed.

IRON COUNTY.

CYRUS T. CRANDALL, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 27.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	7	Convicted, 5—fined $1 and costs each; 2 fined $5 each and costs.
Attempt to bribe	1	Discharged.
Disorderly	2	Sent to jail 10 days each.
Drunkenness	9	Each fined $1 and costs.
Fishing with net	1	Fined $5 and costs.
Larceny	1	Sent to jail 10 days and fined costs of prosecution.
Malicious injury to animals	1	Bound over to Circuit Court.
Slander	1	Acquitted.
Township orders. Issuing township orders in excess of amounts authorized by law and for purposes not authorized by law	3	One acquitted; 2 *nolle pros.* entered.
Violation of liquor law	1	Acquitted.

ISABELLA COUNTY.

CHARLES T. RUSSELL, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 51.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Aiding prisoner to escape	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Assault	1	Convicted and sentence suspended.
Assault and battery	23	Nine paid costs and fines, viz.: 2 fined $3 each; 2 each $2; 2 each $5; 3 each $1; 1 sentenced to Reform School; 1 sent to jail 5 days; 4 sentence suspended; 2 discharged on payment of costs; 2 discharged for non-appearance of complainant; 2 settled; 1 acquitted; 1 escaped from officer.
Attempted to commit rape	1	Forfeited recognizance before examination.
Bigamy	1	Fined $150.
Disorderly persons	4	Two sentenced to Detroit House of Correction, 1 for 90 days, 1 for 60 days; 1 fined $20 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 fined $10 or 60 days in Detroit House of Correction.
False pretenses	3	Two pending; 1 acquitted.

ISABELLA COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Convicted and sentence suspended.
Larceny	12	One sentenced to State Prison 1½ years; 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 2 sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 2 sent to Reform School till 18 years of age; 1 sent to jail 90 days; 1 fined $10 and costs; 2 sentence suspended; 1 dismissed; 1 acquitted.
Vagrancy	1	Sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 90 days.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Selling without paying tax	1	Fined $50 and costs.
(*b*) Keeping saloon open Sunday	1	Fined $25 and costs.
(*c*) Keeping saloon open holiday	1	Fined $25 and costs.

JACKSON COUNTY.

AUSTIN BLAIR, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 380.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Arson	3	One acquitted; 1 discharged; 1 pending trial.
Assault and battery	68	Ten acquitted by jury; 7 discharged order prosecuting Attorney; 10 discharged payment of costs; 1 sentence suspended; 3 sent to jail 10 days; 1 sent to jail 15 days; 2 sent to jail 20 days; 1 sent to jail 30 days; 1 sent to jail 40 days; 7 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 fined $4 or ten days in jail; 5 fined $5 or ten days in jail; 1 fined $7 or ten days in jail; 1 fined $8 or ten days in jail; 7 fined $10 or fifteen days in jail; 2 fined $12 or fifteen days in jail; 4 fined $15 or twenty days in jail; 1 fined $16 or twenty days in jail; 1 fined $17.90 or twenty days in jail; 2 fined $20 or sixty days in jail.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	4	One fined $10 and costs; 3 discharged.
Assault with intent to murder	2	One sent to State Prison for 5 years; 1 forfeited bail.
Bastardy	3	One discharged; 1 pending; 1 gave bond.
Burglary	3	Two acquitted; 1 pending.
Cruelty to animals	1	One fined $50 or ninety days in State House of Correction.
Disorderly Persons:		
(*a*) Common prostitutes	24	Two sent to Industrial Home for Girls until 21 years of age; 8 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 2 for 65 days each; 2, each 90 days; 3, each 60 days; 1 for 6 months; 2 fined $4 each or 65 days Detroit House of Correction; 1 fined $20 or 65 days Detroit House of Correction; 2 fined $25 or 90 days each Detroit House of Correction; 1 fined $10 or 30 days jail; 5 discharged; 3 acquitted.

JACKSON COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
(*b*) Common drunkards	20	One acquitted; 4 discharged; 2 sentence suspended; 3 fined $5 or 10 days in jail; 1 fined $6.60 or 10 days in jail; 1 fined $10 or 20 days in jail; 2 fined $15 or 30 days in jail; 1 fined $15 or 20 days in jail; 1 sent to jail for 1 day; 1 sent to jail for 15 days; 1 sent to jail for 65 days; 2 sent to Detroit House of Correction for 3 months.
(*c*) Keepers of bawdy house	9	One fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $50 and costs; 1 fined $25 and costs.
(*d*) Persons who resort thereto	6	Fined $5 each and costs.
Counterfeiting	4	One sent to State Prison at Jackson for two years; 1 discharged; 2 turned over to U. S. Marshal.
Disturbing religious meeting	3	Two discharged; 1 fined $10, or 20 days in jail.
Embezzlement	4	One acquitted; 3 discharged.
Enticing away female, etc.	2	One discharged; 1 sent to State Prison for two years.
Failure to support family, etc.	4	One pending; 2 discharged; 1 fined $15 or 30 days in jail.
False pretenses	7	One sent to State Prison for 2 years; 1 acquitted; 3 discharged upon paying costs; 2 discharged.
Forgery	1	Discharged.
Gaming	7	One acquitted; 3 discharged; 1 fined $10 or 20 days jail.; 1 fined $18 or 30 days jail; 1 fined $20 or 60 days jail.
Indecent exposure of person	2	Sentenced to State House of Correction for six months each.
Larceny	101	Five acquitted; 78 discharged; 2 sent to Industrial Home for Girls until 21 years of age; 2 sent to State Prison 1 for 9 months, 1 for 2½ years; 21 sent to State House of Correction, 20 for 90 days each, 1 for 9 months; 1 sent 60 days to Detroit House of Correction; 6 sent to Reform School; 1 was fined $1; 1 fined $3; 2, each $10; 1 fined $13.50; 2, each $15; 1 fined $2; 3 sent to jail, 1 for 15 days, 1 for 30 days, 1 for 60 days; 1 fined $5 or jail 10 days; 1 fined $5, or jail 15 days; 1 fined $5 or jail 20 days; 1 fined $8 or jail 15 days; 1 fined $10 or jail 15 days; 1 fined $10 or jail 20 days; 2 fined $10 each or jail 30 days; 4 fined $15 each or jail 25 days; 4 fined $15 each or jail 30 days; 2 fined $20 each or jail 30 days; 1 fined $20, or 90 days State House of Correction; 2 forfeited bail; 3 sentence suspended; 1 pending.
Malicious trespass	14	One paid $3 fine; 2 fined $10 each; 1 paid $5 fine; 7 paid $9.55 costs; 3 sentences suspended.
Maintaining nuisance	3	Two pending trial; 1 discharged.
Perjury	1	Discharged on payment of $15 costs.
Receiving stolen property	1	Pending trial.
Resisting officer	1	Sent to State Prison for 1 year and six months.
Robbery	3	One to State Prison 4 years; 2 discharged.
Seduction	1	Discharged.

JACKSON COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Slander	2	One acquitted; 1 pending.
Vagrancy	56	Two fined $3, or 6 days jail; 1 fined $3 or 10 days jail; 1 fined $5 or 8 days jail; 7 fined $5 or 10 days jail; 1 fined $5 or 15 days jail; 5 fined $10, or 10 days jail; 3 fined $10 or 15 days jail; 5 fined $10, or 20 days jail; 1 fined $20 or 10 days jail; 9 sent to jail 10 days; 2 sent to jail 15 days; 5 sent to jail 20 days; 2 sent to jail 30 days; 15 sentences suspended; 4 discharged; 1 pending.
Violations of liquor law	26	Three acquitted; 6 discharged; 4 fined $30 or 90 days jail; 5 fined $29 each or 60 days jail; 2 fined $35 and costs; 1 fined $50 or 15 days jail; 1 fined $40, or 30 days jail; 4 cases pending trial.

KALAMAZOO COUNTY.

FRANK E. KNAPPEN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Total number of persons prosecuted, 648: Circuit Court, 31; Recorder's Court, 527; Justice Court, 90.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN CIRCUIT COURT.		
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Convicted and sent to State Prison 10 years.
Burglary	5	Four sentenced to State Prison—1 for 3 years: 1 for 7 years; 1 for 4 years; 1 for 18 months; 1 sent to State House of Correction 18 months.
Forgery	1	Convicted and sent to State Prison 1 year and six months.
Horse stealing	2	Sentenced to State Prison, 1 for 7 years: 1 for 6 years and 9 months.
Indecent exposure of the person	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction 1 year.
Larceny	4	Three sent to State Prison—1 for 2 years; 1 for 23 months; 1 for 1 year and 3 months; 1 sent to State House of Correction 90 days.
Larceny from dwelling house in day time and shop in day time	3	Two sentenced to State Prison for 1 year and 6 months each, 1 sent jail 30 days.
Larceny from the person	5	All convicted and sent to State Prison—2 for 4 years each; 1 for 3 years and 6 months; 1 for 1 year and 6 months; 1 for 1 year and 8 months.
Manslaughter	2	One sentenced to State Prison 2½ years; 1 sent to State House of Correction 10 years.
Perjury	1	Sentenced to State Prison 3½ years.
Railroad wrecker	3	Two acquitted; 1 sent to State Prison 20 years.
Rape	1	Sentenced to State Prison for life.
Receiving stolen property	1	Sentenced to State Prison 18 months.
Robbery	1	Sentenced to State Prison 3 years.

KALAMAZOO COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
RECORDER'S COURT.		
Adultery	3	All discharged on examination.
Assault and battery	59	Four sent to State House of Correction for 90 days each; 6 sent to jail—1 for 60 days; 5 for 30 days each; 4 fined costs; 18 paid costs and following fines: 9 of $5 each; 6 of $10 each; 3 of $25 each; 7 sentence suspended; 20 *nolle pros'd*; 14 acquitted.
Assault with intent to carnally know and abuse child under 10 years of age	1	Bound over to Circuit Court.
Assault with intent to rob	1	Discharged on examination.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Bound over to Circuit Court.
Bastardy	3	Two settled by parties; 1 discharged on examination.
Burglary	3	One discharged, and 2 bound over for trial.
Cruelty to animals	2	One acquitted; 1 fined costs.
Disorderly	164	Sixty sent to jail for 30 days each; 8, jail 60 days each; 10, jail 10 days each; 4 fined costs; 6 fined $15 each and costs; 40 sentence suspended; 27 discharged; 8 acquitted.
Disturbing religious meeting	2	One acquitted; 1 fined $15 and costs.
Drunk	149	Five acquitted; 13 sent to jail 20 days each; 4 jail 15 days each; 45 jail 10 days each; 20 fined costs; 16 fined $5 and costs; 9 fined $10 and costs; 35 sentence suspended; 4 *nolle pros'd*.
Embezzlement	1	Discharged on examination.
False pretenses	2	Discharged.
Horse stealing	2	Held for trial.
Indecent exposure of the person	3	Two bound over for trial; 1 discharged.
Juvenile disorderly	5	Three sentenced to Reform School until 18 years of age; 1 sent to Industrial Home for Girls until 21 years of age; 1 discharged
Keeping house of ill-fame	1	*Nolle pros'd*.
Larceny	64	Nine convicted and sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 4 sent to jail 60 days each; 5, jail 30 days each; 1 fined $10 and costs; 2 fined $15 and costs; 1 fined $25 and costs; 16 bound over for trial; 2 discharged on examination; 12 *nolle pros'd*; 4 sentence suspended; 8 acquitted.
Malicious injury to property	4	Two acquitted; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Malicious trespass	6	Two sent to jail 30 days each; 4 *nolle pros'd*.
Murder	1	Held for trial.
Perjury	2	One held for trial; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Refusing to assist officer	1	Acquitted.
Robbery	2	Bound over for trial.
Slander	3	*Nolle pros'd*.
Uttering forged order	2	Bound over for trial.
Violation of liquor law	21	Five acquitted; 10 fined $25 and costs; 1 fined $50 and costs; 5 *nolle pros'd*.

KALAMAZOO COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
JUSTICE COURT.		
Assault and battery	18	Convicted; 6 fined $8 and costs; 3 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $12 and costs; 2 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $15 and costs; 2 fined $50 and costs; 2 *nolle pros'd.*
Assault with intent to murder	1	Bound over for trial.
Bastardy	1	Bound over.
Burglary	5	Two discharged; 3 bound over for trial.
Cruelty to animals	1	Fined $2.50.
Disorderly	4	Convicted; 1 sent to Reform School until 18 years of age; 1 sent to Industrial Home until 18 years of age; 2 sent to jail 20 days each.
Drunk	5	Four fined $5 and costs; 4 fined $8 and costs.
False pretenses	4	*Nolle pros'd.*
Obstructing railroad track	3	Bound over.
Larceny	22	One acquitted; 4 sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days each; 1 sent to Reform School til 18 years of age; 1 sent jail 20 days; 1 jail 17 days; 1 jail 18 days; 2 jail 90 days; 3 jail 10 days; 1 jail 60 days; 2 jail 15 days; 2 jail 30 days; 1 fined $6.50 and costs; 1 fined $10 and costs; 2 *nolle pros'd.*
Malicious injury to building	2	One fined $8 and costs; 1 discharged.
Receiving stolen property	2	One bound over; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Slander	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Surety for the peace	7	Six furnished bonds; 1 discharged.
Violation of game law	1	Acquitted.
Violation of fish law	2	Each fined $2.50.
Violation of liquor law	5	One fined $25 and costs; 3 fined $50 and $30 costs; 1 fined $50 and $25 costs.
Willful trespass	5	Sentenced to jail—3 for 10 days each; 1 for 15 days; 1 for 20 days.

KALKASKA COUNTY.

WILLIS B. PERKINS, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 14.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	4	One convicted and fined $10 and costs or 10 days in jail; 3 acquitted.
Bastardy	1	Pending.
Drunk and intoxicated	2	Two convicted and fined $10 and costs or 20 days in jail; 1 fined $10 and costs or 10 days in jail.
Embezzlement	2	Pending.
Larceny	1	Dismissed.
Sodomy	1	Jury disagreed.
Violating liquor law	3	One jury disagreed; 2 acquitted.

KENT COUNTY.

ISAAC M. TURNER, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Total number of persons prosecuted, 626; in courts of record, 107.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	5	Two convicted, appealed; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 2 discharged.
Assault	1	Sentenced county jail 1 day.
Assault and battery	11	Two fined $25 each and costs; 1 fined $15 and costs; 1 fined $2 and costs; 2 sentence deferred; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 3 pending.
Assault with intent to commit rape	1	Pending.
Assault with intent less than murder	3	One fined $20 and costs; 1 sentence deferred; 1 discharged.
Assault with intent to murder	2	One convicted, appealed; 1 pending.
Bastardy	3	Two settled; 1 pending.
Breaking and entering store in day-time	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Burglary and larceny	12	One sent to State prison 5 years; 1 sent to State prison 3½ years; 1 sent to State prison 2 years; 1 sent to State House of Correction 3 years; 2 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 2 sentence suspended; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 2 pending.
Embezzlement	2	One sent to State House of Correction 6 months; 1 sentence suspended.

KENT COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
False pretenses	4	One sent to State prison 3 years; 1 sent to State prison 1 year; 1 sent to State House of Correction 6 months; 1 dismissed.
Forgery	1	Discharged.
Fraudulently disposing of mortgaged property	2	Pending.
Intimidation	3	Pending.
Larceny less than $25	4	Two *nolle pros'd*; 1 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 sent to county jail 60 days.
Larceny more than $25, etc	15	One sent to State prison 5 years; 1 sent to State prison 1 year; 1 sent to State House of Correction 3 years; 1 sent to State House of Correction 2 years; 2 sent to State House of Correction 1 year; 2 sent to State House of Correction 6 months; 1 sent to Reform School till 17 years old; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 2 discharged; 3 pending.
Larceny from dwelling house in day-time	4	One sent to State prison 2½ years; 2 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 discharged.
Manslaughter	4	One sent to State prison 15 years; 2 sentence deferred; 1 pending.
Mayhem	1	Sentence suspended.
Murder	4	Two sent to State prison for life; 1 declared insane; 1 discharged.
Neglect	2	Sent to county jail 5 days.
Rape	1	Discharged.
Resisting an officer	1	Dismissed.
Robbery	2	One sent to State prison for 5 years; 1 pending.
Seduction	1	Pending.
Slander	2	One quashed; 1 pending.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Saloon open Sunday	6	One fined $40 and costs; 1 fined $25 and costs; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 2 discharged.
(*b*) Saloon open after hours	6	One fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $25 and costs; 2 appealed; 1 pending; 1 dismissed.
(*c*) Selling liquor to minors	1	Convicted, appealed.
Uttering forged paper	2	One sent to State House of Correction 2 years; 1 pending.
IN COURTS NOT OF RECORD, 519.		
Adultery	8	Three held for trial; 5 discharged.
Assault	8	Two fined $5 each and costs; 4 fined $2 each and costs; 1 fined 6 cents and costs; 1 sent to county jail 30 days.
Assault and battery	192	Two fined $50 each and costs; 2 fined $35 each and costs; 3 fined $25 each and costs; 3 fined $20 each and costs; 10 fined $10 each and costs; 24 fined $5 each and costs; 13 fined $3 each and costs; 8 fined $4 each and costs; 4 fined $2 each and costs; 9 fined $1 and costs each; 6 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 2 sent to Reform School till 17 years old; 4 sent to county jail 90 days; 2 sent to county jail 60 days; 3 sent to county jail 30 days; 11 sent to county jail 20 days; 4 sent to county jail 15 days; 13 sent to county jail for 10 days; 9 sentence suspended; 12 complaint withdrawn; 14 *nolle pros'd*; 31 discharged; 4 pending.

KENT COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault with intent to rob	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Assault with intent less than murder	5	Four held for trial; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Assault with intent to commit rape	3	Two not found; 1 discharged.
Bastardy	8	Three held for trial; 2 *nolle pros'd;* 1 discharged; 1 bail estreated; 1 settled.
Burglary and larceny	15	Nine held for trial; 6 *nolle pros'd.*
Breaking and entering store in day-time	2	One held for trial; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Breaking and entering dwelling house in day-time	2	One *nolle pros'd;* 1 discharged.
Cruelty to animals	17	Two fined $5 each and costs; 4 fined $3 each and costs; 3 discharged; 2 fined $2 each and costs; 1 committed 20 days; 3 sentence suspended; 1 complaint withdrawn; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Disorderly	15	Two sent to Reform School till 16 years old; 3 sent to Detroit House of Correction 3 months; 2 fined $5 each and costs; 3 fined $3 each and costs; 1 fined $1 and costs; 3 sentence suspended; 1 complaint withdrawn.
Drunk and disorderly	20	Three fined $5 and costs each; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $2 and costs; 1 committed 30 days to county jail; 8 sent 20 days to county jail; 1 sent 15 days to county jail; 2 sent to county jail 10 days; 3 discharged.
Driving away horse	1	Sent to county jail 10 days.
Embezzlement	6	One fined $1 and costs; 2 held for trial; 1 bail estreated; 2 discharged.
False pretenses	8	Three held for trial; 1 bail estreated; 1 *nolle pros'd;* 1 discharged; 2 pending.
Forgery	2	One held for trial; 1 discharged.
Fraudulently disposing of mortgaged property	4	One convicted, appealed; 3 discharged.
Fugitive from justice	1	Remanded to sheriff.
Intimidation	3	Held for trial.
Larceny less than $25	103	Fourteen sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 4 sent to Reform School till 17 years old; 5 sent to county jail 90 days; 6 sent to county jail 60 days; 2 sent to county jail 45 days; 3 sent to county jail 30 days; 3 sent to county jail 20 days; 1 fined $50 and costs; 2 fined $20 and costs each; 2 fined $20 and costs each; 3 fined $15 and costs each; 3 fined $10 and costs each; 5 fined $5 and costs each; 3 fined $3 and costs each; 4 fined $2 each and costs; 2 fined $1 each and costs; 9 sentence suspended; 1 appealed; 2 bail estreated; 14 *nolle pros'd;* 13 discharged.
Larceny more than $25	11	Eight held for trial; 3 discharged.
Larceny from dwelling house in day-time	1	Held for trial.
Larceny from store in day-time	2	Held for trial.
Larceny from the person	3	One held for trial; 2 *nolle pros'd.*
Lewd cohabitation	1	Pending.
Manslaughter	5	Four held for trial; 1 discharged.
Malicious injuries to dwellings, etc	15	One sent 20 days to county jail; 1 sent 10 days to county jail; 1 fined $1 and costs; 2 warrant not returned; 4 *nolle pros'd;* 6 discharged.

KENT COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Murder	3	Held for trial.
Neglect	2	Held for trial,
Nuisance	1	Discharged.
Rape	3	Two held for trial; 1 discharged.
Resisting officer	1	Discharged.
Robbery	3	Held for trial.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Saloon open Sunday	27	Two fined $50 and costs; 10 fined $25 and costs each; 1 fined $30 and costs; 1 appealed; 6 *nolle pros'd*; 7 discharged.
(*b*) Saloon open legal holiday	9	Five fined $25 each and costs; 1 appealed; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 1 discharged.
(*c*) Saloon open after hours	30	Eleven fined $25 each and costs; 2 appealed; 10 *nolle pros'd*; 1 sentence suspended; 5 discharged.
(*d*) Selling liquor to minor	1	Discharged.
(*e*) Violations liquor tax law	2	One convicted, appealed; 1 discharged.
Slander	5	One fined $25 and costs; 1 sent to county jail 90 days; 1 warrant not returned; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 discharged.
Threats	6	Two gave bond to keep peace; 3 sent to county jail in default of bond; 1 releasel.
Unhitching horse	3	One sent to county jail 60 days; 2 sentence suspended.
Uttering forged paper	3	Two held for trial; 1 discharged.
Vagrancy	48	One sent to county jail 40 days; 8 sent to county jail 30 days; 12 sent to county jail 20 days; 2 sent to county jail 25 days; 2 sent to county jail 15 days; 6 sent to county jail 10 days; 1 sent to county jail 6 days; 9 sent to county jail 5 days; 4 sentence suspended; 3 discharged.
Violations truant law	5	One sent to Reform School till 16 years old; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 3 discharged.
Violation poor law	1	Pauper removed from county.
Violation fish law	1	Discharged.

KEWEENAW COUNTY.

THOMAS B. DUNSTAN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 11.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	1	Convicted and fined $10 and costs.
Bastardy	1	Pending.
Cruelty to animals	4	Fined $10 each and costs.
Violation of liquor law	5	Each fined $40 and costs and 10 days in jail.

LAKE COUNTY.

JOHN GIBERSON, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 47.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	5	All convicted and sentenced to pay the following fines, which included costs: One fined $13; 1 fined $5.46; 1 fined $7; 1 sent to county jail 60 days; 1 fined $12.50 and sent to jail 30 days.
Assault with intent to rape	4	One acquitted; 2 held for trial; 1 dismissed; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Assault with intent to rob	3	Dismissed for defect of complaint.
Breaking into store with intent to steal	3	One sentenced to State Prison 1 year; 1 sent to State House of Correction 6 months; 1 dismissed.
Burglary	3	One sentenced to State Prison 6 months; 1 released on suspended sentence; 1 acquitted.
Defrauding hotel-keeper	2	One discharged; 1 released on suspended sentence.
Disorderly persons	5	Three sent to Detroit House of Correction—2 for 60 days each; 1 for 90 days; 1 sent to county jail in default of bond to keep the peace; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Disturbing public meeting	2	One dismissed; 1 fined $4.96.
Drunk and disorderly	3	Each sentenced 20 days to jail.
Imputing want of chastity to a female	1	Sentenced to pay a fine of $25.
Keeping house of ill-fame	3	One acquitted; 1 escaped from officer; 1 dismissed.
Keeping open saloon on legal holiday and selling liquor without bond	2	Acquitted.

LAKE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Larceny	5	One sent to State Prison 1½ years; 1 acquitted; 2 dismissed; 1 sent to jail 20 days.
Malicious injury to buildings	3	One dismissed; 1 bound over.
Obstructing officer	2	Dismissed.
Renting house for purposes of prostitution	1	Dismissed upon agreement to tear down the building, which was done.
Seduction	1	Sentenced to State Prison 5 years.

LAPEER COUNTY.

WILLIAM B. WILLIAMS, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 170.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Abandonment of child	1	Pending.
Arson	2	One acquitted; 1 pending.
Assault and battery	41	Eleven convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days; 3 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $25; 4 fined $15; 2 fined $7; 6 fined $10; 2 fined $17; 1 fined $36; 4 fined $20; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 1 jury disagreed; 4 sentence suspended.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	*Nolle pros.* entered.
Bastardy	2	Pending.
Burglary	2	One sentenced to State House of Correction 2 years, and one 1 year and 6 months.
Careless use of fire-arms	1	Fined $17.
Disturbing religious meeting	2	Fined $5 each or 20 days in jail.
Drunk and disorderly	44	Nineteen fined $10 or 10 days in jail; 9 sent to jail 20 days; 3 sent to jail 15 days; 5 sent to jail 30 days; 8 fined $5 or 10 days in jail.
Illegal voting	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction 10 months.
Juveniles, disorderly	7	Four sent to Reform School till 17 years old; 1 sent to Industrial School at Adrian till 21 years old 2 sentence suspended.
Larceny	48	Twelve sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 15 fined $20 or 20 days in jail; 10 fined $10 or 10 days in jail; 1 fined $25; 4 sent to Reform School till 17 years old; 3 sentence suspended; 1 discharged on examination; 2 acquitted; 3 *nolle pros'd.*
Larceny from dwelling	2	Two sent to State House of Correction, 1 for 1 year and 6 months, and 1 for 1 year.
Rape	1	Pending.
Selling liquor without bonds	4	One fined $38; 2 fined $40; 1 fined $35.
Selling liquor to minors	2	One fined $50; 1 fined $35.
Vagrancy	9	Five sent to jail 30 days; 4 sent to jail 20 days.

LEELANAW COUNTY.

GEO. A. CUTLER, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 8.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	3	One convicted and fined $7 and costs, or 30 days in jail; 1 convicted and fined $15 and costs or 20 days in jail; 1 acquitted.
Breaking prison	1	Bound over to Circuit Court to await trial and committed to jail in default of bail $300.
Breaking and entering saloon in night time with intent to commit larceny	2	One pleaded guilty and sentence suspended on restoration of goods taken; 1 bound over to Circuit Court and forfeited his bond which was estreated.
Larceny	1	Convicted and sentenced to 30 days in county jail.
Willful and malicious injury to dwelling house.	1	Convicted and fined $30.

LENAWEE COUNTY.

LESTER H. SALSBURY, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 236.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	4	Two discharged on examination; 2 pending.
Arson	1	Convicted; not yet sentenced.
Assault and battery	54	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction 3 months; 1 fined $50 and costs or 90 days in the Detroit House of Correction; 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction ninety days; 1 Detroit House of Correction 60 days; 1 sent to jail 60 days; 1 sent to jail 20 days; 2, jail 15 days each; 4 sent to jail 10 days each; 1 fined $50 and costs; 1 fined $25 and costs; 6 fined $10 each and costs; 1 fined $8 and costs; 1 fined $15 and costs; 6 fined $5 and costs each; 6 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $6 and costs; 2 fined $3 and costs; 2 fined $2 and costs each; 6 convicted and fined costs; 1 settled and paid costs; 1 convicted and returned to parents by State agents; 2 discharged and complainant paid costs; 1 discharged; 4 acquitted.
Assault with intent to do serious bodily harm less than the crime of murder	1	Convicted of assault and battery and fined $75.
Assault with intent to murder	2	One paid costs and discharged on examination; 1 shot himself.

LENAWEE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault with intent to commit the crime of rape	1	Convicted and sent to State Prison 4 years.
Bastardy	7	One convicted; then married girl and sentence suspended; 3 settled with Superintendents of the poor and paid costs; 1 sent to jail in default of bond; 1 still born child; 1 pending.
Burglary	1	Convicted and sent to State Prison 3 years.
Conspiracy	2	Convicted and sent to jail 3 months each.
Cruelty to animals	2	One paid costs and was discharged; 1 convicted and fined $25 and costs or 30 days in jail.
Defrauding hotel keeper	4	Three convicted and sent to Detroit House of Correction 60 days each; 1 discharged.
Disorderly persons	59	Four convicted and sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 6 sent to Detroit House of Correction 65 days; 1 sent to jail 90 days; 3 sent to jail 65 days; 2 sent to jail 60 days; 3 sent to jail 30 days; 9 sent to jail 20 days; 10 sent to jail 10 days; 4 fined $10 each and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs; 4 convicted and sentence suspended; 12 discharged.
Disturbing religious meeting	4	Two convicted and fined $10 and costs each; 1 acquitted; 1 discharged.
Embezzlement	1	Pending.
False pretenses	8	Four convicted; 1 sent to State Prison 2 years; 1 sent to jail 3 months; 1 sent to State House of Correction 3 months; 1 paid costs; 2 discharged on examination; 2 pending.
Fraudulently obtaining signature to promissory note	2	Both pending.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Discharged on examination.
Keeping house of ill-fame	1	Convicted and sent to Detroit House of Correction 9 months.
Larceny from dwelling house in the day-time	2	One convicted and sent to State Prison 1 year; 1 convicted and sentence suspended.
Larceny from store in the day-time	2	Convicted and sentence suspended.
Larceny from office in the day-time	1	Convicted and sent to State House of Correction 1 year.
Larceny	33	Twenty-five convicted, 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction 60 days; 2 sent to jail 60 days; 1 sent to jail 40 days; 1 sent to jail 20 days; 2 sent to jail 15 days; 1 fined $25 and costs and 15 days in jail; 1 fined $25 and costs; 1 sent to Reform School until 17 years of age; 1 fined $20 and costs; 1 fined $10 and costs and paid for stolen property; 4 fined $10 and costs each; 6 fined $5 and costs each; 1 paid money stolen to owner and sentence suspended; 1 fined $16.50 costs; 1 juvenile returned to parents by State agent; 1 discharged on examination; 5 discharged; 1 acquitted and complaining witness paid costs; 1 acquitted.
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation	2	Both convicted, 1 sent to the Detroit House of Correction 9 months; 1 sent to jail 3 months.
Malicious injury to dwelling	2	Trial, jury disagreed, *nolle pros.* entered.
Mingling poison with drink with intent to kill	1	Acquitted.
Malicious injury to crops	2	One convicted, paid costs and discharged; 1 discharged.
Neglecting to bury dead animal	2	One convicted and fined $5 and costs; 1 fined costs.

LENAWEE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Obtaining board by false pretenses	2	Convicted and fined $5 and costs each.
Obscene language on railroad trains	1	Convicted, sentence suspended.
Resisting officer	1	Convicted and sent to State Prison one year.
Surety for the peace	1	Convicted and in default of sureties sent to jail 1 year unless surety for the peace be sooner given.
Selling illuminating oil before inspection	1	Convicted and fined $10 and costs.
Violating liquor law	27	Twenty-one convicted, 4 fined $25 and costs each and 10 days in jail, and 90 days in jail unless fine and costs sooner paid; 1 fined $20 and costs; 4 fined $25 and costs; 1 fined $20 and costs; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 sent to jail 25 days; 4 sent to jail 20 days; 1 fined $6 and costs; 2 fined $5 and costs each; 1 fined costs; 1 sentence suspended; 4 discharged; 2 acquitted.
Wantonly unhitching and driving away horse	1	Convicted and fined $50 and costs.

LIVINGSTON COUNTY.

FRED. H. WARREN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 100.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	2	One acquitted; 1 bound over to Circuit Court and discharged on request of wife.
Affray	3	One fined $5 and costs or 15 days in jail—served sentence; 2 discharged on payment of costs.
Assault and battery	31	Three sent to State House of Correction at Ionia, 90 days each—one served sentence, 1 sentence not expired, one appealed; 1 fined $5, paid; 1 fined $15 and costs, paid; 2 fined $10 and costs, paid; 2 fined $5 and costs, paid; 2 fined $2 and costs, paid; 2 fined $3 and costs, paid; 11 settled by parties, costs paid by defendant; 3 settled and costs paid by complaining witness; 2 sentence suspended; 1 discharged; 1 acquitted.
Bastardy	2	Bound over to Circuit Court, pending.
Bigamy	1	Discharged on examination.
Cruelty to animals	1	Fined $5 and costs, paid.
Drunk	4	Two fined $10 and costs or 20 days in jail—served sentence; 1 fined $10 and costs or 15 days in jail—served sentence; 1 sent to jail 20 days—served sentence.
Disorderly persons	4	One sent to jail 40 days, served sentence; 1 sent to jail 15 days—served sentence; 2 sentence suspended.
Forgery	1	Pending in Circuit Court.
False pretense	1	Discharged on examination, costs paid.

LIVINGSTON COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Bound over to Circuit Court, pleaded guilty, fined $100 or 90 days in State House of Correction at Ionia—fine paid.
Larceny, more than $25	5	One escaped while awaiting trial; 1 sentenced 2 years to State Prison; 2 discharged on examination; 1 sent to Industrial Home at Adrian on another charge, discontinued.
Larceny, less than $25	9	One sent to State House of Correction at Ionia 60 days; 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 1 sent to county jail 60 days; 1 sent to county jail 20 days; 1 fined $10 and costs or 25 days in jail—served sentence; 1 sentence suspended on payment of costs; 1 discharged; 1 returned to parents on recommendation of county agent; 1 acquitted.
Murder	1	*Nolle pros'd* after a former disagreement of jury.
Rape	1	Discontinued, costs charged to complaining witness.
Resisting an officer	3	Two sentenced to State House of Correction at Ionia, 2 years each; 1 pending in Circuit Court.
Slander	2	1 fined $10 and costs, paid; 1 escaped after arrest.
Unhitching horses on street	1	Sent to Industrial Home at Adrian until 21 years of age.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Selling without license	3	One fined $50 and costs, paid; 1 fined $25 and costs, paid; 1 discharged.
(*b*) Keeping saloon open on election day	2	Fined $25 and costs each, paid.
(*c*) Selling to a minor	4	Two discontinued; 1 jury disagreed, discontinued; 1 acquitted.
(*d*) Druggist selling as a beverage	2	One discontinued; 1 fined $50 and costs, appealed; still pending.
Willfully obstructing operation and business of R. R. Co.	15	Four discharged; 9 bound over to Circuit Court, and reasons filed for not filing information; 2 pending.
Willful injury to telegraph line	1	Bound over to Circuit Court and reasons filed for not filing information.

MACKINAC COUNTY.

HENRY HOFFMAN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 77.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Aiming fire-arms at another without malice	1	Sent to State House of Correction 90 days.
Assault and battery	21	Seventeen convicted; 1 paid $20 and costs; 1 paid $10 and costs; 7 paid each $5 and costs; 1 paid $1 and costs; 3 sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 3 sent to jail 10 days each; 1 sent to jail 30 days; 1 complaint withdrawn, complainant paying costs; 1 recognizance forfeited; 2 acquitted.
Bastardy	1	Pending.
Drunk and disorderly	27	Twenty-five convicted; 5 paid $5 and costs each; 9 paid $3 and costs each; 4 paid $1 and costs each; 2 sent to jail 10 days each; 5 sentence suspended; 1 case *nolle pros'd*; 1 not guilty.
Larceny	13	Seven convicted: 3 sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 1 sent to jail 30 days; 1 dismissed on examination; 3 sentence suspended; 1 paid $5 and costs; 2 dismissed, complainant not appearing; 1 *nolle pros'd*, complainant paying costs; 1 pending.
Loosing boat from her moorings without consent of owner	1	Paid $10 and costs.
Obtaining money under false pretenses	1	Discharged on examination.
Robbery	4	Two convicted: 1 sent to State House of Correction 3½ years; 1 sent to State House of Correction 4 years; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending.
Removing logs without consent of owner	3	Pending.
Slander	2	One paid $20 and costs; 1 pending.
Uttering counterfeit coin	1	Turned over to U. S. authorities.
Violating liquor law	2	Both convicted and each paid $25 and costs.

MACOMB COUNTY.

FRANKLIN P. MONFORT, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 77.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault	2	Discharged.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Acquitted.
Assault and battery	16	One convicted in justice's court, appealed and acquitted; 6 discharged; 2 sentenced to State House of Correction 60 days, and one 90 days; 2 committed to county jail 30 days and one 5 days; 1 fined $2 and $18.04 costs; 1 fined $5 and $2.95 costs; 1 fined $5.
Bastardy	3	One convicted and ordered to give bonds in sum of $200 for payment of $1.00 per week, at end of each 4th week, in default is committed to county jail; 1 discharged upon marriage of parties; 1 pending.
Bigamy	1	Pending.
Burglary	1	Pending.
Defrauding hotel-keeper	1	Discharged.
Disorderly persons	8	Four in county jail 20 days, and one 30 days; 2 discharged; 1 acquitted.
Disturbing religious meeting	1	Imprisoned in county jail 20 days.
Forgery	1	Pending.
Inquests	10	One head crushed by rolling log; 2 struck by railroad engine; 1 accidentally shot himself; 2 hanged themselves; 1 struck by lightning; 1 died of diphtheria, 1 of heart disease, 1 by overdose of laudanum.
Larceny	9	Three discharged; 1 sentenced to county jail 10 days, one 15 days and one 30 days; 2 bending in Circuit Court; 1 sent to State House of Correction 1 year.
Larceny from person	2	Acquitted.
Malicious injury to personal property	2	One fined $2; 1 discontinued.
Perjury	1	Reasons filed for not filing an information.
Resisting an officer	2	Pending.
Search warrant	1	No stolen property found.
Seduction	1	Discharged on examination.
Uttering forged note	1	Pending.
Vagrancy	1	Fined $5.
Violation of liquor law:		
(a) Selling liquor to person in the habit of getting intoxicated	1	Fined $25 and $51.97 costs—paid.
(b) Selling liquor to minor	1	Discontinued.
(c) Selling liquor without having paid tax	10	Discontinued on payment of tax.

MANISTEE COUNTY.

ANDREW J. DOVEL, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Total number of persons prosecuted, 299; in Circuit Court, 12; in Justice Court, 287.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN CIRCUIT COURT.		
Assault and battery	3	Two fined $15 and costs; 1 pending.
Burglary	1	Acquitted.
Injury to animals	1	Dismissed.
Keeping house of ill-fame	1	Fined $100 and costs.
Larceny	1	Sent to House of Correction at Ionia 1¼ years.
Murder	1	Sent to State Prison for 12 years.
Rape	1	Sent to House of Correction at Ionia 6 months.
Robbery	1	Sent to State Prison 2½ years.
Slander	1	Discharged on payment of $10 and costs.
Violating liquor law	1	Pending.
IN JUSTICE COURT.		
Assault and battery	101	Three sent to Reform School; 4 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 2 sent to county jail for 20 days, and 1 for 25 days; 3 fined $75 and costs; 1 fined $50 and costs; 10 fined $10 and costs; 9 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $4 and costs; 2 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $2 and costs; 17 fined $1 and costs; 30 discharged on payment of costs; 5 discharged on suspended sentence; 5 dismissed, and 7 acquitted.
Burglary	1	Dismissed.
Common prostitutes	4	Two sent to Industrial Home at Adrian; 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction for 6 months, and 1 discharged on payment of costs.
Cruelty to animals	3	Two fined $1 and costs, and 1 discharged on payment of costs.
Defrauding hotel keeper	2	Discharged on payment of claim and costs.
Drunk and disorderly	60	One sent to Detroit House of Correction 6 months; 1 sent to Industrial Home at Adrian; 2 sent to county jail 25 days; 5 sent to county jail 20 days; 4 sent to county jail 15 days; 2 sent to county jail 12 days; 6 sent to county jail 10 days; 1 sent to county jail 7 days; 3 fined 5 and costs; 2 fined $3 and costs; 2 fined 2 and costs; 16 fined $1 and costs; 6 discharged on payment of costs; 8 discharged on suspended sentence, and 1 acquitted.
Embezzlement	1	Discharged on payment of costs.
False pretenses	1	Discharged on payment of costs.
Failure to support family	1	Discharged on suspended sentence.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Dismissed.
Juvenile offenders	3	Dismissed on recommendation of State agent.

MANISTEE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Larceny	26	Five sent to Ionia House of Correction 3 months; 2 sent to the Reform School; 1 sent to county jail 20 days; 1 sent to county jail 10 days; 1 fined $20 and costs; 2 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $2 and costs; 2 discharged on payment of costs; 4 discharged on suspended sentence; 5 dismissed; 1 acquitted, and 1 jury disagreed, pending.
Libel	1	Sent to county jail 20 days.
Malicious injury to property	6	One sent to county jail 10 days; 2 discharged on payment of costs; 1 discharged on suspended sentence; 1 dismissed, and 1 acquitted.
Making disturbance in public house	2	One discharged on suspended sentence, and 1 dismissed.
Maintaining public nuisance	1	Dismissed.
Slander	3	One fined $10 and costs, and 2 discharged on payment of costs.
Taking horses hitched in street	2	One sent to Ionia House of Correction for 3 months, and 1 discharged on suspended sentence.
Vagrancy	64	One sent to Ionia House of Correction for 4 months; 1 sent to Reform School; 3 sent to county jail for 20 days; 3 sent to county jail for 15 days; 3 sent to county jail for 10 days; 1 sent to county jail for 5 days; 1 discharged on payment of costs, and 51 discharged on suspended sentence.
Violating liquor law	4	One fined $100 and costs; 1 fined $30 and costs, and 2 dismissed.

MARQUETTE COUNTY.

GEORGE W. HAYDEN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 232.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	2	Discharged.
Arson	1	Held for trial.
Assault	1	Sent to county jail for 30 days.
Assault and battery	31	Eight fined $1 and costs; 1 90 days in county jail; 1 convicted and appealed to Circuit Court; 1 not guilty; 3 fined $5 and costs; 4 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $2.35 and costs; 3 ten days in county jail; 1, 5 days in county jail; 1 fined $1 and 5 days in county jail; 3 discharged; 1 fined $25 and costs; 1 fined $32 and costs.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Discharged.
Assault with intent to kill	1	Held for trial.
Attempted burglary	2	Bound over to appear at Circuit Court.
Attempt to rescue prisoner	1	Fined $10 and costs.

MARQUETTE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Common drunkard	3	One 30 days in county jail; 1 ten days in county jail; 1 convicted, sentence suspended.
Concealing stolen goods	1	Not guilty.
Disorderly persons	25	Three, 60 days in county jail; 1 discharged; 1 3 months in House of Correction; 1 fined $30 and costs; 2 not guilty; 2 fined $5 and costs; five, 30 days in county jail; six, 10 days in county jail; 2, House of Correction 1 year; 1 fined $1 and costs, and 5 days in county jail; one, 90 days in county jail.
Disturbing peace	3	Two not guilty; 1 convicted and given 1 hour to leave town.
Drunk and disorderly	76	Ten fined $5 and costs; 1, 6 days in county jail; 14, 10 days in county jail; 8, 5 days in county jail; 6 fined $3 and costs; 4 convicted, sentence suspended; 3, 15 days in county jail; 4, 60 days in county jail; 1 fined $7 and costs; 4 fined $1 and costs; 4 fined $2 and costs; 1 discharged; 1, 45 days in county jail; 1 convicted and given 1 hour to leave town; 6, 30 days in county jail; 1 ordered to leave town; 4, 20 days in county jail; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1, House of Correction for 1 year; 1 fined $15 and costs.
False pretenses	4	Discharged.
Keeping house of ill fame	4	Held for trial.
Killing deer in violation of statute	2	Fined $7 and costs.
Larceny	14	Eight held for trial; 1 not guilty; 1 convicted and sentence suspended; 3 sent to Reform School; 1 discharged.
Libel and assault	1	Fined $1 and costs.
Libel and using threats	1	Fined $1 and costs.
Misdemeanor	4	Three fined $25 and costs; 1 not guilty.
Obtaining money under false pretenses	1	Convicted and sent to Reform School.
Perjury	1	Recognizance in $1,000.
Refusing to support family	1	Discharged.
Resisting officer	1	Held for trial.
Slander	2	One convicted and appealed to Circuit Court; 1 fined $3 and costs.
Surety of the peace	1	Discharged.
Threatening to shoot	1	Convicted, sentence suspended.
Threatening to kill	1	County jail for 1 year.
Vagrant	7	One, 45 days in county jail; 1, convicted, sentence suspended; 1 convicted and ordered to leave town; 2, 30 days in county jail; 2, 60 days in county jail.
Violating liquor law	3	Two not guilty; 1 discontinued.
(*a*) Selling liquor to minor	1	Fined $20 and costs.
(*b*) Selling liquor without license	7	Two fined $50 and costs; 1 discontinued; 2, 10 days in county jail; 2 discharged.
Violating laws of public health	1	Fined $1 and costs.
Violating Sunday laws	1	Discontinued.

MARQUETTE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
CIRCUIT COURT CASES.		
Arson	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Assault and battery	2	One, jury disagreed ; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Assault with intent to kill	1	Convicted of assault, 90 days in county jail.
Assault with intent to commit murder	1	Ten years at State prison.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Convicted, sentence suspended.
Bastardy	1	*Nolle pros'd* on marriage of parties.
Keeping house of ill fame	2	One year House of Correction, each.
Larceny	10	Two *nolle pros'd* ; 4, 90 days at State House of Correction ; 1 Reform School until 17 years of age ; 2, 2 years State House of Correction ; 1 not guilty.
Maliciously killing horse	1	One year at State House of Correction.
Perjury	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Resisting officer	1	Convicted, sentence suspended.
Slander	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Violating liquor law	2	Fined $25 and costs each.

MASON COUNTY.

M. B. DANAHER, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 75.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	2	One acquitted ; 1 dismissed.
Assault and battery	17	Three sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days each ; 6 fined $5 each and costs ; 2 fined costs ; 4 dismissed ; 2 acquitted.
Assault with intent to kill	1	Acquitted.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	2	One, jury disagreed ; 1, discharged.
Bastardy	2	Gave bonds for support of child.
Disorderly	16	One sentenced to jail 15 days ; 10 fined costs ; 5 fined $5 and costs.
Disturbing religious meeting	2	Fined the costs of prosecution.
False pretenses	4	One fined $30 or 30 days in jail ; 1 fined $10 or 10 days in jail ; 2 dismissed.
Larceny	19	Four sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days each ; 1 sent to Industrial Home for Girls ; 5 minors returned to parents ; 1 fined $44 or 14 days in jail ; 1 fined $30 or 30 days in jail ; 1 fined $7 and costs ; 4 dismissed ; 2 acquitted.
Slanderous words	5	Three acquitted ; 1 fined $14 or 14 days jail ; 1 fined $1 and costs.
Violation of liquor laws	5	One fined $75 ; 1 fined $25 and costs ; 2 dismissed ; 1 acquitted.

MECOSTA COUNTY.

LEWIS G. PALMER, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 157.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	3	Two pending; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Assault and battery	36	Seven *nolle pros'd*; 2 fined $5 and costs; 3 fined $1 and costs; 6 sentence suspended; 4 discharged on payment of costs; 1 fined $7 and costs; 1 fined $3 and costs; 2 sent to jail 15 days; 2 fined $2 and costs; 3 fined $10 and costs; 1 sent to jail 90 days; 1 *acquitted*; 1 forfeited recognizance; 1 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 sent to State Public School at Coldwater.
Assault with intent to murder	3	Two *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending.
Assault with intent to commit rape	2	Convicted of assault and fined, 1 $15.10 and 1 $33.45.
Bastardy	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Bestiality	1	Pending.
Burglary	6	Five convicted and sent to State House of Correction; 1 for 2 years; 1 for 1 year and nine months; 1 for 1 year and 6 months; 1 for 1 year and 3 months; 1 sent to Jackson for 5 years and 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Concealing chattel mortgaged property	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Defrauding inn-keeper	1	Settled on payment of costs.
Disorderly	43	One furnished home by county agent; 6 sent to jail 30 days each; 3 sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction 75 days; 5 *nolle pros'd*; 1 sent to Reform School; 4 forfeited recognizance; 6 sentence suspended; 6 discharged on payment of costs; 2 sent to Industrial Home for Girls at Adrian; 4 sent to jail 15 days; 1 sent to jail 20 days; 1 fined $25; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs.
Drunk	10	Seven sent to jail 20 days each; 1 sent to jail 15 days; 1 sent to Reform School until 18 years of age; 1 fined $10 and costs.
Exposing child with intent to abandon	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Exposing person	1	Convicted and sent to State House of Correction 6 months.
False pretenses	2	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction 6 months and 1 pending.
Larceny	30	Six *nolle pros'd*; 2 furnished homes by county agent; 3 sent to Reform School; 3 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 3 discharged; 1 sent to State House of Correction 3 months; 1 forfeited recognizance; 3 fined $15 and costs; 1 sent to jail 30 days; 1 sent to jail 45 days; 1 sent to jail 25 days; 1 sent to jail 15 days; 1 pending; 3 sentence suspended.
Malicious injury	2	One *nolle pros'd*; 1 sentence suspended.

MECOSTA COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Murder	1	Convicted of manslaughter and sent to State House of Correction 1 year.
Resisting officer	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Seduction	1	Settled by marriage.
Slander	4	Two *nolle pros'd*; 1 fined $8 and costs; 1 sentence suspended.
Violation of pharmacist law	1	Acquitted.
Violation of liquor law	3	Convicted and fined $25 and costs.
Violation of railroad law	2	One discharged on paying costs; 1 sent to jail 20 days.

MENOMINEE COUNTY.

WILLIAM H. PHILLIPS, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 192.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Arson	3	Two discharged on examination; 1 acquitted.
Assault	2	Convicted and fined $5 each and costs.
Assault and battery	38	One convicted and sent to jail 20 days; 1 convicted and sent to jail 30 days; two convicted and sent to jail 10 days each; twelve fined $1 and costs or 10 days in jail; 4 fined $10 and costs or 20 days in jail; 3 fined $2 and costs or 5 days in jail; 1 fined $14 and costs; 3 fined $5 and costs or 10 days in jail; 7 acquitted, and 4 *nolle pros'd.*
Assault with intent to murder	2	One convicted of assault and battery and fined $100; 1 acquitted.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	2	One convicted and sent to State prison for 5 years; 1 acquitted.
Bastardy	7	Three convicted and gave bond to support the child; 3 settled and 1 acquitted.
Burglary	1	Convicted and sent to State House of Correction for 3 years.
Cutting marks off saw logs	1	Convicted and sentence suspended.
Cruelty to animals	7	Four convicted and fined $5 and costs or 10 days in jail; 2 fined $10 and costs or 15 days in jail, and 1 acquitted.
Disorderly:		
(*a*) Drunk	46	Eight convicted and fined $10 each and costs or 10 days in jail; 15 fined $5 each and costs or 10 days in jail; 11 fined $1 and costs or 5 days in jail; 8 dismissed and ordered to leave town in 24 hours; 3 sent to jail 10 days, and 1 sent to jail 20 days.
(*b*) Common prostitutes	13	Four convicted and fined $25 each and costs or 60 days in jail; 6 fined $20 and costs or 30 days in jail; 1 fined $10 and costs; 2 sent to Detroit House of Correction for 6 months.
Embezzlement	1	Pending in Circuit Court.

MENOMINEE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Keeping house of ill-fame	13	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction for 1 year; 5 sent to Detroit House of Correction for 1 year; 1 fined $300; 2 sent to State House of Correction for 6 months each; 1 acquitted; 1 forfeited bail; and 2 discharged on examination.
Larceny	22	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction for 1 year; 1 sent to State House of Correction for 6 months; 1 fined $50 and costs or 60 days in jail; 1 sent to jail for 30 days; 4 fined $10 and costs or 15 days in jail; 5 discharged on examination; 5 acquitted; 1 sentence suspended; 1 escaped from officer; 1 pending in the Circuit Court; 1 sent to Lansing by order of county superintendent, being a boy under 16 years.
Manslaughter	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Mayhem	1	Convicted of assault and battery and fined $100 or 3 months in jail.
Murder	3	Convicted and sent to State prison for life.
Robbery	2	Discharged on the examination.
Slander	4	Two convicted and fined $10 each and costs or 10 days in jail; 1 acquitted and one dismissed.
Sureties to keep peace	1	Acquitted.
Violating liquor law	19	Fourteen convicted and fined $25 each and costs; 1 convicted (of selling to minors) and fined $25 and costs; 1 fined $50 and costs; 3 dismissed on payment of costs.
Vagrant	2	One convicted and sent to jail 15 days, and 1 sent to jail 10 days.

MIDLAND COUNTY.

WILLIAM D. GORDON, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 44.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Abandoning child	1	Convicted and sent to the Industrial Home at Adrian.
Adultery	1	Convicted and sent to State Prison at Jackson for 15 months.
Assault and battery	11	One convicted, fined 6 cents and costs, paid; 2 complaint withdrawn and costs paid; 3 convicted, fined $5 each and costs, paid; 1 convicted, fined $10 and costs, paid; 1 convicted, fined $30 and costs, paid; 3 convicted, sent to jail 10 days each.
Assault with intent to ravish	1	*Nolle pross'd* in Circuit.
Breach of peace on railroad train	2	Convicted, fined 25 cents and costs each, paid.
Cutting marks off logs, etc.	2	Held for trial at Circuit Court.
Drunkenness	1	Convicted, fined $5, paid.
Forgery	1	Held for trial at Circuit Court.

MIDLAND COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Keeping saloon open on Sunday	1	Convicted, fined $25 and costs and ten days in jail and *certiorari* to Circuit Court, pending.
Larceny	16	Two held for trial in Circuit Court; 2 complaint withdrawn; 1 (boy 14 years old), convicted, sent to Reform School at Lansing until 17 years old; 1 convicted, fined $2 and costs, appealed; 1 convicted, fined $2 and costs, paid; 4 convicted, sent to jail 30 days each; 2 discharged and 1 acquitted; 1 held for trial and *nolle prossd* in Circuit; 1 convicted and sent to State House of Correction 8 months.
Slander	1	Convicted, fined 6 cents and costs, paid.
Surety to keep the peace	1	Convicted, required to give recognizance to keep peace for 90 days and in default was committed to jail and remained there for 90 days.
Vagrancy	5	Four sentence suspended; 1 convicted and sent to jail for 60 days.

MISSAUKEE COUNTY.

JAMES L. MCCLEAR, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 15.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Accessory after the fact	1	Pending.
Assault and battery	4	One fined $25 and costs, or 90 days in State House of Correction; 1 sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days; 2 acquitted.
Larceny	3	One pending in Circuit Court; 2 escaped from jail.
Malicious injury to property	4	Two convicted, fined $5 and costs, or 10 days in jail; 1 fined $10 and costs, or 20 days in jail; 1 sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days.
Murder	2	Sentenced to State Prison for life.
Setting fire to building	1	Pending in Circuit Court,

16

MONROE COUNTY.

CHARLES A. GOLDEN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 68.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	4	Two convicted, 1 sent Detroit House of Correction for 3 years; 1 sent to State Prison for 3 years; 2 pending.
Assault	3	Two convicted and fined $5 each; 1 pending.
Assault and battery	28	Twenty convicted, paid costs and were fined—4 each $5, 4 each $1, 3 each $3, 1 fined $2.75, 2 each $10, 3 each 25 cents, 1 fined 50 cents, 1 fined $2.50, 1 fined $25; 5 settled, 3 acquitted.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Convicted of assault and battery, sentence suspended.
Assault with intent to commit rape	1	Discontinued.
Bigamy	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction 2 years.
Breaking and entering freight car	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction 6 months.
Burglary	1	Sentenced to Reform School.
Cruelty to animals	1	Acquitted.
Disorderly	3	Two settled; 1 sent jail 30 days.
Drunkenness	1	Fined $5 and costs.
Forgery	1	Sent to State House of Correction 1 year.
Incest	1	Pending.
Larceny	8	Seven convicted, 1 sent to jail 60 days; 1 sent State House of Correction 5 years; 1 fined $25 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs; 3 sentence suspended; 1 acquitted.
Malicious injury to personal property	1	Sentence suspended,
Manslaughter	1	Pending.
Rape	1	Pending.
Seduction	1	Pending.
Slander	1	Acquitted.
Selling uninspected kerosene	1	Fined $10 and costs.
Violation of liquor law	6	One fined $10 and costs; 3 sentence suspended on payment of costs and discontinuing business; 1 discontinued on payment of $30 costs by defendant; 1 acquitted.
Willful trespass	1	Acquitted.

MONTCALM COUNTY.

CHARLES L. RARDEN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 99.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Abduction	1	Discharged on payment of costs.
Adultery	3	All withdrawn by complainants.
Arson (statutory)	1	Pending.
Assault	2	One convicted, paid fine, $2.50, and costs of suit, $7; 1 dismissed.
Assault and battery	19	One convicted, paid fine of $15; 5 convicted and in default of payment of fine and costs sentenced to county jail 30 days; 1 fined $10; 1 paid fine and costs, $8.40; 1 paid $8.75; 2 paid $9.50; 7 acquitted; 1 dismissed because of insanity.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Convicted and sentenced to State Prison for 2½ years.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Pending.
Assault with intent to maim	1	Discharged by justice.
Assault with intent to rape	2	Dismissed.
Bigamy	1	Discharged.
Breaking jail	1	Pending.
Burglary (statutory)	6	One *nolle pros'd*; 2 acquitted; 1 convicted and sentenced to State Prison 4 years; 2 dismissed.
Defrauding inn-keeper	1	Convicted and sentenced to county jail 20 days.
Disorderly persons	8	Four convicted and sentenced to county jail 30 days; 1 jury disagreed and discharged; 2 dismissed; 1 acquitted by jury.
Drunk	6	Five convicted and sent to jail, 3 each 30 days, 1 jail 15 days, 1 jail 10 days; 1 dismissed.
Embezzlement	1	Jury disagreed twice and deft. discharged.
False pretenses	3	Two discharged on payment of costs; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Forgery	4	Three pending; 1 convicted and sentenced to State Prison 1 year.
Keeping gaming house	2	Pending.
Killing cow	1	Discharged by request of complaining witness on payment of costs.
Larceny (grand, so called)	4	Two dismissed; 1 jury disagreed, pending; 1 convicted and sentenced to State Prison 2 years.

MONTCALM COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Larceny (petit, so called)	18	One convicted and sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 1 convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days; 2 convicted, sentenced to county jail 60 days; 3 convicted and sentenced to county jail 30 days; 1 convicted and paid $21 fine and costs; 1 convicted and sentence suspended by order of State agent of Charities, etc.; 1 convicted and sentenced to Reform School; 4 discontinued; 1 jury disagreed and discharged; 3 acquitted.
Malicious injury to personal property	2	One convicted, sentence suspended by advice of State agent of Corrections and Charities; 1 convicted and sentenced 90 days at State House of Correction.
Malicious injury to fence	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Mayhem	1	Convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 2 years.
Murder	1	Convicted of manslaughter, sentenced 5 years to State House of Correction.
Perjury	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Resisting an officer	2	One convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 90 days; 1 convicted and sentenced to county jail for 90 days.
Slander	5	All dismissed.
Violation of liquor law	14	Six convicted and sentenced to pay fine of $25 and costs each; 1 convicted and sentenced to pay fine of $75; 5 acquitted; 2 dismissed.

MONTMORENCY COUNTY.

JOHN H. STEVENS, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 14.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	5	One convicted and fined $10 and costs; 1 sent jail 30 days; 1 appealed; 1 discharged; 1 acquitted.
Drunk in highway	1	Convicted; fined $1 and costs.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Acquitted.
Larceny	1	Settled before trial.
Slander	2	One appealed; 1 discharged.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Keeping saloon open on Sunday	1	Sentence suspended.
(*b*) Selling liquor without paying license	1	Discharged.
(*c*) Selling liquor without bonds	2	One convicted and appealed; 1 discharged.

MUSKEGON COUNTY.

H. L. DELANO, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 268.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	8	Five discharged; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 2, permission granted by Court not to file information.
Arson	2	One acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Assault and battery	102	Acquitted, 5; discharged, 14; 1 fined $50 and costs; 1 fined $20 and costs; 1 fined $15 and costs; 3 fined $10 and costs; 10 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $4 and costs; 5 fined $3 and costs; 5 fined $2 and costs; 1 fined $1.50 and costs; 17 fined $1 and costs; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined 6 cents and costs; 18 sentence suspended and costs paid; 3 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 2 to Detroit 90 days; 11 sent to jail, 1 for 60 days, 1 for 50 days, 2 for 40 days, 3 for 30 days, 1 for 25 days, 2 for 20 days, 1 for 15 days; complaint withdrawn and costs paid, 2.
Assault and robbery	2	One sent to State prison 15 years; 1 sent to State House of Correction 8 months.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm less than murder	3	Discharged.
Assault with intent to murder	3	One convicted of assault and sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 insane; 1 discharged.
Assault with intent to commit rape	1	Discharged.
Bastardy	3	Two settled by marriage; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Burning dwelling-house in night time	3	Two discharged; 1 fined $10 and costs.
Burglary	1	Discharged.
Common prostitute	1	Discharged.
Cruelty to animals	1	Sentenced 60 days to State House of Correction.
Disorderly	19	Eight sent to Detroit House of Correction: 7 for 90 days each; 1 for 89 days; 1 sent to Industrial Home for Girls till 21 years of age; 1 sent jail 60 days; 2 jail 30 days; 4 sentence suspended; 2 discharged; 1 acquitted.
Defacing log marks	2	Discharged.
Fraudulently disposing of chattel mortgaged property.	3	One complaint withdrawn; 1 discharged; 1 pending.
Hotel bill, neglecting to pay	4	One convicted and sentenced to 45 days in jail; 3 discharged on payment of costs.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Convicted and sentenced to 30 days in jail.
Larceny	37	Two convicted and sentenced to 3 years in State prison; 6 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 8 sent to jail, 1 for 65 days, 1 for 60 days, 1 for 45 days, 1 for 40 days, 2 for 30 days, 1 for 25 days, 1 for 20 days; 2 fined $1 and costs; 1 discharged on payment of costs; 3, complainant acknowledged satisfaction, and withdrew complaint; 1 complaint withdrawn and costs paid; 1 fined costs; 9 discharged and 3 acquitted.

MUSKEGON COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Larceny from the person	11	Six discharged; 4 complaint withdrawn; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation	2	Discharged.
Malicious injury to dwelling house	2	One sentenced 30 days in jail; 1 discharged.
Malicious injury to personal property	3	Two sentence suspended and costs paid; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Manslaughter	3	Pending.
Murder	4	Three discharged; 1 acquitted.
Non support	1	Discharged.
Obtaining money under false pretenses	2	One discharged; 1 acquitted.
Placing marks on logs	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Profanity	1	Complaint withdrawn on payment of costs.
Public intoxication	12	One sent to jail 20 days; 10 sentence suspended and costs paid; 1 discharged.
Receiving stolen property	1	Convicted and sent to Detroit House of Correction 1 year.
Refusing to pay over money collected as attorney	1	Acquitted.
Resisting an officer	2	Both sentenced to State House of Correction, 1 for 6 months, 1 for 90 days.
Selling liquor to minor	3	Discharged.
Selling liquor without bond	1	Discharged.
Selling liquor without paying tax	1	Convicted and fined $25 and costs.
Slander	4	One fined $27 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $1 and costs; 1 sentence suspended and costs paid.
Sureties to keep peace	5	One gave bond, $250; 1 for $200; 1 for $100; 2 discharged.
Vagrancy	8	One sent to Reform School till 21 years of age; 3 sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 4 sentence suspended and costs paid.
Violation of liquor law	2	Reversed in Supreme Court and defendants discharged.
Trespass	2	Acquitted.

NEWAYGO COUNTY.

GEORGE LUTON, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 47.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	14	Four convicted and sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 1 fined $25 and costs; 1 fined $15 and costs; 1 fined $2 and costs; 1 paid costs and was discharged; 5 acquitted; 1, penalty not known to me.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Convicted, 15 years at State prison.
Breach of peace	1	Gave bond for $500.
Conspiracy	4	One convicted; 3 cases untried.
Disorderly	4	Two fined, 1 $5; 1 $15 and costs; 1 acquitted; 1 discharged.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Sent to State House of Correction 90 days.
Inquests	2	
Larceny	11	One sent to State prison 4 years; 1 convicted and appeal taken before sentence; 5 sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 1 fined $20 and costs; 1 acquitted; 1 discharged; 1 fined $5 and costs.
Malicious injury to property	2	Each fined $5 and costs.
Selling liquor without giving a druggist bond	3	Acquitted.
Slander	3	Two convicted: 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $1 and costs; 1 discharged.
Rape	1	Acquitted.

OAKLAND COUNTY.

ARTHUR R. TRIPP, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 401. (In Circuit Court, 44; in Justice Court, 357.)

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN CIRCUIT COURT.		
Adultery	2	Convicted, and 1 sent to State House of Correction 1 year; 1 to Detroit House of Correction 1 year.
Assault and battery	4	Two convicted; 1 fined $50; 1 sent to State House of Correction for 3 months; 2 pending.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm less than murder	1	Pending.
Bastardy	1	Pending.

OAKLAND COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN CIRCUIT COURT.		
Burglary	8	Five convicted, 1 sent to State prison at Jackson for 6 years, 2 sent to State House of Correction at Ionia for 1 year and 9 months, 1 for 1 year and 6 months, and 1 for 10 months; 1 adjudged insane by Probate Court and sent to asylum; 1 pending, and 1 acquitted.
Conspiracy	3	Pending.
Drunk in public place	1	Proceedings quashed.
Forgery	1	Convicted and sent to State House of Correction for 1 year and 6 months.
False pretenses	4	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction at Ionia for 10 months; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 2 pending.
Jail breaking	1	Convicted and sent to State House of Correction at Ionia for 10 months.
Larceny	9	Six convicted and sent to prison, 1 to State prison at Jackson for 2 years; 4 sent to State House of Correction at Ionia, 1 for 2 years and 9 months, 1 for 1 year and 10 months, 1 for 1 year and 6 months, 1 for 10 months; 1 sent to jail for 30 days; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending.
Malicious destruction of personal property	2	Pending.
Receiving stolen goods	1	Pending.
Rescue of prisoner	3	Reasons for not filing information filed and defendants discharged.
Selling liquor on holiday	2	Convicted and fined $50 and costs.
Threats to accuse of an offense with intent to extort money	1	Proceedings quashed.
IN JUSTICE COURT.		
Adultery	8	Two held for trial; 2 discharged on written request of complaining witness; 4 discharged on examination.
Arson	2	Held for trial.
Assault	1	Sent to Reform School at Lansing until 17 years old.
Assault and battery	70	Forty-nine convicted, of whom 18 were sent to State House of Correction for 90 days; 3 to Detroit House of Correction for 65 days; 9 were sent to jail, 3 for 30 days, 1 for 40 days, 2 for 20 days, 2 for 15 days, 1 for 10 days; 15 were fined and fines paid—1 paid $50, 1 paid $30, 1 paid $20, 1 paid $15, 1 paid $10, 10 paid $5 each; 4 sentence suspended; 10 *nolle pros'd*; 9 acquitted; 1 proceedings quashed; 1 released by State agent.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm less than murder	3	One held for trial; 2 discharged on examination.
Assault with intent to murder	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Assault with intent to rape	1	Quashed, having been previously convicted of assault and battery on the same facts.
Bigamy	1	Held for trial.
Bastardy	2	One held for trial; 1 pending.
Breaking and entering freight car in nighttime with intent, etc.	9	One case, all discharged on examination.

OAKLAND COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN JUSTICE COURT.		
Burglary	10	Two held for trial; 4 discharged on examination; 4 *nolle pros'd.*
Cruelty to animals	1	Fined $5.
Disturbing religious meeting	1	Fined $10.
Disorderly (non support)	1	Fined $50.
Disorderly	35	Thirty-three convicted, of whom 3 were sent to Detroit House of Correction for 90 days; 26 were sent to jail, 2 for 60 days, 1 for 40 days, 12 for 30 days, 3 for 20 days, 2 for 15 days, 4 for 10 days, 2 for 5 days; 2 sentence suspended; 1 discharged; 1 acquitted.
Drunk and disorderly	27	Twenty-six convicted, of whom 4 were sent to Detroit House of Correction—2 for 90 days and 2 for 65 days; 12 were sent to jail—9 for 30 days, 1 for 12 days, 1 for 10 days, 1 for 8 days; 3 fined $5 each; 7 sentence suspended; 1 acquitted.
Drunk	58	Fifty-seven convicted, of whom 16 were sent to jail for 20 days, 5 for 15 days, 18 for 10 days, 8 for 5 days, 1 for 3 days; 9 sentence suspended; 1 pending.
Displacing rail of railroad track with intent, etc.	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
False pretenses	6	Two held for trial; 3 discharged on examination; 1 bail forfeited.
Forgery	1	Discharged, and re-arrested for uttering and publishing forged instrument.
Infanticide	1	Discharged on examination.
Jail breaking	1	Held for trial.
Keeping house of ill-fame	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Larceny	82	Fifty-one convicted, of whom 27 were sent to State House of Correction for 90 days; 5 sent to Detroit House of Correction—1 for 90 days, 4 for 65 days; 6 were sent to jail—4 for 30 days, 2 for 10 days; 1 sent to Industrial Home for Girls at Adrian until 21 years of age; 1 sent to Reform School at Lansing until 17 years of age; 4 were fined $5 each; 7 sentence suspended; 6 held for trial; 1, jury disagreed and *nolle pros'd.*; 10 *nolle pros'd.*; 3, proceedings quashed; 11 discharged by justice and State agent.
Larceny from dwelling	2	Discharged on examination.
Larceny from person	2	One discharged on examination; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation	2	Discharged on examination.
Malicious trespass to garden	2	Jury disagreed and *nolle pros'd.*
Perjury	3	Two discharged on examination; 1 pending.
Placing obstruction on railroad track, etc.	2	Discharged on examination.
Rape	1	Discharged on examination.
Receiving stolen property	1	Held for trial.
Selling chattel mortgage property	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Slander	6	Two convicted, of whom 1 was sent to Reform School at Lansing until 17 years old; 1 fined $10; 4 were *nolle pros'd.*

OAKLAND COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Threats	2	One, bonds for 6 months given; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Unlawfully entering freight car with intent to obtain carriage	1	Released by request of State agent.
Uttering and publishing forged paper, etc.	1	Held for trial.
Vagrancy	1	Sent to jail 10 days.
Violation of liquor law:		
(*a*) Keeping saloon open on holiday	2	Convicted and each fined $50 and costs.
(*b*) Selling liquor without paying the tax	2	Convicted and fined $50 each.
(*c*) Selling liquor to minor	1	Convicted and fined $25 and costs.
(*d*) Selling liquor to drunkard	1	*Nolle pros'd.*

OCEANA COUNTY.

CYRUS B. STEVENS, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 33.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	12	Three convicted and fined $2 and costs or 5 days in jail; 1 fined $50 or 90 days jail; 1 fined $10 and costs or 30 days jail; 2 jail 5 days; 1 fined $3 and costs; 1 sentence suspended; 1 forfeited bail; 2 acquitted.
Assault with intent to commit rape	2	Convicted and sent to State House of Correction, 1 for 15 months, 1 for 90 days—the latter convicted of assault only.
Burning hay-stack	2	Discharged.
Disorderly juvenile	1	Sent to Reform School for 2 years and 3 months.
Indecent exposure of person	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Larceny	9	One convicted and sent to State House of Correction 8 months; 1 fined $50 or 90 days jail; 2 sentence suspended; 2 discharged; 3 acquitted.
Malicious injury to personal property	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Violation of liquor law	4	Two fined $25 and costs each; 1 *nolle pros'd.*; 1 acquitted.

OGEMAW COUNTY.

DEVERE HALL, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 27.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	13	One fined $50 and costs or 90 days in jail; 2, $5 and costs or 10 days in jail; 1 fined $3 and costs or 10 days in jail; 1 fined $30 and costs or 30 days in jail; 2 fined $5 each or 30 days in jail; 1 fined $5 and costs; 1 fined $5 and costs or 15 days in jail; 1 fined $5 and costs or 10 days in jail; 3 complaint withdrawn; 1 acquitted.
Assault with intent to kill and murder	2	Discharged on examination.
Burglary	2	Bound over to Circuit Court.
Drunk and intoxicated	4	Three fined $10 each and costs or 10 days in jail; 1 complaint withdrawn.
Common prostitute	1	Complaint withdrawn.
Larceny	2	Fined $25 and costs or 60 days in county jail.
Placing impediment on railroad track	2	One pleaded guilty and sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 forfeited recognizance to appear at Circuit Court.
Robbery	1	Complaining witness failed to appear.
Slander	1	Prisoner acquitted.

OSCODA COUNTY.

MAYNARD BUTTS, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 12.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Forgery	1	Discharged.
Incendiarism	1	Discharged.
Larceny	2	One convicted and sent to the Detroit House of Correction 60 days; 1 discharged.
Misdemeanor	2	Discharged.
Violation of liquor law	6	One convicted and fined $150; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 2 absconded; 2 discharged.

OTSEGO COUNTY.

C. D. McEWEN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 25.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	7	Three acquitted; 1 fined $8.65; 1 fined $5; 1 sent jail 30 days; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Sentenced to State Prison 2½ years.
Burglary	5	One convicted and sent to State Prison 5 years; 1 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1, jail 30 days; 2 acquitted.
Embezzlement	1	Released on preliminary examination.
Keeping house of ill-fame	1	Pleaded guilty, fined $40 and costs of prosecution.
Larceny	5	Two convicted and sent to Reform School; 1 sent jail 90 days; 1 fined $7.48 or 20 days jail; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Malicious destruction of personal property	1	Fined $10, or 20 days jail; committed to jail.
Resisting officer	1	Sent to State House of Correction 6 months.
Violation of liquor law	3	Two fined $25 and costs; 1 dismissed,
Drunkenness	1	Fined $5 and costs of $4.

OTTAWA COUNTY.

GEO. W. McBRIDE, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 243.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	1	Discharged on examination.
Arson	1	Discharged on examination.
Assault and battery	49	Convicted 27, of whom 4 were sent to county jail, 1 for 60 days, 1, for 60 days (appealed, pending), 1 for 30 days; 1, 20 days; 22 were fined as follows: 4 fined $10 and costs; 1, $7.50 and costs; 9 fined $5 and costs; 3 fined $2 and costs; 1 fined $6.75 and costs; 1 fined $15 and costs; 2 same as last (appealed, pending); 1 fined $100 and costs; 1 fined $5.50 and costs—all paid but the one appealed; 12 settled; 6 discontinued on payment of costs; 4 acquitted.
Bastardy	6	One settled; 1 settled by marriage of parties; 1 ordered discharged by Superintendent of the Poor, and 3 bound over to Circuit Court.
Burglary	5	One sentenced to Reform School till 17; 1 sentence suspended; 3 bound over; pending.
Disorderly persons	49	Of whom 40 were convicted and 35 sent to the county jail, viz.: 2 for 30 days; 2 for 25 days; 3 for 20 days; 17 for 10 dys; 4 for 12 days; 1 11 days; 5 for 5 days; 1 for 7 days; 4 sent home, being small boys; 8 settled and 1 discharged.

OTTAWA COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Disturbing religious meeting	3	One fined $5 and costs, paid, and settled by paying costs.
Drunk	65	All sentenced but 4 who settled and went their way; the other 61 were disposed of as follows: 35 sent to the county jail for 10 days; 6 for 15 days; 6 for 12 days; 3 for 20 days; 11 were fined as follows: 1, costs; 5 fined $5.50 each; 1 $6; 4 $6.50 each, and 4 settled.
Forgery	1	Convicted, sentence suspended.
Larceny	17	Four sent to county jail 90 days each; 2 for 30 days each; 1 for 20 days; 4 sent to State House of Correction at Ionia, 1 for 6 months, 1 for 1 year, 2 for 18 months each; 3 fined $3 each and costs; 1 bound over, now pending; 1 discontinued, and 1 acquitted.
Malicious injury to an animal	1	Settled by paying costs.
Malicious injury to personal property	4	Two fined on conviction each $5 and costs, paid; 1 sent to State House of Correction at Ionia for 6 months: 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Malicious injury to building	14	One settled; 13 found guilty, of whom 12 fined $100 and costs each, paid, 1 fined $10 and costs, paid.
Perjury	1	Discharged on examination.
Pointing firearms	1	Settled.
Violation of the fish law	6	One discharged; 1 settled, and 4 convicted and fined $25 and costs each, paid.
Violation of hotel law	2	One sent to jail 20 days; 1 settled.
Violation of the liquor law	14	One fined $40 and costs; 2 fined $35 and costs; 6 fined $25 and costs; 1 acquitted; 1 compiaint withdrawn; 3 discontinued.
Willful trespass	2	Both fined $3 and costs, paid.
Unlawful using money for private purposes	1	Discharged.

PRESQUE ISLE COUNTY.

G. COVEY, JR., *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 14.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	6	All convicted: 1 fined $15 and costs, and 1 fined $20 and costs, or 90 days each in State House of Correction; 2 fined $10 or 60 days jail; 1 fined $2 and costs; 1 fined 10 cents and costs.
Assault with intent to commit rape	1	Discharged.
Larceny	3	Two convicted, fined $5 and costs each or 60 days in jail; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Larceny from dwelling	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Murder	1	Acquitted.
Threats to do bodily harm	3	Two convicted and gave bonds to keep the peace 6 months; 1 pleaded guilty and gave recognizance for 1 year.

ROSCOMMON COUNTY.

HENRY H. WOODRUFF, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 18.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	3	Two pleaded guilty and sentence suspended; 1 settled.
Disorderly	1	Sent to jail 10 days.
Larceny	2	Convicted, 1 sent jail 60 days; 1 jail 15 days.
Slander	1	Released on suspended sentence.
Violation of liquor law	1	Fined $25 and $4 costs.
(*a*) Drunkenness on public street	8	Three sent jail 10 days each; 2 sentence suspended on payment of costs; 3 sentence suspended on condition of good behavior for 90 days.
(*b*) Drunkenness under the village ordinance.	2	Sentence suspended during good behavior.

SAGINAW COUNTY.

FRANK E. EMERICK, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 1,245. In Circuit Court, 100; in Justice Court, 1,145.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN CIRCUIT COURT.		
Animals, cruelty to	5	One acquitted, 4 pending.
Assault and battery	7	One convicted and paid fine of $25; 1 convicted and paid fine of $10; 2 paid fine and costs, and discharged; 1 acquitted; 2 pending.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm less than the crime of murder	2	*Nolle pros'd*
Assault with intent to commit crime of murder.	6	One convicted and sentenced 2½ years to State House of Correction; 1 convicted and awaiting sentence; 1 adjudged insane and sent to asylum; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending.
Assault with intent to ravish	1	New trial ordered.
Bigamy	1	Pending.
Burning building with intent to defraud insurance company	1	Pending.
Burglary	15	Three convicted and sentenced to State prison, 1 for 15 years, 1 for 4 years, 1 for 3½ years; 2 pleaded guilty and sent to State prison, 1 for 12 years, 1 for 5 years; 3 sentenced to State House of Correction, 1 for 2 years, 1 for 2 years; 1 for 1 year, (2 latter pleaded guilty); 1 sentence suspended; 4 *nolle pros'd*; 2 discharged.

SAGINAW COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Compounding a felony	1	Pending.
Conspiracy	5	One acquitted; 4 *nolle pros'd.*
Disorderly	3	One *nolle pros'd*; 2 discharged.
Embezzlement	1	Pending.
False pretenses	1	Pending.
Forgery	6	One convicted and sentenced 5 years to State prison; 1 acquitted; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 2 pending.
Incest	2	One pleaded guilty and sentenced to Reform School until 18 years of age; 1 pending.
Larceny from the person	1	Acquitted.
Larceny, grand	30	Five sentenced to State prison, 1 for 5 years, 2 for 2 years, 1 for 2½ years, 1 for 3 years; 8 sentenced to State House of Correction, 1 for 2½ years, 1 for 1½ years, 1 for 2 years, 3 for 1 year each, 1 for 9 months, 1 for 6 months; 2 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction, 1 for 2½ years, 1 for 90 days; 1 sentenced to Reform School until 18 years of age; 3 sent to jail 90 days each; 7 *nolle pros'd*; 1 discharged; 1 sentence suspended; 2 pending.
Mayhem	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Murder	5	One convicted of murder in second degree and sentenced to State prison 15 years; 1 convicted of manslaughter and sentenced to State prison 10 years; 1 convicted of murder in second degree and awaiting sentence; 2 pending.
Non-support	2	Pending.
Perjury	2	One *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending.
Receiving stolen property	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Resisting officer	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Robbery	1	Convicted and sentenced to State prison 2 years.
Selling liquor without license	3	Two pleaded guilty; 1 pending.
Slander	6	One convicted and paid fine of $25; 1 paid fine and costs, and discharged; 1 recognizance forfeited; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending.
IN JUSTICE COURT.		
Adultery	4	One bound over; 3 discharged.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm, etc.	7	Two bound over; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 3 discharged.
Assault with intent to murder	8	Seven bound over; 1 discharged.
Assault with intent to ravish	1	Bound over.
Assault and battery	302	Two convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 convicted and fined $100 or 90 days in State House of Correction; 3 convicted and fined $40 or 90 days in State House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $50 or 90 days in State House of Correction; 3 convicted and fined $25 or 90 days in State House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $20 or 90 days in State House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $100 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 12 convicted and fined $20 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $50 or 90 days in

SAGINAW COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery—*Continued*	....	Detroit House of Correction; 4 convicted and fined $25 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 7 convicted and fined $10 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $15 or 60 days in county jail; 1 convicted and fined $6 or 40 days in county jail; 5 convicted and fined $20 or 60 days in county jail; 13 convicted and fined $10 or 30 days in county jail; 2 convicted and fined $15 or 30 days in county jail; 6 convicted and fined $20 or 40 days in county jail; 6 convicted and fined $8 or 30 days in county jail; 33 convicted and fined $5 or 30 days in county jail; 12 convicted and fined $3 or 20 days in county jail; 2 convicted and fined $10 or 60 days in county jail; 11 convicted and fined $6 or 30 days in county jail; 5 convicted and fined $8 or 15 days in county jail; 21 convicted and fined $1 or 10 days in county jail; 27 convicted and fined 6 cents and costs or 10 days in county jail; 1 convicted and fined 1 cent and costs or 1 day in county jail; 7 convicted and fined $1 and costs or 10 days in county jail; 1 convicted and sentenced to Reform School until 18 years of age; 73 acquitted; 7 sentence suspended; 2 escaped; 21 discharged; 5 pending.
Attempt to commit crime of burglary	2	Discharged.
Bastardy	3	Two bound over; 1 discharged.
Bigamy	3	One bound over; 2 discharged.
Burglary	18	Thirteen bound over; 5 discharged.
Burglarious tools—possession of with intent, etc.	1	Bound over.
Burning dwelling with intent to defraud insurance company	1	Bound over.
Compounding a felony	1	Bound over.
Conspiracy	2	Discharged.
Concealing chattel mortgage property with intent, etc.	3	Acquitted.
Contempt of court	3	Convicted and sentenced to pay a fine of $3 and costs or 30 days in county jail.
Cruelty to animals	7	One convicted and fined $50 or 90 days in State House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $10 or 30 days in county jail; 1 convicted and fined $6 or 20 days in county jail; 1 convicted and fined $5 or 10 days in county jail; 1 acquitted; 2 discharged.
Disorderly:		
(*a*) Common prostitute	31	One convicted and fined $100 or 1 year in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $50 or 6 months in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $50 or 1 year in Detroit House of Correction; 4 convicted and fined $25 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 5 convicted and fined $10 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $15 or 30 days in county jail; 1 convicted and fined $6 or 20 days in county jail; 9 convicted and sent to State Industrial Home for Girls at Adrian; 3 acquitted; 5 discharged.
(*b*) Drunkards	83	Thirteen convicted and fined $100 or 1 year in Detroit House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $75 or 1 year in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $50 or 1 year in Detroit House of Correction; 21 convicted and fined $50 or 6 months in Detroit House of Correction; 27 convicted and fined $25 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 8 convicted and fined $30 or 90 days in Detroit

SAGINAW COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN JUSTICE COURT.		
(*b*) Drunkards—*Continued*	...	House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $15 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $10 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $10 or 59 days in county jail; 1 convicted and fined $5 or 10 days in county jail; 1 convicted and sent to Reform School until 18 years of age; 1 acquitted: 3 discharged.
(*c*) Non-support	16	One convicted and fined $50 or 6 months in Detroit House of Correction; 6 convicted and fined $25 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $10 or 60 days in county jail; 5 acquitted; 3 discharged.
(*d*) Vagrancy	218	Ten convicted and fined $50 or 6 months in Detroit House of Correction; 3 convicted and fined $25 or 6 months in Detroit House of Correction; 171 convicted and fined $25 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $10 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 3 convicted and fined $30 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 3 convicted and fined $10 or 20 days in county jail; 17 convicted and fined $5 or 20 days in county jail; 1 convicted and fined $5 or 30 days in county jail; 4 convicted and fined $5 or 10 days in county jail; 4 sent to Reform School until 17 years of age; 4 sent to Industrial Home for Girls; 1 acquitted; 1 sentence suspended.
Disorderly (particular offense not specified in report of Justice)	20	One convicted and fined $25 or 6 months in Detroit House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $20 or 6 months in Detroit House of Correction; 5 convicted and fined $25 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $25 or 60 days in county jail; 1 convicted and fined $5 or 10 days in county jail; 3 acquitted; 7 sentence suspended.
Disturbing religious worship	3	One convicted and fined $6 or 30 days in county jail; 1 convicted and fined $1 or 5 days in county jail; 1 acquitted.
Embezzlement	17	Three bound over; 2 convicted and fined $25 or 70 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $10 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $5 or 30 days in Detroit House of Correction; 6 acquitted; 4 discharged.
False pretenses	16	Three bound over; 1 rec. forfeited; 12 discharged.
Forgery	2	Bound over.
Fire-arms:		
(*a*) Careless use of	1	Sentence suspended.
(*b*) Selling to minor	1	Discharged
Gaming	3	Acquitted.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Convicted and fined $5 or 20 days county jail.
Illegal registration of voter	3	Discharged.
Incest	1	Bound over.
Inciting perjury	1	Bound over.
Keeping house of ill-fame	14	One convicted and fined $100 or 1 year De troit House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $50 or 90 days Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $50 or 6 months Detroit

SAGINAW COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Keeping house of ill-fame—*Continued*		House of Correction; 2 convicted and fined $25 or 90 days in Detroit House of Correction; 7 acquitted; 1 discharged.
Larceny:		
(*a*) Petit	162	Eighteen convicted and fined respectively—$40, $50, $25 and $30 or 90 days each in State House of Correction; 13 fined respectively—$100, $25 and $5 or 90 days each in Detroit House of Correction; 2 fined $5 or $60 days in Detroit House of Correction; 4 convicted and fined $15 or 60 days county jail; 7 convicted and fined $5 or 30 days county jail; 7 convicted and fined $10 or 30 days county jail; 4 convicted and fined $25 or 30 days county jail; 2 convict'd and fined $1 or 10 days county jail; 6 convicted and fined $5 or 10 days county jail; 4 convicted and fined $5 or 20 days county jail; 8 convicted and sentenced to Reform School and sentence suspended; 2 convicted and sent to Reform School until 17 years of age; 1 convicted and sent to Reform School until 18 years of age; 1 convicted and sent to Ind. Home for Girls until 17 years of age; 51 acquitted; 26 sentence suspended; 6 discharged.
(*b*) Grand	53	Thirty-one bound over, 22 discharged.
(*c*) From the person	4	One bound over, 2 *nolle pros'd*, 1 discharged.
(*d*) From store in day-time	1	Bound over.
Maintaining gift enterprise	4	Bound over.
Malicious injury:		
(*a*) To buildings	39	One convicted and fined $5 or 59 days county jail; 7 convicted and fined $10 or 30 days county jail; 2 convicted and fined $1 or 30 days county jail; 1 convicted and sentenced to Reform School until 18 years of age, sentence suspended; 9 acquitted; 19 discharged.
(*b*) To personal property	6	One convicted and fined $25 or 90 days at State House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $10 or 30 days in county jail; 4 acquitted.
Mayhem	1	Bound over.
Murder	8	Six bound over; 2 discharged.
Perjury	4	Bound over.
Receiving stolen property	1	Bound over.
Resisting officer	14	Four bound over; 10 discharged.
Removing chattel mortgaged property	2	One convicted and fined $25.00 or 60 days in county jail; one acquitted.
Robbery	1	Bound over.
Selling intoxicating liquor:		
(*a*) To minors	3	One convicted and fined $25.00 or 60 days county jail; 1 convicted and fined $25 or 30 days county jail; 1 discharged.
(*b*) After hours	2	One convicted and fined $25.00 or 30 days county jail; 1 convicted and fined $25.00 or 10 days county jail.
(*c*) Without license	16	Four convicted and fined $50.00 or 90 days county jail; 3 acquitted; 1 sentence suspended; 8 discharged (on payment license and costs).

SAGINAW COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
(*d*) On Sunday	3	Two convicted and fined $25.00 or 90 days county jail; 1 pending.
Slander	40	One convicted and fined $100.00 or 90 days Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $20.00 or 90 days Detroit House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $50.00 or 90 days State House of Correction; 1 convicted and fined $50.00 or 90 days county jail; 1 convicted and fined $5.00 or 50 days county jail; one convicted and fined $5.00 or 40 days county jail; 2 convicted and fined $75 or 30 days county jail; 3 convicted and fined $10.00 or 30 days county jail; 5 convicted and fined $1.00 or 10 days county jail; 1 convicted and fined 6 cts. or 10 days county jail; 18 acquitted; 5 discharged.
Surety to keep the peace	10	One convicted and required to furnish bonds; 1 convicted and in default of bond sent to county jail six months; 7 acquitted; 1 discharged.
Truancy	3	One convicted and sent to the Reform School 2 years; 1 convicted and sent to the Reform School 1 year; 1 suspended sentence.
Uttering forged paper	1	Bound over.
Willful trespass	11	Two convicted and fined 6 cents or 20 days county jail; 5 acquitted; 4 discharged.

SHIAWASSEE COUNTY.

STEARNS P. SMITH, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 79.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	1	Discharged on examination.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm less than murder	2	One convicted, sent to State House of Correction for 4 years; one not yet tried.
Assault with intent to commit rape	1	Discharged.
Assault and battery	24	Twelve convicted; 1 fined $10 or 20 days in jail; 1 fined $75, or 90 days in State House of Correction; 1 fined $18 costs, or 30 days in jail; 1 fined $50 and $29.26 costs, or 90 days in State House of Correction; 1 fined $2 and $30 costs, or 65 days in Detroit House of Correction; 1 fined $5 and $8.40 costs, or 30 days in jail; 1 fined $5 and $15 costs, or 30 days in jail; 1 fined $25 or 30 days in jail; 1 fined $100 or 90 days in jail; 1 fined $24; 1 fined $8; 8 acquitted; 4 discharged.
Bastardy	2	Awaiting trial.
Cruelty to animals	1	Convicted and fined $25 and $30.70 costs, or 90 days in State House of Correction.

SHIAWASSEE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Disorderly Persons:		
(*a*) Neglecting to support family	3	Three convicted; 1 fined $50 or 30 days in jail; 1 fined $18 or 10 days in jail; 1 fined $9.20 or 30 days in jail.
(*b*) Disturbing religious meetings	1	One convicted and sentence suspended.
Embezzlement	4	One jury disagreed and *nolle pros* entered; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 2 discharged by magistrate,
Fire-arms, aiming, etc.	1	Convicted and fined $31.
Forgery	1	Convicted and sentenced to 90 days in State House of Correction at Ionia.
Fences, malicious throwing down	5	Discharged.
Intoxicating liquors, selling without payment of tax	3	Two convicted and fined $95 each or 90 days in jail; 1 acquitted.
Larceny	17	Ten convicted; 3 were sent to State Prison; 1 for 2 years; 1 for 4 years; 1 for 3 years; 1 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 3 sent to jail; 2 for 90 days each; 1 for 60 days; 1 fined costs; 1 fined $35.20 or 30 days jail; 1 sentence suspended; 6 discharged; 1 acquitted.
Malicious injury to building	2	Two convicted; 1 sentenced for 15 days in jail; 1 fined $5, or 10 days in jail.
Malicious trespass	1	Acquitted.
Murder	1	One convicted (2d degree), sentenced to 25 years in State Prison.
Poisoning well	1	Convicted and sentenced to 10 years in State Prison.
Profane swearing	1	Convicted and fined $5.
Saloon; keeping open on holiday	1	Acquitted.
Setting fire, with intent to burn shop	1	Acquitted.
Seduction	1	Convicted but not yet sentenced.
Slander	1	Acquitted.
Threatening to accuse of crime	1	Convicted and sentenced to 18 months in State Prison.
Threats of injury to property	1	Convicted and sentenced to 90 days in jail.
Trespass, willful	1	Acquitted.

ST. JOSEPH COUNTY.

DAVID L. AKEY, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 81.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Abduction	1	Convicted and sent to State Prison at Jackson 3 years.
Adultery	3	All convicted, and 1 sent to State Prison at Jackson 1 year and 9 months; 1 sent to State Prison 1 year and 8 months; 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction 1 year and 9 months.
Attempt to commit arson	1	Now pending in Circuit Court.
Attempt to do great bodily harm	1	Now pending in Circuit Court.
Assault	1	Infant. Sent to Orphans' School at Coldwater.
Assault and battery	15	Five paid fine of $5 each and costs; 1 paid fine of $15 and costs; 1 paid fine of $7 and costs; 1 paid fine of $30; 2 paid costs and were discharged; 1 paid costs and sentence suspended; 2 discharged and costs paid by complainants; 1 discharged; 1 sentenced to pay a fine of $15 and costs, appealed, and the case is now pending in the Circuit Court.
Bigamy	1	Pending before Justice of the Peace.
Bastardy	6	One convicted and ordered by the court to pay complainant $80, and six dollars per month until further order of the court; refused to give bond and went to county jail; 1 convicted and ordered by the court to pay complainant $125 and $5 per month until further order of the court; the order was complied with; 1 settled by the parties; 2 *nolle pros.*, children in both cases died before trial; 1 now pending in Circuit Court.
Burglary	3	All convicted and sent to State House of Correction; 1 for 18 months; 1 for 3 years; 1 for 3 years and 3 months.
Disturbing religious meeting	1	Acquitted.
Drunk	1	Discharged under the late decision of the Supreme Court.
Drunk and disorderly	4	Convicted and sent to county jail, 1 for 30 days; 1 for 20 days; 1 for 10 days; 1 for 60 days.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Sent to State House of Correction 1 year.
Keeping pool table	1	Paid costs, discontinued the business and was discharged.
Keeping gambling house	1	Papers defective and defendant discharged.
Larceny	17	Three convicted and sent to State Prison, 1 for 5 years; 1 for 4 years and 3 months; 5 convicted and sent to State House of Correction, 4 for 90 days each; 1 for 3 years; 1 sent to jail 60 days; 3 dismissed before and 1 after examination; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 3 acquitted.
Lewd and lascivious cohabitation	2	Both pending in Circuit Court.

ST. JOSEPH COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Murder	1	Convicted of manslaughter and sent to State Prison 6 years and 4 months.
Perjury	2	One dismissed and 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Resisting an officer	5	One dismissed; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 1 sent to county jail 40 days and 1 sent to State House of Correction 90 days.
Surety to keep the peace	2	One gave bond of $1,000 for 1 year; 1 sent to the the asylum at Kalamazoo on the ground of insanity.
Violation of the liquor law	11	Three convicted and fined $25 each and costs; 1 convicted and fined $80 and costs; 1 convicted and fined $100 and 90 days in jail; 4 acquitted and 1 discharged before trial.

TUSCOLA COUNTY.

W. C. BUCHANAN, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 81.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	30	Fifteen convicted: 1 fined $50 and costs or 90 days jail; 4 fined $5 each and costs; 1 fined $7 and costs; 2 each $2 and costs; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $4 and costs; 1 fined $2 and costs; 4, each $1 and costs; 11 discharged; 4 acquitted.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	1	Sentenced to State Prison 1 year.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Pending.
Bastardy	3	Two discharged; 1 pending.
Burglary	1	Discharged.
Burning railroad bridge	1	Pending.
Cruelty to animals	1	Fined $5 and costs.
Drunkenness	3	Fined $10 each.
False pretenses	2	One sentenced State House of Correction nine months; 1 pending.
Forgery	1	Sentenced to State Prison 2 years.
Fraudulently disposing of chattel mortgaged property	2	One dismissed; 1 sent jail 20 days.
Larceny	22	One sentenced to State Prison 1 year; 3 sent to State House of Correction, 1 for 2½ years; 2 for 90 days each; 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 1 sent to Industrial Home for Girls; 3 fined $50 each; 1 fined $40; 2 fined $15 each and costs; 1 fined $10 and costs; 1 fined $16; 2 sentence suspended; 2 discharged; 2 pending; 2 acquitted.
Malicious injury to dwelling	1	Acquitted.
Obstructing railroad track	1	Pending.
Seduction	1	*Nolle pros'd.*

TUSCOLA COUNTY—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Soliciting unauthorized insurance	1	Fined $25.
Truancy	1	Sentenced to Industrial Home for Girls.
Vagrancy	7	Convicted and sent to jail, 2 each 10 days; 2, each 60 days; 1 jail 90 days; 1 jail 30 days.
Violation of liquor law	3	Two convicted, 1 fined $25; 1 fined $25 and 10 days in jail; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Willful trespass	1	Fined $1.

VAN BUREN COUNTY.

ALONZO H. CHANDLER, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 73.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Assault and battery	13	Two convicted and sent to State House of Correction for 90 days; 1 sent to jail 5 days; 1 fined $2 and costs; 1 fined $10 and costs or 20 days jail; 1 fined $1 and costs; 2 acquitted; 1 fined $5 and costs or 10 days in jail; 2 discharged; 1 sent to jail 90 days; 1 fined $5 and costs, or 20 days in jail.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm, less than the crime of murder	4	One convicted and sent to State Prison 18 months; 3 *nolle pros'd.*
Assault with intent to murder	3	One sent to State Prison 25 years; 1 *nolle pros'd*; and 1 pending in circuit court.
Accessory after the fact	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Bastardly	1	Case pending in Circuit Court.
Bonds required to keep the peace	4	Two bonds given as required; 2 *nolle pros'd*, complainants paying costs.
Burglary	2	One convicted and sent to State Prison 7 years; 1 convicted and sent to State House of Correction 5½ years.
Disturbing religious meetings	4	One sent to jail 30 days; 3 acquitted.
Disorderly persons	2	One fined $10 and costs, or 15 days in jail; 1 fined $5 and costs, or 14 days in jail.
Drunkenness	7	One sent to jail 20 days; 2 fined $10 and costs or 15 days in jail; 1 fined $10 and costs or 10 days in jail; 3 *nolle pros'd.*
False pretences	4	Two discharged, complainants paying costs; 2 *nolle pros'd.*
Forgery	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Incest	1	Pending in Circuit Court.
Larceny	9	Two acquitted; 1 sent to State House of Correction 90 days; 1 sentence suspended; 1 case pending in the Circuit Court; 4 *nolle pros'd.*
Larceny—compound	3	One sentenced to State Prison 4 years; 1 sent to Reform School until 18 years old; 1 pending.

VAN BUREN COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Murder	2	Cases pending in Circuit Court.
Rape	1	Acquitted of rape and convicted of assault and battery, and fined $100 and costs, or in State House of Correction. Fine and costs paid.
Uttering forged instrument	1	Case pending in Circuit Court.
Violation of liquor law	5	One convicted and fined $50 and costs; 1 convicted and fined $25 and costs, and 10 days in jail; 2 acquitted, and 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Willful trespass	1	Case dismissed.

WASHTENAW COUNTY.

E. B. NORRIS, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 180.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	4	Complaints withdrawn.
Assault and battery	52	Seven acquitted; 10 dismissed; 35 convicted, of whom 6 were sent to State House of Correction for 90 days each; 3 sent to jail 30 days each; 1, jail 60 days; 9 fined $10 each and costs; 4 fined $3 each and costs; 2 fined $1 and costs; 6 fined $5 each and costs; 1 fined $2 and costs; 1 fined $15 and costs; 2, sentence suspended.
Assault with intent to kill	3	One convicted of assault and battery and fined $100; 2 dismissed.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	2	One discharged; 1 pending.
Burglary	3	One pending; 2 dismissed.
Careless use of fire-arms	1	Acquitted.
Cruelty to animals	1	Fined $1 and costs.
Disorderly persons	29	Five discharged; 24 convicted, viz: 2 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 90 days each; 1 sent to Reform School; 1 returned to parents; 14 sent to jail, 2 for 5 days, 5 for 10 days, 1 for 15 days, 1 for 20 days, 5 for 30 days; 5 fined $5 and costs each; 1 pending on appeal.
Disturbing meeting	1	Fined $1 and costs.
Entering freight car with intent to obtain passage	4	One sent to jail 30 days; 3 dismissed.
False pretenses	3	One pending, 2 (3 defendants each) forfeited recognizance and fled to Canada.
Forgery	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction six months.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Dismissed.
Larceny, attempt to commit	1	Convicted and sent to State House of Correction 1 year.
Larceny from dwelling	6	Convicted, 2 sent to State Prison 2 years each; 1 State Prison 3 years; 3 sent to State House of Correction, 2 for 1 year each; 1 for 2 years.
Larceny from freight car	1	Sentenced to 4 years in State Prison.

WASHTENAW COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Larceny	26	Five acquitted; 18 convicted, viz: 1 sentenced to State Prison 5 years; 3 sent to Detroit House of Correction, 1 for 65 days; 2 for 15 days; 4 sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 5 sent to Reform School; 3 sent to jail, 1 for 10 days; 2 for 30 days each; 3 paid costs and fine of $1; 2 fined $15 each; 2 forfeited recognizance.
Malicious injury to house	9	Three acquitted; 1 pending; of the convicted, 1 paid $5 and costs; 1 paid costs; 1 paid $25 and costs; 1 sent Detroit House of Correction for 90 days; 1 sent State House of Correction for 90 days.
Malicious injury to personal property	4	Two sentence suspended; 2 acquitted.
Murder	1	Sentenced to State prison for life.
Rape	2	Discharged on examination.
Robbery	7	Three convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction—1 for 4 years; 2 for two years each; 4 discharged.
Slander—criminal	2	Pending—one on an appeal.
Threatening bodily harm	7	Four settled; three gave bail.
Unlawful hunting on enclosed land	1	Acquitted.
Violation of liquor law:		
(a) Keeping open saloon	11	Two fined $40 and costs; 8 fined $25 and costs each; 1 appealed and pending.

WAYNE COUNTY.

GEORGE F. ROBINSON, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Total number of persons prosecuted, 8288; in the Circuit Court, 82; in the Recorder's Court 256; in the Police Court of Detroit, 7145; in Justices Court, 805.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN CIRCUIT COURT.		
Assault and battery (appeal)	15	Pending.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm less than murder	2	One convicted and sentenced to State Prison for 3 years, and 1 pending against same defendant.
Assault with intent to kill and murder	1	Convicted of assault and battery and sentenced to Detroit House of Correction for 3 months.
Bastardy	8	Six pending; 1 convicted and released on $500 recognizance; 1 infant having died case was dismissed.
Breaking and entering freight car, with intent to obtain carriage therein	3	Reasons for not filing information.
Breaking and entering store in the night time	4	One convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 3 years; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 1 released on his personal recognizance.
Burglary	1	Convicted and sentenced to State Prison for 20 years.

WAYNE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Certiorari to remove convictions from Justice Court to to Circuit Court	10	Two convictions affirmed and 1 taken to Supreme Court on writ of error; 5 no returns to writ; 3 pending.
Disorderly persons (appeal)	1	Pending.
Larceny from a dwelling in the day time	1	Pending.
Larceny of property valued at $25 and over	5	Two convicted—1 sentenced to State Prison for 5 years, and the other for 1½ years; 3 pending.
Malicious breaking down fence	1	Pending.
Murder	2	Acquitted.
Non-payment of liquor-tax (appeal)	15	Six tax paid and cases not prosecuted; 1 convicted and paid fine of $65; 1 forfeited recognizance, and *capias* issued for re-arrest of defendant; 7 pending.
Nuisance (appeal)	1	Pending.
Obtaining property by false pretenses	3	One reasons for not filing information; 2 pending.
Robbery	4	One convicted and granted a new trial on motion, re-tried and convicted of assault and battery and discharged; 1 tried, jury disagreed and case *nolle pros'd*; 1 acquitted; 1 pending.
Seduction	1	Pending, defendant released on bail.
Slander (appeal)	2	Pending.
Violation of liquor law (appeal)	2	Pending.
IN RECORDER'S COURT.		
Abandoning infant child	2	One convicted and sentenced to the Detroit House of Correction for 3 months; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Adultery	4	Three acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Assault and battery (appeal)	2	Pending.
Assault with intent to disfigure	2	One convicted and sentenced to Detroit House of Correction for 90 days; 1 discharged on his personal recognizance.
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm, less than murder	11	Three convicted; 1 sentenced to State House of Correction for 4 years; 1 to Detroit House of Correction for 2 months; 1 sentenced to pay a fine of $50 or 60 days in Detroit House of Correction, fine paid; 2 acquitted; 2 pending; 4 *nolle pros'd*.
Assault with intent to kill and murder	16	Five convicted; 1 sentenced to State Prison for 7 years; 1 for 5 years; 1 for 3 years; 1 sentenced to State House of Correction for 7 years; 1 to Detroit House of Correction for 2 months; 6 acquitted; 2 *nolle pros'd*; 3 pending.
Assault with intent to maim and disfigure	1	Acquitted.
Assault with intent to rob	4	One convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 5 years; 1 information quashed; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending.
Assaulting an officer	8	Four pending; 3 acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Assaulting and resisting an officer	1	Convicted and sentenced to the Detroit House of Correction for 9 months.
Attempt to commit burglary	2	Acquitted.

WAYNE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Attempt to break and enter store in the night time	1	Acquitted.
Attempt to commit larceny from the person	1	Convicted and sentenced to the Detroit House of Correction for 1 year.
Breaking and entering freight car in the day time	2	*Nolle pros'd.*
Breaking and entering freight car in the night time	1	Convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 3 years.
Breaking and entering a dwelling house in the day time with intent, etc.	5	Three convicted, 1 sentenced to State House of Correction for 3 years, 1 for 2 years, 1 to Detroit House of Correction for 6 months; 1 acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Breaking and entering an office in the night time	1	*Nolle pros'd.*
Breaking and entering a saloon in the night time with intent, etc.	1	Acquitted.
Breaking and entering a shop in the night time with intent, etc.	2	Defendants discharged on their personal recognizance.
Breaking and entering store in the day time	2	Convicted and sentenced to State House of Correction for 2 years each.
Breaking and entering a store in the night time with intent, etc.	9	Convicted, 2 sentenced to State Prison for 10 years each; 1 for 5 years; 1 for 4 years; 1 for 3 years; 2 sentenced to State House of Correction for 5 years each, 2 for 3 years each.
Bribery	4	Indictments found by grand jury, 3 acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Burglary	4	Convicted, 1 sentenced to State Prison for 15 years; 1 for 3 years; 2 awaiting sentence.
Conspiracy	3	One acquitted; 2 *nolle pros'd.*
Embezzlement	4	One defendant discharged on his personal recognizance; 1 acquitted; 2 reasons for not filing information.
Entering a dwelling house in the night time with intent, etc.	3	One defendant discharged on his personal recognizance; 1 acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Forgery	3	Convicted, 1 sentenced to State Prison for 3 years; 2 to the State House of Correction for 1 year each.
Illegal voting	2	One pending; 1 motion in arrest of sentence granted.
Indecent exposure of person	1	Pending.
Juvenile disorderly persons (non-attending school).	23	Twenty convicted and sentenced to the Reform School until 16 years of age; 2 sentence suspended; 1 discharged.
Keeping and maintaining a gaming room	3	Pending.
Larceny of property of the value of $25 or more	43	Nineteen convicted; 1 sentenced to State prison for 4 years; 1 for 3 years; 1 for 2 years; 1 for 18 months; 1 sentenced to Reform School until 17 years of age; 1 sentenced to State House of Correction for 4 years; 1 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction for 3 years; 1 for 2 years; 2 for 1 year each; 4 for 3 months each; 1 for 60 days; 1 paid a fine of $50; 3 sentence suspended; 3 acquitted; 10 *nolle pros'd;* 5 discharged on their personal recognizance; 6 pending.
Larceny of property of the value of less than $25 (appeal from police court)	6	One convicted and fined $5; 4 *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending.

WAYNE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Larceny in an office in the day time	1	Pending.
Larceny from the person	9	Six convicted; 1 sentenced to State prison for 4 years; 1 sentenced to State House of Correction for 4 years; 1 for 3 years; 1 for 1½ years; 2 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction for 6 months each; 1 released on recognizance of $500; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 acquitted.
Larceny from a dwelling house in the day time	10	Five convicted; 1 sentenced to State House of Correction for 5 years; 2 for 3 years each; 2 for 2½ years each; 1 for 1 year; 1 sentenced to State prison for 1 year; 1 discharged on his personal recognizance; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 1 acquitted.
Larceny from a store in the day time	9	Two convicted and senteneed to Detroit House of Correction for 3 months each; 1 discharged on his personal recognizance; 1 *nolle pros'd*; 2 acquitted; 3 pending.
Manslaughter	2	Pending.
Murder	13	Two convicted and sentenced to State prison for life; 1 convicted of murder in the second degree and sentenced to State prison for 25 years; 2 convicted of manslaughter; 1 sentenced to State House of Correction for 10 years, the other sentenced to State prison for 3 years; 4 acquitted; 3 *nolle pros'd*; 1 pending.
Non-payment of liquor tax (appeal from police court)	6	Four *nolle pros'd*; 2 pending.
Obtaining signature to promissory note by false pretenses	1	Acquitted.
Perjury	1	Found guilty by jury, and a motion in arrest of sentence was granted.
Personating an officer	1	Defendant discharged on his personal recognizance.
Publishing an obscene newspaper	4	Two tried, jury disagreed and cases *nolle pros'd*; 2 *nolle pros'd*.
Receiving stolen property	2	One acquitted; 1 *nolle pros'd*.
Refusing to pay over money collected as constable	1	Acquitted.
Robbery	8	Three convicted, 1 sentenced to State Prison for 7 years, 1 for 5 years, 1 sentenced to State House of Correction for 5 years, 1 jury disagreed and defendant discharged on his personal recognizance; 1 acquitted; 3 *nolle pros'd*.
Robbery, being armed with a dangerous weapon	5	Four convicted, 1 sentenced to State Prison for 26 years, 1 for 25 years, 2 for 4 years each, 1 acquitted.
Setting up and maintaining a lottery	1	Convicted and paid a fine of $50.
Seduction	1	*Nolle pros'd*.
Uttering and publishing a forged instrument	1	Information quashed.
Wilfully refusing to send child to school	3	One, motion complaint granted; 2 complaints dismissed.

WAYNE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN THE POLICE COURT OF DETROIT.		
Abandoning child	1	
Adultery	2	
Assault	31	
Assault and battery	501	
Allowing children in saloon	1	
Assault with intent to do great bodily harm	10	
Assault with intent to kill and murder	19	
Assaulting an officer	4	
Assault with intent to rob	4	
Attempt to break and enter a store in the night time	1	
Attempt at burglary	2	
Attempt at larceny from the person	2	
Arson	1	
Breaking and entering a dwelling house in the day time	2	
Breaking and entering a dwelling house in the night time	1	
Breaking and entering railroad freight car	2	
Breaking and entering an office	1	
Breaking and entering a saloon in the night time	1	
Breaking and entering a shop in the night time	2	
Breaking and entering a store in the day time	1	
Breaking and entering a store in the night time	5	
Burglary	4	
Carrying dangerous weapons	1	
Concealing stolen property	2	
Cruelty to animals	3	
Conspiracy to defraud	1	
Disorderly persons	5,309	
Defrauding hotel proprietors	4	
Embezzlement	22	
Entering without breaking a dwelling house in the night time	1	
False protenses	1	
Fugitive from justice	1	
Illegal voting	1	
Indecent exposure of person	1	

WAYNE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Keeping house of ill-fame	1	
Larceny of property valued at more than $25	40	
Larceny of property valued at less than $25	381	
Larceny by false personation	2	
Larceny from the person	6	
Larceny from an office in the day time	2	
Larceny from a store in the day time	9	
Lottery	1	
Malicious injury to building	40	
Manslaughter	2	
Mayhem	3	
Murder	5	
Non-payment of liquor tax	638	
Not closing saloon after 10 o'clock P. M.	5	
Not closing saloon on Sunday	18	
Permitting gaming on premises	3	
Personating an officer	1	
Receiving stolen property	1	
Removing mortgaged chattels	1	
Reckless use of fire-arms	1	
Robbery	12	
Refusing to pay over money collected as constable	1	
Selling liquor to prohibited persons	1	
Seduction	1	
Slander	1	
Threats	17	
Uttering forged check	3	
Uttering forged order for money	2	
Unhitching horse	2	

DISPOSITION.

The above cases in the Police Court of Detroit were disposed of as follows:

Complaints dismissed	389	
Complaints withdrawn	188	
Acquitted and discharged	531	
Convicted	6,020	
Still pending	17	
Total	7,145	

WAYNE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

DISPOSITION OF CONVICTED PERSONS.

The persons convicted in the Police Court of Detroit, as above, were disposed of as follow :

Sentenced to the Detroit House of Correction for terms varying from 10 days to 6 months	1,425
Sentenced to the Reform School at Lansing until 18 years of age	11
Sentenced to the county jail for terms varying from 10 days to 3 months	26
Paid fines and costs varying in amount from $1 to $106	583
Sentence suspended	3,817
Held for trial in the Recorder's Court of Detroit	151
Sentenced to State Industrial School for Girls	7
Total	6,020

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
IN JUSTICE COURT:		
Assault	8	Four convicted, 1 fined $10, 1 $15, 2 sentence suspended; 4 acquitted.
Assault and battery	177	Ninety-two convicted; 59 paid fines varying from $1 to $35; 18 sentence suspended, 12 sentenced to the Detroit House of Correction for terms varying from 30 to 90 days; 3 appealed to the Circuit Court; 73 acquitted; 12 discharged.
Attempt at larceny	3	Acquitted.
Bastardy	22	Eight held for trial in the Circuit Court; 7 cases settled by parties being married; 7 discharged.
Cruelty to animals	5	Three convicted, 1 fined $10, and 1 $20, and 1 $3; 2 acquitted.
Defrauding hotel keeper	2	One acquitted; 1 complaint quashed.
Disorderly persons	174	One hundred and forty-five convicted, 71 sentenced to the Detroit House of Correction for terms varying from 30 to 90 days; 13 paid fines varying from $1 to $45; 1 sentenced to State Industrial Home for Girls; 1 sentenced to the Reform School until 17 years of age; 1 appealed to the Circuit Court; 58 sentence suspended; 19 acquitted; 10 discharged.
Disturbing religious meeting	1	Convicted and paid a fine of $5.
Examination of persons charged with crime beyond the jurisdiction of justice	56	Thirteen held for trial in the Circuit Court; 43 discharged.
Entering a freight car with intent to obtain carriage therein	27	Twenty-six convicted, 19 sentenced to the Detroit House of Correction for terms varying from 30 to 90 days; 7 sentence suspended; 1 acquitted; the prosecuting attorney was not represented at these trials.
Getting on board of railroad train while in motion	10	Convicted, 2 paid fines, 1 $15, and 1 $10; 2 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction, 1 for 30 days and 1 for 10 days; 6 sentence suspended.
Intentional use of firearms	1	Complaint dismissed.

WAYNE COUNTY.—*Continued.*

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Larceny of property valued at less than $25	84	Forty-four convicted, 16 paid fines varying from $1 to $25; 15 sentenced to the Detroit House of Correction for terms varying from 30 to 90 days; 13 sentence suspended; 35 acquitted; 5 discharged.
Malicious injury to personal property	2	One convicted and paid a fine of $15; 1 acquitted.
Malicious trespass	7	Three convicted, 1 paid a fine of $5; 2 sentence suspended; 4 acquitted.
Nuisance	3	Convicted, 1 paid a fine of $5; 2 appealed to the Circuit Court.
Non-payment of liquor tax	65	Twelve convicted; 2 paid fines; one, $78.35, the other $70; 1 committed to Detroit House of Correction in default of fine of $60; 9 appealed to the Circuit Court; 49 cases dismissed on payment of tax and costs of prosecution; 3 acquitted; 1 complaint quashed.
Slander	39	Nineteen convicted; 15 paid fines varying from $1 to $13; 1 committed to the Detroit House of Correction for 30 days in default of a fine of $7; 3 sentence suspended; 13 acquitted; 7 complaints dismissed.
Threats	31	Sixteen convicted; 10 entered in recognizance to keep the peace; 2 paid fines of $5 each; 2 committed to the Detroit House of Correction for 6 months each; 1 for 9 months and 1 for 60 days; 10 acquitted; 5 complaints dismissed.
Using obscene language	1	Convicted and committed to the Detroit House of Correction for 30 days in default of fine of $10.
Vagrancy	71	Sixty-four convicted; 42 sentenced to the Detroit House of Correction for terms varying from 30 to 90 days; 1 paid a fine of $10; 21 sentence suspended; 7 acquitted.
Violation of laws relative to cutting Canada thistles	1	Acquitted.
Violation of fishing law	4	Convicted and paid fines of $10 each.
Violation of liquor law	11	Three convicted; 2 appealed to the Circuit Court; 1 sentence suspended on payment of $15 costs; 7 acquitted; 1 complaint dismissed.

FINES PAID:

Total amount of fines paid in the Police Court of Detroit was	$11,665 00
Total amount of fines paid in Justice Court was	1,324 31
The total amount of fines paid in all the courts of Detroit was	$13,109 31

WEXFORD COUNTY.

DAVID A. RICE, *Prosecuting Attorney.*

Number of persons prosecuted, 55.

Charged with.	No.	The Result and the Punishment.
Adultery	2	Convicted; 1 sent to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 1 sent to State House of Correction 10 months.
Assault and battery	9	Five sent to State House of Correction 90 days each; 1 fined $25 and costs; 1 fined $2 and costs; 1 fined $1 and costs; 1 sent to jail 30 days.
Assault with intent to murder	1	Pending.
Bastardy	1	Compromised by marriage.
Buggery	1	Sentenced to State prison 2 years.
Burglary	1	Sentenced to State prison 15 months.
Defrauding hotel keeper	1	Acquitted.
Disorderly:		
(*a*) Under the statute	2	One sent to Reform School; 1 sent to Industrial Home for Girls.
(*b*) On railroad trains	4	Two convicted, of whom 1 was sent to State House of Correction for 90 days; 1 was fined $25 and costs; and 2 were discharged for want of jurisdiction.
Drunkenness	1	Fined $10 and costs.
Fraudulent pretenses	2	One compromised; 1 *nolle pros'd.*
Keeping house of ill-fame	1	Discharged.
Larceny	20	Six sentenced to State House of Correction 90 days each; 1 sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 5 sent to Reform School; 1 sent to jail 90 days; 1 fined $1 and costs; 2 acquitted; 2 discharged on examination; 2 transferred to Missaukee county where larceny was committed.
Larceny from dwelling house	1	Sentenced to State House of Correction 18 months.
Malicious injury to dwelling house	2	One sentenced to Detroit House of Correction 90 days; 1 sent to county jail 90 days.
Slander	2	One fined $1 and costs; 1 acquitted.
Uttering forged order	1	Pending.
Vagrancy	1	Sent to Industrial Home for Girls.
Violation of liquor law	2	One fined $50 and costs; 1 acquitted.